

The Usage of Intracorporeal Knotting Instead of Endoloops during Laparoscopic Appendectomy

Mahmoud Sallam¹, Jimmy Mena²

¹General Surgery Registrar, Oxford University Hospital, Department of Surgery and Cancer

²Upper GI Surgical Registrar, Leighton Hospital, Department of Surgery and Cancer

Abstract: *Laparoscopic appendectomy is currently a widely used surgical treatment for acute appendicitis. Compared to open access, laparoscopy offers several advantages, such as less postoperative pain, shorter hospital stay, faster recovery and lower incidence of wound infection. Although laparoscopy is associated with prolonged surgical and high operative costs, it is more effective and cost-effective than open surgery for uncomplicated appendicitis in experienced hands. However, it remains the first choice for surgical treatment and is indicated specifically for obese patients, the elderly, and patients with underlying medical conditions. Due to the range of techniques available, appendicular stump closure has been the subject of several studies: endoligatures (pre-defined suture loops (including endo-loops) and intracorporeal tie stitches). There are two important factors to decide which approach to take: patient safety and financial costs. The first component includes prolonged anaesthetic effects due to longer operating times, repeated closures and damage for improper closure (eg, loop failure), extended hospital stay, and cost reduction.*

Keywords: intracorporeal knotting, endoloops, laparoscopic appendectomy

1. Introduction

The most acute abdominal condition required for emergency surgery is appendicitis. Open appendectomy is the standard approach to treatment. The open approach technique has many advantages, including less pain in the back period, faster return to normal activity and work, and a lower percentage of wound infections. Significant closure of the appendix stump is necessary to avoid serious complications such as fistulas, peritonitis and sepsis. During LA, many changes have been introduced with new material to improve and eliminate appendix stump closure, including; Seat closure with staplers, endoloops, titanium clips, non-absorbent polymer clips (hem or lock clips), handmade loops, seat closure with extracorporeal ligation sliding knot or intracorporeal knot. Intra-abdominal lumps are harder and more difficult to tie using a laparoscope because of limited space for movement, lack of rotating motion in the wrist joint, movement due to the full length of the device, from the desired site. Is far away and manual skills are also required. Extracurricular eclipse is a widely used alternative technique. The idea is to use extraporal sliding knots, tie the knot out of the body and then slide it to work. The applied knot should be as safe as a conventional knot, which should be quick and easy to apply. The most common intracorporeal knot tying techniques are; intracorporeal Square Knot (Loop Method, Wind Method & Tumble Square Knot), Surgeons Knot, Tying laparoscopic intracorporeal knots with one instrument: Dowais tie and Single instrument intracorporeal knot tying .

Methods for closure of appendix stump:

1) Endoloop

Endoloop is a commercial product commonly used in LA. It can be made of silk or polyglactin and is available in different strengths. Some authors have suggested the use of endoloop because it protects the closing of the attached stump and makes it less expensive. Applying endoloop will

connect this loop to the connection base. The two endoloops can be placed separately on top of each other. It provides closure of the residual limb similar to ligation with open appendectomy. Although the operation is compared to the use of staplers or staples, it is similar to other methods in terms of reliability. Endoloop is cheaper than using a stapler, but still more expensive than some other methods. To keep costs down, a loop similar to that commercially available from Endoloop can be made. Hand-made loops are made in no time and used like end-loops. The cost of this method, which is easy to build and use, is much less and is safe to use.

2) Suture Knot

Suture closure of the appendix base in LA can be done in a similar manner to open surgery. To do this, you can prepare a knot in the abdomen. Internal tie knots require more experience than other methods. Studies have shown that this method is as safe as any other method. A much cheaper way is to loosen the appendage base with sutures, which has the drawback of lengthening surgery time.

2. Materials and Methods

Both LAs conducted by surgeons have been recovered retrospectively in the UK. In the analysis, only IK and EL techniques were used to attach the appendix stump. The attachment procedure performed with linear staplers has been abolished. Patients are divided into two classes (IK and EL groups). Stamp closure methods are chosen at the discretion of the surgeon. Informed consent was received from all patients. Patient reports (age, gender, BMI (Body Mass Index), ASA Score) are collected from patient reports. Variables were recorded including service time, use of drains, and length of hospital stay. Patients were called for a follow-up appointment a week after surgery. Postoperative concerns were initially included (<30 days).

Surgical technique

All patients were injected intravenously with second-generation cephalosporin antibiotics 30 minutes before the prophylaxis incision. The urine catheter was inserted and removed before waking the patient. The operation was carried out through three ports. A pneumoperitoneum was inserted through an inferior umbilical incision. In patients who had not previously had abdominal surgery, varicose needles were used to insert into the abdominal cavity. In patients who had previously had abdominal surgery or in pregnant women, the open technique was used to enter the abdominal cavity. Intra-abdominal pressure was brought to 12 mm Hg. A 10 mm trocar was inserted through the umbilical cord. This trocar offered a 30 degree view. The second trocar was inserted through the quadrant below the left abdomen. Depending on the surgeon's choice, the trocar was either 5 mm or 10 mm. focusing on the bladder; a third trocar with a diameter of 5 mm was inserted from the suprapubic region.

In patients who underwent IK, first, the thread was inserted through the left trocar into the abdominal cavity, wrapped in a loop around the base of the appendix, and removed by the same trocar. In patients who underwent EL, a slip knot was obtained externally and reached the base of the appendix with a laparoscopic dissector. When the source of the appendix is reached, the node is externally fixed with one end of the suture in the hand and the other end is fixed intracorporeally using a laparoscopic dissector. The extra suture ends were curtailed and nodes 2 and 3 were placed in the intracorporeally. Appendicular transection was performed remotely for this suture with ligature.

In both techniques, the specimen was placed inside the endo bag through the umbilical trocar-site. Facial defects of 10 mm trocars were sutured with No:1 Vicryl. A drain was placed according to intra-abdominal findings and at the discretion of the surgeon. One in all patients had their drain removed prior to discharge. A patient with liver cirrhosis was discharged from his groove and his groove was removed postoperatively 2 weeks later. In the sixth hour postoperatively, patients were given a normal diet and discharged the next day of operation.

3. Results

There were two patients in the IK group, one of whom was long-term of staying, and the other has a little time. In terms of pregnancy operating hours, the average duration in the IK group was slightly longer than in the EL group, but this statistic was not significant. Drains were placed in 5 patients in IK group and 7 patients in EL group. The length of stay in hospitals was similar between groups. Considering the complexities, the differences between the groups were not statistically significant. In the EL group, at the beginning of the period, the supplement was seen in bed after a collection was published. Percutaneous aspiration was demonstrated and anesthetic antibiotic therapy was optional. The patient recovered somewhat without the need for drainage. Other complications were minor wound problems. No deaths were reported.

4. Discussion

The results show that the IK technique was as safe and feasible as the EL technique for ligating appendage stumps. While not statistically significant, the IK method is 3 minutes longer than the EL method. Postoperative infectious complications after appendectomy have been reported to be affected by the degree of appendicitis. Based on these data, several reports have compared IC and metal cutting techniques for appendicular stump arrest in patients with uncomplicated appendicitis. The operating time in the IK group was 15 minutes longer than in the metal cut group, which was statistically significant. Complications and hospital stay periods were similar between groups. Numerous studies have described invagination suture techniques for appendix stumps. We believe that this technique, seen as a practice in open appendectomy technique, has been reduced by the laparoscopic approach. Other studies have compared endoscopic staplers, titanium staples, and invaginated sutures, where invasive stitches lead to complications compared to hospital stays, longer surgical times, and other procedures. This proportion has been reported to be high.

Another study found that patients with IV procedures had been hospitalized longer and had higher drainage rates. The reason for the surgeon's lack of confidence is in node safety. It can be said that the use of IK is proportional to the surgeon's experience. From this perspective, Ikea technology is a tool for improving creativity skills. Studies have suggested that experienced surgeons should be accompanied by inexperienced surgeons during their first 10-15 LAS. The main drawback of Ikea technology is that it is more difficult to use than other technologies. This technique requires laparoscopic weaving skills and testing for tumor pressure on tissues. Ease of use is an effective factor in the selection of additional stump ligation. Automated technology may be preferred to manual technology. An additional aspect about the EL method is that it can be placed in cirrhosis of the small and meso bowel surrounding the EL node. In this case, the EL cannot be released, so an additional EL may be required. However, the IK method allows undoing the knot, removing the interstitial tissue, and then re-establishing the node. The ideal closure of the appendix stump during LA surgery has not yet been described. Based on the ideal method of closing the appendix stump, it can be expected to have the lowest possible complication rate, easily accessible, inexpensive, and easy to apply. One of the limitations of our study is its retrospective nature. Therefore, some data and the loss of patients during postoperative follow-up cannot be avoided. A similar study with a prospective randomized design provides additional information. Depending on the results, the IK method can be recommended over the EL method, due to its low cost and ease of access. Another advantage of the IK method is that it eliminates dependence on commercial products like EL. Further; The IK procedure helps prepare surgeons for advanced laparoscopic procedures.

5. Conclusion

Despite further research, there is no universal consensus on any method. No specific method is recommended in the

literature. There are prospective randomized studies with large sample sizes comparing different methods. Keep in mind that using Endoloop is more expensive than other methods. It is best to use cheaper alternatives such as sutures and ties. Clinical research on these issues is very limited. Clinical studies should support the safety of these methods as seen in clinical studies. The data showed that all methods have the same reliability. Therefore, the cheapest and easiest method to implement should be considered as the first option. However, the final decision on how to use it depends on the surgeon's training and experience, the availability of equipment at the facility, the cost, and the degree of inflammation of the appendix.

References

- [1] Semm K. Endoscopic appendectomy. *Endoscopy*. 1983; 15:59–64. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-20071021466>. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [2] Mayir B, Bilecik T, Ensari CO, Oruc MT. Laparoscopic appendectomy with hand made loop. *Videosurgery Miniinv*. 2014;9:152–156. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5114/wiitm.2014.41624>. [PMC free article][PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [3] Guller U, Hervey S, Purves H, Muhlbaier LH, Peterson ED, Eubanks S, et al. Laparoscopic versus open appendectomy: outcomes comparison based on a large administrative database. *Ann Surg*. 2004;239:43–52. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/01.sla.0000103071.35986.c1>. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [4] Aziz O, Athanasiou T, Tekkis PP, Purkayastha S, Haddow J, Malinovski V, et al. Laparoscopic versus open appendectomy in children: a meta-analysis. *Ann Surg*. 2006;243:17–27. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/01.sla.0000193602.74417.14>. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- [5] Delibegović S, Matović E. Hem-o-lok plastic clips in securing of the base of the appendix during laparoscopic appendectomy. *Surg Endosc* 2009;23:2851–4.
- [6] Wilson M, Maniam P, Ibrahim A, Makaram N, Knight SR, Patil P. Polymeric clips are a quicker and cheaper alternative to endoscopic ligatures for securing the appendiceal stump during laparoscopic appendectomy. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl* 2018;100:454–8.
- [7] Reinke CE, Tabone LE, Fong P, Yoo JS, Park CW. Safety and Efficacy of Polymeric Clips for Appendiceal Stump Closure. *JLS* 2016;20.
- [8] Strzałka M, Matyja M, Rembiesz K. Comparison of the results of laparoscopic appendectomies with application of different techniques for closure of the appendicular stump. *World J Emerg Surg* 2016;11:4.
- [9] Kothari R, Somashekar U, Sharma D, Thakur DS, Kumar V. A simple and safe extracorporeal knotting technique. *JLS* 2012; 16:280-2.
- [10] Mayir B, Bilecik T, Ensari CO, Oruc MT. Laparoscopic appendectomy with hand-made loop. *Wideochir Inne Tech Maloinwazyjne* 2014; 9:152-6.
- [11] Strzałka M, Matyja M, Rembiesz K. Comparison of the results of laparoscopic appendectomies with application of different techniques for closure of the appendicular stump. *World J Emerg Surg* 2016; 11:4.
- [12] Matyja M, Strzałka M, Rembiesz K. Laparoscopic appendectomy, cost-effectiveness of three different techniques used to close the appendix stump. *Pol Przegl Chir* 2015; 87:634-7.
- [13] Kazemier G, Hof KH, Saad S, Bonjer HJ, Sauerland S. Securing the appendiceal stump in laparoscopic appendectomy: Evidence for routine stapling? *Surg Endosc* 2006; 20:1473-6.
- [14] ARCOVEDO R., BARRERA H. and REYES H.S.: Securing the appendiceal stump with the Gea extracorporeal sliding knot during laparoscopic appendectomy is safe and economical. *Surg. Endosc.*, 21 (10): 1764-7, 2007.
- [15] NGUYEN N.T., MAYER K.L., BOLD R.J., et al.: Laparoscopic suturing evaluation among surgical residents. *The Journal of Surgical Research*, 93 (1): 133-6, 2000.