International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064 SJIF (2019): 7.583

Isolation and Identification of Different Causing UTI in Al-Najaf Hospitals

Enas Sahib Abd Ali Al-Heddad¹, Jenan Mohammed Hussain Fayroz-Ali²

¹M.Sc.Student, Girls College of Education, University of Kufa, Najaf, Iraq Email: *montzrhaider[at]outlook.com*, *migtba2006[at]gmail.com*

²Assistant Professor of Microbiology in Girls College of Education, University of Kufa

Abstract: This study of urinary tract infection has been planned to isolation and identification of bacterial pathogens. The urine were collected from 516 patients for Al-Najaf Hospitals, they were including (81) male and (435) female. Patients aged between 5to60 years. Urine culture had been done for all the 516 patients who were included in this study. Only 221 patients had positive urine culture and 295 negative urine cultures. The most common organism was Escherichia coli which was isolated from 200 patients with percentage of 38.75%. E.coli was the most prevalent followed by Staphylococcus saprophyticus 20.54% (106), Staphylococcus aureus 16.66% (86), Klebsiella spp. 13.17% (68), Streptococcus spp. 5.62% (29), Proteus spp. 3.48% (18) and Pseudomonas aeruginosa 1.74% (9). The antibiotic susceptibility test was done for E.coli isolates to 10 antibiotics.

Keywords: UTI, Antibiotics, Escherichia coli, Al- Najaf Hospital

1. Introduction

Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are some of the common infections experienced by humans, exceeded in frequency among ambulatory patients only by respiratory and gastrointestinal infections [1]. Neonates, girls, young woman and oldermen are most susceptible to UTIs [2]. Urinary tract infection is said to exist when pathogenic microorganisms are detected in the urine, urethra, bladder, kidney or prostate with or without the presence of specific symptoms[3]. The vast majority of uncomplicated UTIs are caused by the gram negative bacillus Escherichia coli, with other pathogens including Enterococcus spp., Staphylococcus saprophyticus, Klebsiella spp. And Proteus mirabilis [4]. The extensive and inappropriate use of antimicrobial agents has invariably resulted in the development of antibiotic resistance which, in recent years, has become amajor problem world wide. In patient with suspected UTI. Antibiotic treatment is usually started empirically, before urine culture results are available to ensure appropriate treatment, knowledge of the organisms that cause UTI and their antibiotic susceptibility is mandatory. As both temporal and local variables can modify these data, they need to be constantly revaluated to achieve a maximal clinical response before the antibiotic susceptibility the isolate is known [5]. The aim of the present study to determine the bacterial etiology of UTI and study susceptibility of isolates to some antibiotics.

2. Method

Source of Specimens: The sample collected from Al-Najaf Hospital. The number of the patients was 516 and they were including 81 male and 435 female. Patients aged between 5-60 years. This study was conducted in the laboratory of department of pathology analysis college, University of Al-Najaf.

Preparation of culture media: Media used in this study were prepared according to manufactures in structions Oxoid, England culture of urine specimens on (MacConkey agar, blood agar, Eosin methyen blue, Kliglar Iron agar, Simmon Citrate test, Mueller – Hinton agar and Methyle red-Vogesproskuer broth).

Identification of bacteria: Use microscopic examination cultural appearance and biochemical tests growing on Kliglar Iron agar, Catalase test, Oxidase production, Simmon citrate test, Indole test, Methyl red test, Voges-Proskaur (VP) test [6].

Antibiotic susceptibility testing: In vitro susceptibility of the bacterial isolates to ten different antibiotics processed from the Tukish Bioanalyse company was determined using Kirby – Bauer disk – diffusion [7]. The turbidity of growing broth culture was adjusted with sterile broth to obtain concentration optically comparable to the 0.5 MacFarlandstandards tube (growth equivalent to 1.5 $\times 10^8$ Cell/ml).

The diameter of growth inhibition zones were measured by using transparents ruler. Compared with the standard inhibition diameter of the CLSI (2007). The commercially available discs containing the following antibiotics: Piperacillin, Amoxicillin +Clavulanic, Ceftazidime, Ceftriaxone, Gentamicin, Amikacin, Imepenem, Ciprofloxacin, Trimethoprin and Nitrofurantoin

3. Results

Gender distribution on patients

Urine culture had been done for all 516 patients who were included in this study. Total positive urine sample were comprised of 435 (84.3%) sample from female and 81 (15.7%) from males (Table 1).

| Table 1: Gender distribution on patients | Table 1 | Gender | distribution | on patients |
|---|---------|--------|--------------|-------------|
|---|---------|--------|--------------|-------------|

| Gender | No. of tested patient | Percentage of tested patient |
|--------|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| Female | 435 | 84.3 |
| Male | 81 | 15.7 |
| Total | 516 | 100 |

Volume 10 Issue 3, March 2021 www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

Age groups infected with bacterial isolates *E.coli* Urine culture had been done for all 516 patients who were included in this study. The highest percentage of infected bacteria *E.coli*

| Table 2: Age groups infected with bacterial isolates E. coliin |
|--|
| the area group (16.25) No. 100 (26.8) |

| life age group (10-25) No. 190 (50.8) | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------|------|--|
| Age groups | Number | % | |
| 5-15 | 56 | 10.9 | |
| 16-25 | 190 | 36.8 | |
| 26-35 | 141 | 27.3 | |
| 36-45 | 82 | 15.9 | |
| 46-55 | 27 | 5.2 | |
| 56-67 | 20 | 3.9 | |

Basterial isolates from urine cultures

The most common organism was *E. coli* which was isolated from 200 patients with percentage of 38.75%. *E.coli* was the most prevalent followed by *S. saprophyticus* (106) 20.54% (2), *S.aureus* (86) 16.66% (5), *Klebsiellaspp.* (68) 13.17% (6), *Streptococcus* spp (29) 15.62%, *Proteus spp.* (18) 3.48% (10) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (9) 1.74% (5). Figure 1



Figure 1: Types and percentage of isolated bacteria from urine samples

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

Antibiotic sensitivity test was carried out using disc diffusion technique for *E. coli* isolates to the most commonly antibiotic agents that used in this study. It was found that resistant to piperacillin 67%, amoxicillin + clavulanic 78%, ceftazidime 84.5%, ceftriaxone 86%, imepenem 19.5%, gentamicin 36.5%, amikacin 36.5%, ciprofloxacin 57.5%, trimethoprim 64.5% and nitrofurantoin 28.5%, Figure 2.



Figure 2: Antibiotic Sensitivity Patterns of E. coli

4. Discussion

Urinary tract infection (UTI) are considered as one of the most common groups of infection in humans and effecting either the upper (kidney - pyelonephritis) or the lower (bladder - cystitis) part of the urinary tract [8]. This study appeared higher percentage of patients were infected with bacterial pathogens. E. coli was the most prevalent organisms causing UTI, E.coli as a commonest cause of UTI may be due to because this bacteria are considered as a normal flora in intestinal tract and present in high Numbers thus may be this bacteria were contaminated the urinary tract because the near of region of the body. The most organisms caused UTI in this study were belonging to gram negative bacteria which were isolated from patients. These results were almost similar to those Al-Mijalli [9], Abrar et al. [10] and Motamedifar et al. [11]. The prevalence of UTI was higher among females than male patients. Woman are more prone to have UTI than men this may be cause in female, the urethra is much shorter and closer to the anus than in males and they lack the bacteriostatic properties of prostatic secretions [12].

In present study *E. coli* showed that bacterium resistant for piperacillin (67%), amoxicillin + clavulanic (78%), ceftriaxone (86%), ceftazidime (84.5%) and trimethoprim (64.5%) that agreed to Bahadurlok *et al.* [13], Fayroz-Ali [14], Hadi[15], Suresh *et al.*[16] and Abdu *et al.* [17], whereas other antibiotics were giving different results such as gentamicin (36.5%), amikacin (36.5%), ciprofloxacin (57.5%) and nitrofurantoin (28.5%), that agreed from Lalhmangaihzuali *et al.*[18], Suresh *et al.* [16], Tajbakhsh *et al.* [19] and Abdu *et al.*[20]. This study showed weakly resistant for imipenem (19.5%) that agreed to Pirk *et al.*[21]. This might be due to misuse of antibiotic, usage of antibiotic from unknow origin. The uropathogens identified in our

DOI: 10.21275/SR21114001732

study are similar to those of many other studies conducted in different countries either in the region or inter nationally [22].

However different results have been reported. the similarities and difference in the type and distribution of uropathogens may result from different environmental conditions and host factors and practices suchas health care and education programmers, sosioconomic standards and hygiene practices in each country [23].

The increasing antimicrobial resistance throught out the world make the treatment of UTIs difficult every passing day. The reasons for antibiotic resistance may be the improperly adjusted treatment doses or frequent use of antibiotics in the treatment of various infections, as well as the acquisition of resistance in bacteria with low susceptibility by selection spontaneous mutation or development of resistance in enteric bacteria by R plasmids responsible from multiple drug resistance [24].

5. Conclusions

It is concluded that Gram –negative bacilli (Enterobacteriaceae) were responsible for urinary tract infections and most of the strains were multi-drugs resistant. The most common isolated bacteria from urinary tract infections was *E. coli* and the most effective antimicrobial agents amikacin, imipenem, gentamycin and ciprofloxacin against Gram-negative bacilli.

References

- [1] Levi, M.E.: Redington, J. (2005). The Patient With Urinary Tract Infection. Manual of Nephrology 6thEdition. Lippinott Williams & Wilkins; 7:91.
- [2] Nicole, W. Andjon, D.M.D (2008). Eiphering Dysuria. Emerg Med.; 40 (9):29.
- [3] Stamm, W.E. & Schaeffer A.J. (2002). The State of The Art In The Management of Urinary Tract Infection. Am J Med; (Suppl 1a):113.
- [4] Blondeau J.M. (2004). Current Issues In The Management of Urinary Tract Infections: Extended – Release Ciprofloxacin As ANovel Treatment Option Drugs.; 64 (6):611-28.
- [5] Goldstein F.W. (2000). Antibiotic Susceptibility Of Bacterial Strains Isolated From Patients With Community – Acquired Urinary Tract Infections InFrance. Multicentre Study Group. Eur J Clin Microbial Infect Dis.; 19:112-7.
- [6] Collee, G.; Faser,G.Marmion, B. & Simmons, A. (1996). Mackie & McCartney. Practical Medical Microbiology. 14thed. Charchill Living stone, New York.
- [7] Bauer, A.M.& Kirby, W.M. (1966). Antibiotics Susceptibility Testing by Astandarised Single Disc Method. A.M.J.Clin. Pataahol., 45: 493-496.
- [8] Thomson, C.& Armitage, A. (2010). Urinary Tract Infection. In Warrell Da, Cox Tm, Firth Jd (Editors). Ox ford Textbook Of Medicine Oxford University Press, Oxford, 4103-2122.
- [9] Al-Mijalli. S.H. (2017). Urinary Tract Infection and Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern in Riyadh Hospital,

Saudi Arabia Key ward: of. IMed Pub Journal. 3 (1): 1-5.

- [10] Abrar, S., Ain, N.,Liaqat, H., Hussain, S., Rasheed, F.,&Riaz, S. (2019). Distribution of *bla*CTX – M, *bla*SHV and *bla*OXAgenes in Extended – spectrum β-Lactamase –producing Clinical isolates:Athree-year mult-center study from Lahore, Pakistan. Antimicrobial Resistance and Infection Control.1-10.
- [11] Motamedifar, M.,Zamani, K.,Hassan Zadeh, Y.&Pashoutan, S. (2016). Bacterial Etiologies and Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern of Urinary Tract Infections at the Pediatric Ward of Dastgheib Hospital, Shiraz, Iran: AThree-Year Study (2009-2011). Arch Clin Infect Dis. 2016 April; 11 (2): e28973.
- [12] M.M.Al-Jebouri. (1989). The Effect Of Sublethal Concentrations of Disinfectants On Antibiotic – Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, Journal of Hospital Infection Vol. 14,No
- [13] Bahadurlok, B. R., Poudel, P. &Khanal, B. (2019). Clinical Etionlogical and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Profile of Pediatric Urinary Tract Infection in Atertiary Care Hospital of Nepal. BMC Pediatrics. 1-8.
- [14] Fayroz-Ali, Jenan Mohammed Hussain (2012). Detection of Quinolone Resistance Genes in *Escherichia coli* Isolated from Patients with Significant Bacteriuria in Najaf Province. Biology /Microbiology, University of Babylon.
- [15] Hadi, O,M.;Al-Maliki, A.H.; Al-Zubiady, M.S.M. &Nihmah, Y.K. (2014). Prevalence of uropathogenic*Scherichia coli* in Al-Hashymia. District of Babylon province. J. Bab. Univ. Pure and Appl. Scie 9 (22): 2479-2488.
- [16] Suresh, M.; Nithya, N.; Jayasree, P. & Kumar, M.P. (2016). Detection and Prevalence of Efflux Pump-Mediated Drug Resistance in Clinical Isolates of Multidrug – Resistant Gram- Negative Bacteria from North Kerala, India. Asian J. Pharm Clin Res. 19 (3): 324-327.
- [17] Abdu, A.; Kachallah, M.& Yusuf Bolus, D. (2018). Antibiotic Susceptibility Patterns of Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* Among Patients with Urinary Tract Infections in aTertiary Care Hospital in Maiduguri, North Easttern, Nigeria. J. BiosciBiotechnolDiscov. 3: 14-24.
- [18] Lalhmangaihzuali, F.E. Varte, Z.&Laldinmawii, G. (2018). Antibiotic Resistance Pattern of Uropathogens in Urinary Tract Infections in Children at State Referral Hospital, Falkawn, Mizoram, Zndia. 5 (6): 2108-2113.
- [19] Tajbakhsh, E.; Ahmadi, P. Abedpour-Dehkordi, E.; Arbab-Soleimani, N. & Khamesipour,F. (2016). Biofilm Formation, Antimicrobial Susceptibility, Serogroups and Virulence Genes of Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* Isolated from Clinical Samples in Iran. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control. 5 (11): 1-8.
- [20] Asta ZY, Sharif FA, Relationship between demographic characteristics and Community-acquired EMHJ. 2002; 8 (1): 164-71.
- [21] Pirko, Eptisam Younam, Munim Radwan Ali & Eman NatiqNaji (2017). The Relation Ship between Phylogenic Typing and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Patterns for *Escherichia coli* Isolated from UTIs at

Volume 10 Issue 3, March 2021

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

Many Hospitals in Baghdad City. Iraqi National Journal of Nursing Specialties, Vol. 30 (2)

- [22] IramShaifali, Uma Gupta, Syed Esam Mahmood Jaured Ahmed. Antibiotic Susceptibility Patterns of Urinary Pathogens in Female Outpatients. N Am J. Med Sci 2012; 4 (4): 163-69.
- [23] Kothari A, Sagar V. Antibiotic resistance inpathogens causing community-acquired urinary tract infection in India: amulti centers study-J Infect Developing Counties.2008; 2 (5):354-8.
- [24] Alka N, Priti S, Shanta SN. Bacterial pathogens in urinary tract infection and antibiotic susceptibility pattern. J Pharm Biomed Sci 2012: 21 (12):1-3

Volume 10 Issue 3, March 2021 www.ijsr.net Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY