International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064

ISSN: 2319-7064 SJIF (2020): 7.803

Use of Kalyanleha in Speech Impairment

Dr. Vihar Bidwai¹, Dr. Madhuri Rao², Dr. Ramchandra Rathod³

¹Vice Principal, HOD and Associate Professor, Department of Shalyatantra, GMS Ayurved Mahavidyalaya Pusad, India

²Principal HOD, Professor, Department of Kayachikitsa, GMS Ayurved Mahavidyalaya Pusad, India

³HOD and Associate Professor, Department of Shalyatantra, GMS Ayurved Mahavidyalaya Pusad, India

Abstract: Ayurveda originated from India. There are many more benefits of Ayurvedic treatment for every disease. So hereKalyanleha going to study in Ayurvedic approach. The main objective did with the study, Speech Impairment as per Ayurvedic classics and Modernviews. To assess the effect of Kalyanleha in Speech Impairment inchildren. Kalyanleha was prepared by using ingredients vizHaridra, Vacha, Kushtha, Pippali, NagarJirak, Ajmoda, Yashtimadhu, Saindhav, Goghrutmentioned in Bhaishajyaratnavali. Here, made with two groups given with Kalyanleha for 21 days of follow-up with Speech Therapy. Kalyanleha with Speech Therapy effectively reduced the signs and symptoms of Speech impairment.

Keywords: Ayurveda, Kalyanleha, Speech Impairment, children, Speech Therapy

1. Introduction

Ayurveda, the science of life or longevity is the holistic alternative science from India and is more than 5, 000 years old. Ayurveda addresses the importance of uncovering and treating the root cause of illness [1]. Kaumarabhritya, the Indian Pediatrics is the branch of Ayurved that emphasizes the importance of child care that has to be started evenbefore the conception [2]. The horizon of Kaumarabhritya, dealing with child health care is vast. How we nurture our children today, will determine the quality of our human resources tomorrow. The incidence of speech disorders affecting preschool children is up to 8 %, and in the meantime, nearly 20% of children of 2 years are thought to have delayed onset of speech [3]. Any impairment can thus affect the overall development, especially if it is a communication disorder. Children with speech difficulties may have trouble in school or with peers [4]. Ayurvedic classics gave importance to speech and its related disorders. VakIndrivas is one of the karmendriva attributed to speech. Concepts of Mooka, Minmina, Gadgadatwa, Vaksang are also explained in our science which can be related to speech disorders [5]. Sushruta has explained the manifestation of Speech Disorders as Vata gets Avarana by Kapha in ShabdhavahaDhamani and produces Mooka, Minmina, and Gadgada [6]. Speech disorders though are not properly mentioned in our classics. It can be understood by applying the basic principles of Ayurveda based on which the entire system was designed [7]. So a scientific study on Speech

Impairment from an Ayurvedic point of view is a possible solution for this momentous problem using the Ayurvedic principle was intended.

2. Materials and Methods

Kalyanleha mentioned in Bhaishajyaratnavali in the context of Vatvyadhichikitsadhyaya was used as a study drug for internal administration(Bha. Ra. 20/90-92).

Sr. No	Drug Name	Ratio
1	Haridra	1 part
2	Vacha	1 part
3	Kushtha	1 part
4	Pippali	1 part
5	Nagar	1 part
6	Jirak	1 part
7	Ajmoda	1 part
8	Yashtimadhu	1 part
9	Saindhav	1 part
10	Goghrut	4 times of above mixture

Preparation of Kalyanleha:

All churna used in the preparation of KalyanLeha was taken from G. M. P. approved pharmacy. Goghrita was taken from AGMARK certified Company. All the drugs are taken in above mention quantity and mixed well.



Volume 10 Issue 6, June 2021 www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)

ISSN: 2319-7064 SJIF (2020): 7.803





Dose

Table 1: KalyanlehaChurna's Dosage age-wise.

Age	Kalyanleha Churna's Dosage
3 years	500 mg
Above 3 years & below 6 years	1000mg
Above 6 years & below 9 years	1500mg
Above 9 years & below 12 years	2000mg

Aushadhsevankal: Sayam Paschadbhakt. (After Dinner)

Study Design

Location: Patients were selected in O. P. D. of Kaumarbhritya, as well as the Hearing & Speech Center.

Type of study: It was a clinical trial in which patients were selected in a simple random sampling method.

Sampling: All patients were randomly divided into two groups with 30 patients in each group.

Trial group: 30 Patients were given Kalyanleha for 21 days.

Control group: 30 Patients were given Speech Therapy, the intervention as control according to schedule fixed by Speech-language pathologist (SLP's) for 21 days.

Follow up - 7th, 14th, 21stday.

Inclusion

- Patients with age group 3 years to 12 years were taken.
- Children with clinical manifestations of Speech Impairment. Stuttering was included in the study.
- Patients were selected irrespective of sex, religion, socioeconomic status.
- Patients with delayed development of speech.

Exclusion

- Patients below 3 years and above 12years.
- Children suffering from Brain defects, systemic disorders ex. Sensory Aphasia, Dysphasia.
- Patients suffering from cleft palate & cleft lip or the conditions where the surgical intervention is required.
- Patients suffering from Dysphonia & Dysarthria.
- Speech disorders are complicated with other systemic disorders.

Assessement Criteria

The assessment was done before and after the study by observing, scale for rating severity of stuttering given by TSHA (Texas speech and hearing association) Guidelines 2010 for Speech impairment.

Table 2: Scale and Gradation

Scale	Gradation	Stuttering Episodes	Duration of Disfluency	Physical Concomitants		
0	None	No stuttering	No Disfluencies	No Physical concomitants		
1	Very mild	Stuttering on less	Disfluencies	No apparent associated movements of body,		
1	very mind	than 1% of words	less than 1 second	arms, legs or head		
2	Mild	Stuttering between 1	Disfluencies last as long as a	No conspicuous associated movements of		
	WIIIG	to 2% of words	full second	body, arms, legs or head		
3	Mild to	Stuttering between 2	Disfluencies do not last	No distracting associated movements		
3	Moderate	to 5% of words	longer than a full second	No distracting associated movements		
4	Moderate	Stuttering between 5	Disfluencies average	An occasional distracting associated		
4	Moderate	to 8% of words	about 1 second	movements like sniffling, blowing		
5	Moderate to	Stuttering between 8	Disfluencies average	A few distracting associated movements like		
3	Severe	to 12% of words	about 2 seconds	facial grimaces		
6	Severe	Stuttering between	Disfluencies average 3 to 4	Conspicuous distracting associated movements		
O	Severe	12 to 25% of words	seconds	like headmovements		
7	7 VC	Stuttering more than	Disfluencies average more	Very conspicuous distracting associated		
_ ′	Very Severe	25% of words	than 4 seconds	movements like the movement of extremities		

Subjective Parameters

- Stuttering episodes.
- Duration of Dysfluency inspeech.
- Physical concomitants.

3. Observation and Result

These were analyzed by using 1. Wilcoxon Signed RanksTest. 2. Mann-WhitneyTest.

Volume 10 Issue 6, June 2021

www.ijsr.net

<u>Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY</u>

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)

ISSN: 2319-7064 SJIF (2020): 7.803

Table 3: Illustration showing the result on stuttering episodes:

	B'	Γ	A	T		Wilcoxon	
Stuttering Episodes	Mean score	SD	Mean score	SD	% Relief	Signed Ranks Test Z	P
Trial group	2	0.91	1.1	0.548	45	4.208	<0.001 HS
Control group	2.13	0.86	1.23	0.817	42.3	4.354	<0.001 HS

Table 4: Comparison of treatments in two groups as per change in stuttering episodes

	•11411ge 111 star		Pisoues	
Stuttering Episodes	Mean difference score	SD	Mann- Whitney Z	P
Trial group	0. 9	0.712	0. 024	0. 98
Control group	0. 9	0.662		NS

Table 5: Illustration showing the result on Duration of disfluency.

Duration	В	T	A	T		Wilcoxon	
of	Mean		Mean		%	Signed	P
Disfluency		SD	score	SD	Relief	Ranks	1
Distructicy	score		score			Test Z	
Trial	1 02	0. 648	1 02	0 710	12 7	4. 347	< 0.001
group	1. 65	0.048	1. 03	0. /18	45. /	4. 347	HS
Control	1. 97	0. 615	1 17	0 012	40. 6	4, 179	< 0.001
group	1.97	0. 013	1.1/	0. 913	40. 0	4, 179	HS

Table 6: Comparison of treatments in two groups as per change in Duration of Disfluency.

Stuttering Episodes	Mean difference score	SD	Mann- Whitney Z	P
Trial group	0.8	0.61	0.05	0.960
Control group	0.8	0.664		NS

Table 7: Illustration showing the result on Physical concomitants

	B	T	Α	Т		Wilcoxon	
Physical Concomitant	Mean score	SD	Mean score	SD	% Relief	Signed Ranks Test Z	P
Trial group	1	0.788	0. 63	0. 765	37	3. 317	<0.001 HS
Control group	0.87	0.819	0. 5	0. 572	42. 5	3	<0.001 HS

Table 8: Comparison of treatments in two groups as per change in Physical Concomitants

Stuttering Episodes	Mean difference score	SD	Mann- Whitney Z	P
Trial group	0. 37	0.49	0. 169	0.866
Control group	0. 37	0.556		NS

Table 9: Percentage of relief in Trial group & Control group

e > 0 1 ereemage of remer	111 11141 510	up ee common g		
Donomoton	% of Relief			
Parameter	Trial group	Control group		
Stuttering Episode	45%	42. 30%		
Duration of Disfluency	43.70%	40.60%		
Physical Concomitants	37.00%	42. 50%		
Total	42.70%	41.60%		

4. Discussion

The trial was intended to study Speech Impairmentin in an

Ayurvedic point of view and a possible solution for it using Ayurvedic treatment principles. Moreover, studies on Speech Impairmentinin children with Ayurvedic interventions were least common. In this study, the effect of Kalyanleha in Speech Impairmentinin children of age 3 to 12 years was assessed. Sixty (60) patients were selected for the clinical trial. Selected treatment drug that includes internal administration of Kalyanleha was given for trial group and speech therapy was given for control group. Data were collected before treatment and after treatment. All these data were statistically analyzed and discussed indetail.

Kalyanleha contains ten drugs. The most important drug is Vacha. Other drugs are also equally important and have a different mode of action in managing Speech Impairment. Some are improving intelligence and some are considered to neutralize the complications of other drugs. Vacha is a timeold drug mentioned since Vedic periodsas improving speech and memory. The ingredients of Kalyanleha have predominantly Laghu, Tiksana, and Snigdhaguna. These will lead to Anulomana (carminative) and Strotoshodhana (clearing the channels). Ruksha & tikshnaguna dispel the obstruction createdby Kapha and increase the sattva (poise). Brain tissue is exceptionally rich in lipid, especially in complex essential fatty lipids. Snigdhaguna is similar to these lipids and thus it can be assumed that these drugs having Snigdhaguna nourish the brain. Analysis of the rasas present in the individual drugs, reveals that maximum drugs have Katu, Tikta, and Madhura rasa in this combination. Tikta rasa being predominant in Akashamahabhuta and laghuguna, increases the sattva part of the mind. Agnideepana (Appetizer) function of tikta rasa increases the metabolism of the body and neutralizes the complications of otherdrugs.

Considering the pharmacological evaluation of vipaka of all the ingredients of the study drug, madhuravipaka and katuvipaka are dominating. Madhurvipak is said to increase all the body elements, including the brain tissue. It also alleviates the vitiated pitta and vatadoshas. Katuvipaka on the other hand increases the overall metabolism in the body including the brain, helps in the absorption of nutrients, and neutralizes the complications of other drugs.

Pharmacological evaluation of Virya of drugs shows that UshnaVirya is dominating. Ushnavirya pacifies the vitiated vatadosha in the condition of speech impairment. At the same time ushnavirya also increases the blood circulation in thebrain

Kalyanleha and Speech Therapy effectively reduced the signs and symptoms of Speech impairment. The trial group and Control group provide highly significant relief (p<0.001) with 45.0% and 42.3% in stuttering episodes respectively. The trial group with 43.7% and Control group with 40.6% were significant in Duration of disfluency. In Physical concomitants trial group and control group were highly significant at 37.0% and 42.5% inrespectively. Concerning stuttering episodes & Duration of disfluency, Kalyanleha showed better results compared to Speech therapy while concerning Physical concomitant, Speech Therapy showed better results compared to Kalyanleha. It was observed that in both the groups patient were relieved of

Volume 10 Issue 6, June 2021

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064

ISSN: 2319-7064 SJIF (2020): 7.803

symptoms of Speech Impairment within 21 days after the commencement of treatment.

References

- [1] Patwardhan B, Vaidya AD, Chorghade M. Ayurveda and natural products drug discovery. Current science. 2004 Mar 25:789-99.
- [2] Arbar A, Savanur PP, Veena KH, Hulyalkar K. Pallava 2019-Unleashing the milestones-An event report. Indian Journal Of Ayurveda & Integrative Medicine Kleu. 2021 Jan 1;2(1):44.
- [3] Clinical Decision Making in Fluency Disorders book by Walter H. Manning page no. 38-45.
- [4] Nathan L, Stackhouse J, Goulandris N, Snowling MJ. The development of early literacy skills among children with speech difficulties.
- [5] Fox AV, Dodd B, Howard D. Risk factors for speech disorders in children. International Journal of Language & Communication Disorders. 2002 Apr 6;37(2):117-31.
- [6] Sushruta. SushrutaSamhita- NibandhaSangrahaDalhana commentary, edited by YadavjiTriakamji Acharya, nidanasthana, vatavyadhinidanopakrama, Varanasi: ChaukambhaSurbharatiPrakashan, 2003, Page no269.
- [7] Kapur M. Basic Principles of Ayurveda. InPsychological Perspectives on Childcare in Indian Indigenous Health Systems 2016 (pp. 15-29). Springer, New Delhi.

Volume 10 Issue 6, June 2021 www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY