International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)
ISSN: 2319-7064
SJIF (2022): 7.942

How Insider Trading Affects Corporate Law

Bahitra Basu

Christ University, Delhi NCR, India

1. Introduction

Due to its effects on corporate law and market integrity, insider trading is a concept that has attracted a lot of attention recently. The act of purchasing or selling shares by those who have access to information that is not generally known to the public gives them an unfair edge over other investors. Insider trading has far - reaching repercussions, impacting not just the individuals engaged but also the level of trust and openness in the corporate sector. Insider trading is the act of someone who, for whatever reason, possesses non - public confidential knowledge about the shares of a publicly traded corporation, trading in those shares. Depending on the time the insider trades, insider trading may be either lawful or criminal. Because of the serious consequences of this type of insider trading and the continued confidentiality of critical information, it is unlawful. India's 1992 SEBI Act regulates insider trading. Anyone found guilty of insider trading faces a maximum sentence of five years in prison and a fine of between Rs.5 lakh and Rs.25 crores, or three times the profit made, whichever amount is larger. The regulations governing these trades and the degree of compliance vary greatly from one nation to another.

Insider denotes any individual who is: i) a connected person; ii) in possession of or having access to unpublished price sensitive information, according to Regulation 2 (g) of the SEBI (Prohibition of Insider Trading) Act. Regulation 2 (d) defines connected person and 2 (e) defines generally available information, of the 2015 Act. Section 11 (2) (e) of the Companies Act, 1956 bars, but it does not define insider trading.

Insider trading, according to the US Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), refers to the purchase or selling of a securities based on significant, non - public knowledge about the security while violating a fiduciary responsibility or other relationship of trust and confidence. Additionally, "tipping" such information, trading in securities by the person "tipped, " and dealing in securities by individuals who improperly get such information are all examples of insider trading crimes.

Understanding Insider Trading

Insider trading occurs when individuals, typically company insiders or those connected to them, utilize non - public material information to trade stocks or securities. This practice is considered illegal in most jurisdictions due to its potential to harm market fairness and investor confidence. "The regulations" are the SEBI regulations on insider trading prohibition from 1992, and they state: An individual is considered a "insider" if they are, were, or are deemed to have been connected to the company and can be reasonably expected to have access to unpublished price sensitive information regarding its securities or to have received or had access to such unpublished price sensitive information. Persons with inside knowledge will consistently have an advantage in trading using inside information, whereas persons without inside information will always lose in the market if the capital market permits insider trading to continue undetected. This second group, and most investors in general, will soon realise that they are playing a losing game and will consequently believe that all transactions are against them. The ordinary investor will gradually leave the market, leaving behind crucial stock market operations like finance.

Cases of Insider Trading in India

Several high - profile cases of insider trading have emerged in India, shedding light on the detrimental effects of such practices.

Reliance Industries Ltd

RIL was banned from the futures market for a year by the Indian Securities and Exchange Board, which also fined the company. The corporation was accused by the Exchange Regulator of attempting to benefit by evading its legally permitted trading restrictions and depressing the price of its stock in the money markets.

Rakesh Jhunjhunwala case

In January 2020, SEBI opened an inquiry on him due to allegations of possible insider trading. These allegations were supported by the transactions he and his family had at Aptech, a provider of IT training. The only firm in Jhunjunwala's portfolio over which he has management control is Aptech. Jhunjhunwala's wife, brother, and mother - in - law were also questioned by SEBI. Rakesh Jhunjhunwala has engaged in insider trading before, though, so this is not a first. He was again questioned in 2018 on the off chance that Geometric stock insider trading had occurred. The matter was resolved by Rakesh Jhunjunwala via a consent order method. To avoid a protracted legal battle, SEBI and the accused are requesting approval for a deal.

Brett Kennedy's case

Brett Kennedy, a former financial analyst for Amazon. com Inc. (AMZN), was found guilty of insider trading in September 2017. Authorities claim that previous to publication, Kennedy provided information about Amazon's first - quarter 2015 profitability to fellow Washington University alum Maziar Rezakhani. Rezakhani paid Kennedy $10, 000 for the information. Similar to this situation, the SEC claimed that Rezakhani profited $115, 997 by trading Amazon shares as a result of Kennedy's advice.

The Impact of Insider Trading on Corporate Law

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

Insider trading presents a number of difficulties for corporation law as well as the larger financial system. First off, it compromises the integrity of financial markets by undermining the idea of fair and equal access to information. Insiders who abuse their position for personal benefit undermine investor and public confidence, which makes it more difficult to allocate resources efficiently.

Second, insider trading has the potential to affect how the market determines prices. Insiders can trade intelligently thanks to non - public knowledge, which results in a mispricing of assets. This mispricing can lead to market inefficiencies, discourage potential investors, and impede a company's ability to expand and thrive. Additionally, insiders have an unfair edge over other market players due to information asymmetry, which is perpetuated through insider trading. This informational disparity makes the playing field unlevel and makes it difficult to allocate resources fairly. It deters smaller market participants and retail investors, which has an impact on market liquidity and overall market efficiency. Insider trading gives business leaders the opportunity to take use of their informational advantages while exposing firms and outside shareholders to potentially high costs, such as the risk of adverse selection and securities law breaches. Corporate rules and regulations seek to mitigate this effect by creating standards for the reporting and disclosure of critical information. These rules encourage openness and guarantee that each market player has access to the same data, creating a fair playing field.

Insider trading may restrict access to money and slow economic development. Investor reluctance to invest may result from a perception that insider trading has corrupted the securities market, which would reduce the amount of money flowing into enterprises. This lack of investment may impede innovation, development, and job creation, which would eventually have an adverse effect on economic growth. By setting guidelines and standards that safeguard the integrity of the market, corporate law is essential in reducing these impacts. Corporate law builds investor trust and supports capital creation by enforcing fair and transparent commercial practises, hence fostering economic progress. Significant obstacles must be overcome in order to enforce laws against insider trading. It takes sophisticated monitoring systems, investigation tools, and cooperation between regulatory agencies, exchanges, and market players to identify and validate cases of insider trading. These difficulties result from the covert nature of insider trading, the requirement for solid proof, and the potential participation of foreign countries.

The regulation of insider trading has proven to be the most difficult of the key problems that SEBI must handle. A reexamination of the matter was necessitated by the enactment of such a regulation, which earned the ugly moniker of a "unwinnable battle. " Insider trading accounted for 14 percent (34 instances) of SEBI's investigations in the 2016–2017 fiscal year, up from 12 cases the year before, according to SEBI's annual report for the period. Insider trading is pervasive and becoming more common each year. In addition, just 15 of the 34 cases that were accepted for review have been resolved. This does raise serious concerns. Even though claims of insider trading are frequently supported by circumstantial evidence, it can be challenging to track down and establish them. Even when it has been discovered, the likelihood of a successful conviction has been almost negligible.

Means of Controlling Insider Trading

Adopting rules that outlaw such transactions, make them punitive, and enforce civil and criminal laws against offenders are some ways to deal with insider trading. Experience has shown us that this strategy, even in nations with tougher rules, provides only marginal alleviation. As an illustration, the SEC only filed 47 insider trading lawsuits in 2002. Another strategy is to promote self - regulation and preventative actions among businesses. It has a deep connection to the area of corporate governance.

Companies can use it to communicate to the market that there is efficient self - regulation in place and that investors can invest in their securities with confidence. Self - regulation is seen as a successful means of generating shareholder value in addition to discouraging misbehaviour (albeit not always discouraging it). A business can nevertheless control its directors and officers in a way that is against the law and still disclose the information to shareholders.

To make it easier for insiders to comply with the new trade reporting requirements, issuers must either specify a single broker through which all transactions in the issuer's shares must be conducted, or mandate that insiders use only brokers who comply with the company's rules. By keeping an eye on all transactions and promptly informing issuers of any changes, designated brokers can aid in ensuring that a firm's screening processes and reporting requirements are followed. The issuer should require the insider to obtain an attestation from its broker certifying that the broker: confirms with the issuer that each transaction entered on behalf of the insider is pre - approved; and Immediately reports to the issuer the details of each insider trading in the securities of the issuer.

The penalty for insider trading is described in S.15G of the SEBI Act as "shall be liable to a penalty not exceeding five lakh rupees." In relation to prosecution for violating any provisions of the Act or any rules and regulations made thereunder, Section 24 is an all - inclusive criminal provision. The Board has considerable jurisdiction to take necessary remedial measures under Section 11 of the SEBI Act. Quoting According to this Act's requirements, the board of directors has a responsibility to safeguard the interests of stockholders and control the growth of the securities market using whatever means it considers necessary. Furthermore, it has the authority to issue Corporate "appropriate instructions in the interest of securities investors" to anybody involved in the securities market.

Challenges and Trade OFFS

There are inherent difficulties in balancing the regulation of insider trading with the requirement to support a vibrant and competitive market. Determining the boundary between lawful insider trading and legitimate knowledge sharing is a significant difficulty. Nuanced laws and strong enforcement procedures are needed to strike a balance between promoting

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

corporate openness and protecting against market exploitation. Additionally, it is difficult to harmonise insider trading laws across jurisdictions due to the global nature of financial markets. Effectively addressing cross - border insider trading is difficult due to disparate legal systems and enforcement procedures. International agreements and cooperation amongst regulatory organisations are essential in the fight against this problem.

2. Conclusion

It is critical to think about how judgements on insider trading and corporate law may affect investor protection, market efficiency, and market integrity. To prevent and penalise insider trading, regulators must concentrate on defining precise guidelines and putting in place efficient monitoring and enforcement systems. Maintaining the public's trust and confidence in the financial markets depends on finding the correct balance between openness and regulation.

In conclusion, insider trading seriously undermines the integrity of the market and corporate law. Stringent rules and enforcement are required due to its detrimental consequences on fair competition, market efficiency, and investor trust. Policymakers may try to create a level playing field that promotes openness, justice, and long - term market growth by addressing the tradeoffs involved and taking into account the impact on diverse stakeholders.

References

[1] PTI, 2017. SEBI Seeks Bank Details, Call Records of Officials in WhatsApp Leak. [online] Business - standard. com. Available at: Almeida, A., 2020
[2] Famous Insider Trading Cases in India Archives - Trade Brains. [online] Trade Brains. Available at: https: //tradebrains. in/tag/famous - insider - trading - cases - in - india/
[3] Singh, K., n. d. Insider Trading Laws in India In Comparison with The Laws in US And UK. [online] Legalservicesindia. com.
[4] https: //www.legalserviceindia. com/legal/article - 4845 - what - is - insider - trading. html
[5] George, R., n. d. SEBI On Insider Trading. [online] Legalserviceindia. com. Available at: Chopra, P., n. d. Insider Trading Laws In India. [online] Legalservicesindia. com. Available at: [Accessed 12 October 2020].
[6] Corporate Governance, History and Evolution by Praveen B. Malla
[7] SEC Annual Report, 2001
[8] insider Trading | Investor. Gov. [online] Available at: Vivro. net. n. d.
[9] Overview of The SEBI (Prohibition Of Insider Trading) Regulations, 2015. [online] Available at:
[10] SEBI Act No.15 of 1992
[11] Corporate Governance & Insider Trading Laws In India[at]taxguru. in

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY