# Conditions of Boundedness and Compactness Sum of Operators on Weighted Berman Space

#### Eltigani Ismail Hassan<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Al Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud Islamic University (IMSIU), College of Science, Department of Mathematics and Statistics

<sup>2</sup>University of Bahri & College of Applied Science and Industrial, Department of Mathematics

Abstract: In this paper, we study the product sum of operators which are bounded on the weighted Bergman space. We establish a necessary condition for the boundedness of the sum of Toeplitz products to be bounded or compact.

Keywords: The weighted Bergman space, compact, Bounbedness

#### 1. Introduction

The weighted Bergman space  $A^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n)$  is space of analytic functions on  $\mathbb{B}_n$  which are square - integrable with respect to measure  $v_{\alpha}$  on  $\mathbb{B}_n$ . Weighted Bergman spaces have studied by several authors in different context in [5], [6], [7] and [8]. In this article we consider the following necessary condition for sum of the Toeplitz products  $T_{f_1}T_{f_2}...T_{f_r}$  with  $f_1, \bar{f}_2, \dots, \bar{f}_r$  in  $A^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n)$ , (See Theorem1 and Theorem2). Instead of products of two Toeplitz operators are bounded in  $A^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n)$  we use products of nth Toeplitz operators are bounded in weighted Bergman space . The normalized sum of reproducing kernel given by ∧ is  $\sum_{r=1}^{n} K_{\omega}^{\alpha} = \sum_{r=1}^{n} \frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^{(\frac{n+\alpha+1}{2})}}{(1-\langle z, \omega \rangle)^{n+\alpha+1}}, \text{ for } z, \omega \in \mathbb{B}_n.$ 

Suppose  $f_1$  and  $f_2 f_3 \dots f_r$  are in  $A^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n)$ . Consider operator  $f_1 \otimes \bar{f}_2 \otimes ... \otimes \bar{f}_r$  on weighted Bergman space defined by  $(f_1 \otimes \overline{f_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \overline{f_r})U = \langle U, f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_r \rangle_{\alpha} f_1 \text{ for } U \in A^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n).$ It easily prove that  $f_1 \otimes \overline{f_2} \otimes ... \otimes \overline{f_r}$  is bounded on  $A^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n)$ and  $\left\|f_1 \otimes \overline{f_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \overline{f_r}\right\|_{\alpha,2} = \left\|f_1\right\|_{\alpha,2} \left\|f_2 f_3 \dots f_r\right\|_{\alpha,2}$ 

We will also make use of the following continuity condition of the Berezin transform: if  $S_N \rightarrow S$  in operator norm, then  $B_{\alpha}[S](\omega) = \lim_{N \to \infty} B_{\alpha}[S_N](\omega)$ , For each  $\omega \in \mathbb{B}_n$ . The above statement is an immediate consequence of the following inequality:  $|B_{\alpha}[S](\omega) - B_{\alpha}[S_N](\omega)| \leq ||S - S_N||$ .

Also  $H_{f_1}$  be bounded in the  $L^2$  norm, that is, in order that there exists a constant C such that for all  $f_2$  in  $H^{\infty}$ .

#### 2. A Necessary Condition for the Sum of **Boundedness of the Toeplitz Product**

**Theorem1.**Let  $-1 < \alpha < \infty$ , and  $f_1 f_2 f_3 \dots f_r$  in  $A^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n)$ . If  $T_{f_1}T_{\bar{f}_2} \dots T_{\bar{f}_r}$  is bounded on  $A^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n)$ then  $\sum_{r=1}^{n} \sup_{\omega \in \mathbb{B}_{n}} B_{\alpha}[|f_{1}|^{2}(\omega)B_{\alpha}[|\bar{f}_{2}|^{2}(\omega) \dots B_{\alpha}[|\bar{f}_{r}|^{2}(\omega) < \infty$ 

**Proof.** Suppose that  $f_1 f_2 f_3 \dots f_r$  are analytic on  $A^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n)$ such that the densely defined Toeplitz product  $T_{f_1}T_{\bar{f}_2}...T_{\bar{f}_r}$ is bounded on  $A^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n)$ . Using operator identity

$$f \otimes g = \sum_{r=1}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n+1+|\alpha|} (-1)^{j} \sum_{|\gamma|=j} \frac{\Gamma(j+1-\alpha)}{\gamma! \Gamma(n+2+\alpha-j)} T_{z_{r}^{\gamma}} (T_{f_{1}}T_{\bar{f}_{2}}...T_{\bar{f}_{r}}) T_{\bar{z}_{r}^{\gamma}}$$

$$+ (-1)^{n+|\alpha|} \frac{\Gamma(n+2+\alpha) \sin(\pi[\alpha])}{\pi} \sum_{r=1}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{|\gamma|=n+2+|\alpha|+j} \frac{\Gamma(j+1-[\alpha])}{\gamma!} T_{z_{r}^{\gamma}} (T_{f_{1}}T_{\bar{f}_{2}}...T_{\bar{f}_{r}}) T_{\bar{z}_{r}^{\gamma}}$$

$$\sum_{r=1}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{|\gamma|=n+2+|\alpha|+j} \frac{\Gamma(j+1-[\alpha])}{\gamma!} T_{z_{r}^{\gamma}} (T_{f_{1}}T_{\bar{f}_{2}}...T_{\bar{f}_{r}}) T_{\bar{z}_{r}^{\gamma}}$$

$$\sum_{r=1}^{n} \sup_{j=0} \sup_{|\alpha|=n+2+|\alpha|+j} \sum_{r=1}^{n} \frac{\Gamma(j+1-[\alpha])}{\gamma!} T_{z_{r}^{\gamma}} (T_{f_{1}}T_{\bar{f}_{2}}...T_{\bar{f}_{r}}) T_{\bar{z}_{r}^{\gamma}}$$

$$\sum_{r=1}^{n} \sup_{j=0} \sup_{|\alpha|=n+2+|\alpha|+j} \sum_{r=1}^{n} \frac{\Gamma(j+1-[\alpha])}{\gamma!} T_{z_{r}^{\gamma}} (T_{f_{1}}T_{\bar{f}_{2}}...T_{\bar{f}_{r}}) T_{\bar{z}_{r}^{\gamma}}$$

$$\sum_{r=1}^{n} \sup_{\omega \in \mathbb{B}_{n}} B_{\alpha}[|f_{1}|^{2}(\omega)B_{\alpha}[|\bar{f}_{2}|^{2}(\omega)\dots B_{\alpha}[|\bar{f}_{r}|^{2}(\omega) < \infty]$$

**Theorem2.Let**  $-1 < \alpha < \infty$ , and  $f_1, \bar{f}_2, \dots, \bar{f}_n$  is be in  $A^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n)$ . Then the sum of  $T_{f_1}T_{f_2}...T_{f_r}$  is compact on  $A^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n)$  then its sum of Berezin transform vanishes near the unit spheres  $\sum_{r=1}^{n} B_{\alpha} [T_{f_1} T_{f_2} \dots T_{f_r}](\omega) \to 0$  as  $|\omega| \to$ 1<sup>-</sup>. We have seen that  $\sum_{r=1}^{n} B_{\alpha} [T_{f_1} T_{f_2} \dots T_{f_r}](\omega) =$  $\sum_{r=1}^{n} f_1(\omega) f_2(\omega) \dots f_r(\omega)$ 

$$\sum_{r=1}^{n} \left| f_1(\omega) f_2(\overline{\omega}) \dots f_r(\overline{\omega}) \right| = \sum_{r=1}^{n} \left| \mathsf{B}_{\alpha} \left[ T_{f_1} T_{f_2} \dots T_{f_r} \right](\omega) \right| \to 0$$
(3)

as  $|\boldsymbol{\omega}| \to 1^-$ , and it follows from the Maximum Modulus Principle that  $f_1 f_2 f_3 \dots f_r \equiv 0$ .

 $= \sum_{r=1}^{n} U_{\omega}^{*\alpha} (T_{f_1} T_{f_2} \dots T_{f_r}) U_{\omega}^{*\alpha} (2)$ For all  $\omega_r \in A^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n)$ , so for  $f_1 f_2 f_3 \dots f_r \in A^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n)$ , a necessary condition for the sum of Toeplitz product  $T_{f_1}T_{\bar{f_2}}\ldots T_{\bar{f_r}}$  to be bounded on  $A^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_n)$  is

 $\sum_{r=1}^{n} (T_{f_1 \circ \varphi_{\omega}} U_{\omega}^{*\alpha}) U_{\omega}^{*\alpha} (T_{f_2 \circ \varphi_{\omega}} U_{\omega}^{*\alpha}) U_{\omega}^{*\alpha} \dots (T_{f_r \circ \varphi_{\omega}} U_{\omega}^{*\alpha}) U_{\omega}^{*\alpha}$  $\sum_{r=1}^{n} (U_{\omega}^{*\alpha} T_{f_1}) U_{\omega}^{*\alpha} (U_{\omega}^{*\alpha} T_{f_2}) U_{\omega}^{*\alpha} \dots (U_{\omega}^{*\alpha} T_{f_r})$ 

from  $T_{f \circ \varphi_{\omega}} U_{\omega}^{*\alpha} = U_{\omega}^{*\alpha} T_f$ , applied

 $\sum_{r=1}^{n} T_{f_1 \circ \varphi_\omega} T_{\bar{f_2} \circ \varphi_\omega} \dots T_{\bar{f_r} \circ \varphi_\omega} =$ 

we see that there exists a finite constant  $\bar{C}_{\alpha}$  such that

thus  $||f_1||_2 ||f_2||_2 ||f_3||_2 \dots ||f_r||_2 \leq \bar{C}_{\alpha} ||T_{f_1}T_{f_2}\dots T_{f_r}||_2$ 

 $\|f_1 \otimes f_2 \otimes f_3 \otimes \dots \otimes f_r\| \le \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\alpha} \|T_{f_1} T_{\bar{f}_2} \dots T_{\bar{f}_r}\| (1)$ 

.That

to

 $(U^{*\alpha}_{\omega}T_{\bar{f}_r}) U^{*\alpha}_{\omega}$ 

follows

 $f_1$  and  $\overline{f_2},...,\overline{f_r}$ 

It

### 3. An Application to Toelitz Operators

Theorem3. Let  $f_1 \epsilon H^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}_n, d\nu_{\alpha})$  $f_1 f_2 f_3 \dots f_r \epsilon(\mathbb{B}_n, dv_\alpha)$ . Then  $T_{f_1} T_{f_2}^* T_{f_3}^* \dots T_{f_r}^*$  is compact if and only if  $\sum_{r=1}^{n} \lim_{\omega \to \delta B_{n}} \|f_{1} \circ \varphi_{\omega}\|_{\alpha,2} \|f_{2} \circ \varphi_{\omega}f_{3} \circ \varphi_{\omega} \dots f_{n} \circ \varphi_{\omega} -$ 

 $P(f1\circ\varphi\omega f2\circ\varphi\omega f3\circ\varphi\omega...fr\circ\varphi\omega\alpha, 2=0$  (4) suppose  $T_{f_1}T^*_{\bar{f_2}} T^*_{\bar{f_3}} \dots T^*_{\bar{f_r}}$  is compact.

 $\sum_{r=1}^{n} \left\| \mathcal{Y}_{\omega}(T_{f_{1}}T_{f_{2}}^{*} T_{f_{3}}^{*} \dots T_{f_{r}}^{*}) \right\| \to 0 \text{ as } \omega \to \delta B_{n} \text{ . We have}$  $\sum_{r=1}^{n} \mathcal{Y}_{\omega} (T_{f_1} T_{f_2}^* T_{f_3}^* \dots T_{f_r}^*)$  $= \sum_{r=1}^{n} \left\| \left( T_{f_1} K_{\omega}^{(\tilde{\alpha})} \right) \otimes \left( T_{f_2} K_{\omega}^{(\alpha)} T_{f_3} K_{\omega}^{(\alpha)} \dots T_{f_r} K_{\omega}^{(\alpha)} \right) \right\|$  $=\sum_{r=1}^{n} \|T_{f_{1}}K_{\omega}^{(\alpha)}\|_{\alpha} \|T_{f_{2}}K_{\omega}^{(\alpha)}T_{f_{3}}K_{\omega}^{(\alpha)}\dots T_{f_{r}}K_{\omega}^{(\alpha)}\|_{\alpha}$  $=\sum_{r=1}^{n} \|f_1\varphi_{\omega}\|_{\alpha,2} \|f_2\circ\varphi_{\omega}f_3\circ\varphi_{\omega}\dots f_r\circ\varphi_{\omega} - P(f_1\circ\varphi_{\omega}f_2\circ$ φωf3οφω...froφωα,2 (5)

*Remark4.*Let  $P:L^2(\mathbb{C}, d\lambda_\alpha) \rightarrow F_\alpha^2$  denote the orthogonal projection. If  $\psi, \varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{C})$ , we can define a linear operators  $T_{\psi}T_{\varphi}$  on  $F_{\alpha}^2$  by

 $T_{\psi}fT_{\varphi}g = P(\psi f \varphi g) = P(\psi f)(\varphi g)$ . It is clear that  $T_{\psi}T_{\varphi}$  are bounded and

 $\|T_{\psi}T_{\varphi}\| \leq \|\psi\|_{\infty}\|\varphi\|_{\infty}$ . It is also easy to verify that  $(T_{\psi}T_{\varphi})_{z} = U_{z}(T_{\psi}U_{z})(T_{\varphi}U_{z}) U_{z} = T_{\psi o \varphi_{z}}T_{\varphi o \psi_{z}}$ particular,  $((T_{\psi})_z 1)((T_{\varphi})_z 1) =$  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  . In for all  $P(\psi o \varphi_z)(\varphi o \psi_z)$ 

It follows that

 $\left| \left( T_{\psi} \right)_{z} \mathbf{1}(\omega) \right) \right| \left| \left( T_{\varphi} \right)_{z} \mathbf{1}(\omega) \right| \leq$  $\Big(\|\psi\|_{\infty}\int_{\mathbb{C}}|e^{\alpha\omega\,\overline{u}}|d\lambda_{\alpha(u)}\Big)\Big(\|\varphi\|_{\infty}\int_{\mathbb{C}}|e^{\alpha\omega\,\overline{u}}|d\lambda_{\alpha(u)}\Big)$ 

 $\|\psi\|_{\infty}\|\varphi\|_{\infty} \left|e^{\alpha \left|\frac{\omega}{2}\right|}\right|^{4} = \|\psi\|_{\infty}\|\varphi\|_{\infty} e^{\frac{\alpha}{16}|\omega|^{2}} \text{ for all } \omega \in \mathbb{C}. \text{ This}$ shows that

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{C}}\int_{\mathbb{C}}\left|\left(T_{\psi}\right)_{z}1\right|^{p}\left|\left(T_{\varphi}\right)_{z}1\right)\right|^{p}d\lambda_{\alpha}<\infty$$

## 4. Boundedness in $L^P, P > 1$

One can also ask about the boundedness of  $H_f$  in the  $L^p$  norm .That is, ask for which f one has the following estimate, there exists a constant C such that

 $\|f_1f_2 - P(f_1f_2)\|_P \le C \|f_2\|_P, f_2 \in H^\infty$ As in the case P = 2 there is no loss of generality to suppose at the outset that  $f_1 \in L^P$ .

**Theorem.** Let  $1 < P < \infty$  and let  $f_1 \in L^P$ . The following are equivalent.

(a)  $H_f$  is bounded in the  $L^P$  norm

 $(b) \sup_{a \in D}^{sup} dist L^{p}(f \circ \varphi_{a}, A^{p}) < \infty$   $(c) \sup_{z \in D} \inf\left\{ \left(\frac{1}{|D(z)|}\right) \int_{D(z)} |f - k|^{p} dA : k \in A^{p} \right\} < \infty$ (d)  $f = f_1 + f_2$  where  $(1 - |z|)\overline{\partial}f_2(z)$  is bounded  $\sup_{z \in D} \frac{1}{|D(z)|} \int_{D(z)} |f_1|^p dA < \infty (6)$ 

**Proof.** In place of  $U_{\varphi}$  we have the following isometrics on  $L^{P}$ .

 $V_{\varphi} = |\dot{\varphi}|^{\frac{p}{p}} f o \varphi$ , Then the  $V_{\varphi}$  are invertible for any automorphism  $\varphi$  of  $D V_{\varphi}^{-1} = V_{\varphi-1}$ . Now, with  $= \varphi_a$ ,

$$V_{\varphi_{a}}H_{f}V_{\varphi_{a}}^{-1}(1) = V_{\varphi_{a}}fV_{\varphi_{a}}(1) - V_{\varphi_{a}}PfV_{\varphi_{a}}(1) = fo\varphi_{a} - k_{a}$$
(7)

Where  $k_a = V_{\varphi_a} P f V_{\varphi_a}(1) \in A^P$ . We need where is the fact that P is bounded in the  $L^P$  norm for all  $1 < P < \infty$ . since  $V_{\varphi_a}$  are isometries ,(7) implies that  $dist_{L^P}(f \circ \varphi_a, A^P) \leq$  $||H_f(1)||_p \le ||H_f||$ . So (b) follows from (a).

Now assume (b) so that there is a constant C and analytic functions  $k_a$  with  $\int |f o \phi_a - k_a|^p dA \le C$ ,  $a \in D$ . Apply  $V_{\phi_a}$  to get  $\int |f - k_a o \phi_a|^p |\phi_a|^2 dA \le C$ ,  $a \in D$  This gives (c) in the same way, via  $|\phi_a|^2 \ge C \left(\frac{1}{|D(a)|}\right) \chi_{D(a)}$ . If assume (c) we obtain  $f = f_1 + f_2$  except that  $L^p$  integrals appear everywhere in place of  $L^2$  integrals. Thus (d) follows from (c). The  $L^p$  version of the boundedness for  $M_{f_1}$ . We estimate the  $L^P$  norm of  $H_{f_1}g$  by the  $L^P$  norm of  $f_1g$  as in the P = 2case, but using the fact (6) is just the requirement for  $|f_1|^p dA$  to be a Carleson measure for  $A^p$  [9,10,11].We estimate the  $L^{p}$  and  $L^{p}$  is used and Hölder's inequality replaces Cauchy- Schwarz. We need to make use of the facts that  $H_{f_2}g \in (A^p)^{\perp}$  and  $L^p = A^p \oplus |A^p|^{\perp}$ , which follow easily from the boundedness of P in  $L^{P}$  norm.

### References

- [1] Compact Products of Toeplitz and Hankel operators on Bergman ,J.Math.Compact weighted space .Sci.2(2012), No.5, 1209-1217 IssN: 1927-5307.
- [2] Kehe zhu, Spaces of holomorphic functions in the unit ball ,Springer- verlage,New-york,2004.
- Boundedness and Compactness of operators on the [3] Fock space.XIAOFENG WANG, GUANGFU CAO, AND ZHU.
- Toeplitz operators on weighted Bergman spaces [4] R.Chacon.arxiv:1208-2917 GERARDO V1[math FA]14A492012.
- carleson measure [5] w.w.Hastings,A Theorem for Bergman spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 52(1975)237241.
- Theorems V.L.Oleinik, B.S.Pavlov, Embedding for [6] classes harmonic and weighted of analytic functions, J.Soviet Math.2(1974)135142, translation in in:Zap-Nauch.Sem.LOM1 Steklov22(1971).
- [7] D.Stegenga, Multiplliers of the Dirichlet space, 1111 no is J.Math.24(1)(1980)113139.
- [8] K.Zhu, operator theory in function spaces Mathematical survey and monographs, American Mathematical society(2007).
- [9] V.L.OLEINK and B.S.PLOV, Embeding theorems for weighted classes of harmonic functions. J.Soviet Math 2(1974),135-142, translation of Zap.Nauchn.Sem.Lenigrad.Otdel.Mat.Inst.Steklov 22(1971),94-102.
- [10] W.W.Hastings. A Carleson measure Theorem for Bergman spaces, Amer. Math.Soc. 52(1975),237-241.
- [11] D.H.Luecking, Atechnique for characterizing Carleson measures Bergman on spaces. Proc.Amer.Math,Soc.87(1983),656-660.