International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064

SJIF (2022): 7.942

Applying Ayurvedic Principles for Diagnosis and Treatment of Chronic Kidney Diseases: An Integrative Approach

Dr. Abhay N Kulkarni¹, Dr. Rajashree A Kulkarni²

¹Professor & HOD, Department of Swasthavritta, A. S. S Ayurved College, Nashik Corresponding Author Email: abhaynk[at]yahoo.com Mobile No.9822537240

²Associate Professor, Department of Rasashastra A. S. S Ayurved College, Nashik Email: *rajashree.abhay[at]gmail.com*

Abstract: This paper explores the application of Ayurvedic principles and methodologies in diagnosing and treating Chronic Kidney Diseases CKD. It delves into the Anukta philosophy, a concept in Ayurveda that can be utilized to diagnose and treat diseases not explicitly mentioned in Ayurvedic texts. The paper demonstrates how this philosophy can be applied to CKD, a condition that lacks a known Ayurvedic diagnosis. The study involves a comprehensive analysis and scientific interpretation of references related to Kidney in Ayurvedic classical texts using Tantrayukti, a methodology of interpretation of verses. The paper concludes that Kidneys were known to Ayurveda, and their anatomical description and physiology are elaborately mentioned. The paper also suggests that CKD can be diagnosed as Nija Basti Marmabhighata leading to Udara, or Nephrogenic Ascites, based on Ayurvedic principles.

Keywords: Ayurveda, Kidney, CKD, Organs, Anukta, Tantrayukti

1. Introduction

Diseases of organs and their treatment is considered as the domain of modern medicine. It is perceived that there is an absence of clear guidance regarding the management of such diseases in Ayurvedic texts. Ayurvedic medicine is mostly considered as useful for chronic diseases, but the history of mankind suggests that Ayurvedic medicine was used to treat all acute and chronic conditions including terminal conditions. Therefore, it is hard to believe that Ayurvedic medicine can have no role in diagnosis and treatment of organs and their diseases.

Currently there is tremendous rise in the number of patients suffering from chronic kidney disease (CKD) and that of other organs. Most of them are required to manage their lives with supportive treatment options, as replacement of these organs is prohibitively expensive and available to a chosen few. The supportive treatment like dialysis in case of CKD is also very expensive and comes with its own set of problems. As a result, majority of patients are left with palliative care and waiting for the inevitable to happen.

Growing number of people even with terminal conditions are willing to explore the realm of Ayurveda. Ayurveda has in its treasure many different approaches to treatment of diseases which are simple yet effective. These are being used since ages to treat many incurable diseases, but diseases of the organs are such an area where even the successful Vaidya feel stuck and those who achieve success in the treatment are not able to establish a reproducible module that others can follow. Academic explanation of this type of treatment, that can establish a cause effect relationship and explain the logical chain of aetiology, pathogenesis, symptoms, and their treatment is missing. A solution that the other Vaidyas can follow, and replicate is

unfortunately not documented. There is an urgent need to devise the line of treatment of diseases of organs which can be viewed as a guideline for upcoming practitioners, scholars, and researchers.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Materials

Compilation of references related to Kidneys and the urinary system from the *Samhitas* that are scattered all over the texts was done, collected references were indexed as per the logical sequence of information in such a way that it will provide the required information for a treating doctor about these diseases i. e., Anatomy, Physiology, pathogenesis, symptoms, prognosis, treatment, complications etc. These textual references were used as the material for this research.

These references were interpreted on the basis of *Tantrayukti*, which is a tool described in Ayurveda to scientifically derive information from the textual quotes as the standard guideline for interpretation of these quotes.

Analysis of these references was done in light of Ayurvedic principles of *Sharir* (~Anatomy and physiology). An effort was done to see whether it helped to connect the missing dots in understanding the pathogenesis of CKD according to Ayurveda. And that whether this facilitated Ayurveda based diagnosis and prognosis that can lead to develop the line of treatment of CKD.

The inferred observations were checked for making an Ayurvedic diagnosis of the condition of patients of CKD of various stages and varied aetiology attending the OPD and IPD.

Volume 12 Issue 7, July 2023

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)

ISSN: 2319-7064 SJIF (2022): 7.942

2.2 Methods

Uhya Tantrayukti to unleash the knowledge hidden in concise sutras.

References in Ayurvedic texts regarding Kidneys, their functions, diseases etc. are very few. If we try to analyze the information with a standard approach it will not yield sufficient information which can be used for diagnosis and treatment of complex diseases like CKD.

For this purpose, the use of "Uhya" Tantrayukti is recommended. Charaka states that the use of Tantrayukti is essential to derive the exact meaning of the text. Tantrayuktis guide us to the exact meaning like a light in the dark. Studying the Ayurvedic texts without the use of Tantrayukti is compared to the futile efforts of an unfortunate person who tries to earn money but is not successful due to his misfortune^[1].

Uhya Tantrayukti is used in conditions like these when the references are very few. Using "*Uhya*" *Tantrayukti* we can get unlimited information from limited references ^[2] and derive the information described in the text that is not elaborately explained.

In a way it is a scientific way of expanding the logic in the textual references, something like unzipping a file on a computer.

A detailed analysis (collection, elaboration & expansion) of the following textual references regarding kidney was done using "Uhya" Tantrayukti to understand the applied anatomy, physiology, pathogenesis etc. of chronic kidney disease from the references scattered across the texts.

Tools for diagnosis of diseases not mentioned in Ayurvedic texts

Anukta & Tantrayukti

There are many diseases which may not be mentioned in the texts, there are many conditions so complex that they cannot be given a name. The guidelines for the diagnosis & treatment of all such diseases in Ayurveda are very well in place.

They are called as *Anukta* (~diseases /conditions not mentioned in the texts). This inconspicuous section at the end of *Chikitsa sthana* in *Charaka Samhita* section seems to be overlooked. It says that the diseases which are not mentioned here due to constraint of space and **considering the huge volume and number** should also be **treated along** the same lines ^[3].

On the Same lines means the way other diseases are treated i. e., on the *Dosha*, *dhatu mala* principles. *Tri - dosha* theory is very central to Ayurveda, which is uniformly used for everything from general principles of health to diagnosis and treatment of diseases.

It says that **ALL** diseases that the mankind suffers are essentially created without exception by *tridoshas* and should be treated as per *doshic* dominance, site, cause, and symptoms ^{[4],} just like a bird flying whole day is not able to get rid of its own shadow.

According to this reference it can be inferred that diseases like CKD can also be diagnosed according to the presentation of symptoms and understanding of the pathogenesis based on their *doshic* dominance, involvement, and manifestation of *dhatus* and *mala* etc.

Vagbhata has described symptoms of vitiated doshas that covers signs & symptoms of all diseases that can happen to mankind. More than a list of symptoms it is a tool for clinical examination to make a diagnosis of doshic dominance in any disease.

It says that, thus the *dosha lakshanas* (~ signs and symptoms) *that are found in ALL Diseases* are described (in the above - mentioned shlokas), a Vaidya should carefully examine them by *darshan* (~visual examination), *sparshan* (~palpitation) and *prashna* (~inquiry) etc. to identify various stages of the disease by constantly observing the patient carefully ^[5].

Further he says that if we carefully consider all factors like *Dushya* (~body tissues), *Desha* (~habitat), *Bala* (~strength), *Kala* (~time), etc. while making a diagnosis and deciding the treatment of any disease, it is very unlikely that the treatment will go wrong ^[6].

Based on this we can draw an inference that Ayurvedic Acharyas wanted to emphasize that any disease can be diagnosed and treated with Ayurvedic treatment. These references highlight the most important fact that, we need to make an **Ayurvedic diagnosis (doshic dominance, manifestation of dhatu's and mala's etc.)** of the condition / disease before proceeding with the treatment.

Ayurvedic Diagnosis of CKD

The classification of diseases of urinary system is divided in two basic categories in Ayurvedic texts.

- Mutraghata in which the amount of urine is less and other,
- 2) *Prameha* in which the quantity of urine is increased.

Chronic Kidney Disease comes under the category of *Mutraghata*. Though the quantity of urine is not reduced in earlier stages of CKD, later stages surely show reduction in quantity of urine that is produced.

Ayurvedic texts have described two ways of knowing a disease, one is the examination of a patient which can also be called clinical examination [7] and second is knowledge of the disease itself.

To understand a disease or to know a disease, Ayurvedic texts have described five means viz. *Nidan* (~causes, aetiology), *Purvaroopa* (~Predisposing factors), *Lakshan* (~Symptoms), *Upashaya* - *Anupashaya* (~Relieving and aggravating factors) and *Samprapti* (~Pathogenesis) ^[8]. This is referred to as *Nidaan Panchaka* meaning five - pronged approach to disease. This is the methodology described by Ayurved to make a diagnosis of any disease. Only then can we get a complete idea about any disease.

Volume 12 Issue 7, July 2023

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064

SJIF (2022): 7.942

Ayurveda believes that knowing the disease and examining the patient suffering from the disease are two different things, both equip you with the necessary knowledge to treat a disease particularly one like CKD. Here we are talking about knowing a disease that is not elaborately described in the Ayurvedic classical texts. The aim of this study is to see if we can establish the Ayurvedic diagnosis of chronic kidney disease in the frame of *Nidaan Panchaka*, which would be a technically correct way of establishing an Ayurvedic Diagnosis of any disease.

While treating patients with diseases/conditions that are not described in Ayurvedic texts, a general trend is to compare only the symptoms of that disease with similar set of symptoms of any disease or condition described in the Ayurvedic texts. Unfortunately, it is only one aspect to know the disease and is often incomplete and at times can be misleading. As per the guidance of Ayurvedic classics we need to find similarity for all five aspects of a disease, only then can we get a complete perspective with which we will be able to treat the patients suffering from it. This is particularly true regarding complicated diseases like diseases of organs. An effort is made here to compile and compare the references and to find similarities in all five ways of knowing CKD i. e., to establish an Ayurvedic diagnosis of CKD using *Nidaanpanchaka of CKD* in Ayurvedic texts.

Pathophysiology of Chronic Kidney disease according to Ayurveda

If we must name one way of comparing a disease described in Ayurveda with the disease described in Modern medicine or vice versa, it is the Samprapti (~pathogenesis of that disease). Other parts of Nidan Panchaka like Poorvarupa (~Predisposing Symptoms) and Lakshana (~Symptoms) are dependent on Pathogenesis where Hetu (~cause) is the one which starts the process of disease; hence it is also connected with pathogenesis. Upashaya -anupashaya (~relieving and aggravating factors) also confirm the pathogenesis. Hence Samprapti is central to the Nidan Panchakaof any disease. If we find similarity in pathogenesis of two diseases, we can safely assume that they are one because ultimately it is the process by which a disease is created matters. It is the pathogenesis that creates symptoms, the variety and severity of symptoms depends upon various other factors, but the pathogenesis by and large remains the same. Therapeutically knowing the Samprapti is essential as the goal of treatment is defined as Samprapti Vighatanamevachikitsa (~to pathogenesis is the real goal of treatment).

Hence it is important to study the pathogenesis of CKD and find similar pathogenesis described in Ayurvedic texts to understand pathology of CKD according to Ayurveda.

Damage to renal parenchyma is the central event in the pathogenesis of chronic kidney disease. It can occur due to various causes. It can happen because of external injury as well as due to internal factors. The damage thus caused is known as medical renal disease. This pathology is described in a similar way in the Ayurvedic texts. It is called Abhighata (~damage), kidney is a vital organ called as Basti Marma, one of the three Maha Marmasi. e., major vital organs hence damage to kidneys can be interpreted as

damage to *Basti Marma*. It can happen because of external injury as well as due to internal factors like vitiated *doshas* described by Ayurvedic texts and. In other words, damage to renal tissue can be interpreted as *Marmabhighata*. Damage to Kidney is a major risk factor for many serious diseases and death. The same view is echoed in the Ayurvedic texts regarding *Marmabhighata*.

In the description of Basti Marma, Acharya Charaka says that:

If any one of these three main organs (*Maha Marma*) are destroyed, death occurs immediately and damage to any of these results in very serious diseases. Hence, they should be carefully protected from external damage as well as that from *Vatadi Doshas* (Internal Damage) ^[9].

Based on this, the main event in the pathogenesis of CKD can be interpreted as resulting from internal injury to the vital organ, which is called *Nija Basti - Marmabhighata – Marma* is vital organ, *Aghata* means damage, *Marmaghata* is damage to vital organ. The *Marmaghata* is specified as *Nija* i. e., done by *Vataadi Doshas* (internal).

Marma is another anatomical feature described exclusively by Ayurvedic texts which means vital points in the body. The precision in description of theses *Marmas* is such that they have described minute details of these *Marmasi*. e., their number (107), exact location, measurement, and composition (*mamsa*, *sira*, *asthi*, *sandhi* etc.). These *Marmas* are so vital that damage to it can be fatal and even slight damage to these can lead to serious diseases.

Basti Marma is one of the three most important Marma, due to its importance it is referred as Maha Marma. This description of three Maha Marmas correlates with the description of Shiro (Brain) Hriday (Heart) and Basti (Kidney) and we all know how important these organs are for the continuation of life.

However, this correlation is not merely for theoretical purpose, the fact that Kidney can be related to *Basti Marma* opens completely new areas for diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment options for diseases of Kidney. It also gives a clear understanding of the kidneys in Ayurveda and helps to formulate the line of treatment of such diseases.

Marma, specifically the three *Maha Marmas are the seat of Prana*, the life force ^[10] and since *Basti - Marma* one of the three major Marmas in the body. It is obvious that existence of life is grossly dependant on *Basti Marma* e., Kidney.

It says that the *Pranas* are located in these three *Maha Marmas*. Hence one should always try to protect them. The protection plan for *Maha Marmas* is described as having three aspects, one is prevention of damage (external injury and internal damage), second is following the recommended lifestyle (Diet, *Dinacharya and Rutucharya etc.*) and third is attending the complaints of these *Marmas* immediately.

It also means that we must think of Prana as a factor in the treatment of diseases of Kidney.

Volume 12 Issue 7, July 2023

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064

SJIF (2022): 7.942

Prana is directly connected to Oja Since it is related to life, it is also related to other factors on which life is dependant like *Oja*. It is said in the references that if *oja* is damaged the life is at threat [11] and it is also evident from this reference that oja and Prana are in conjunction with each other which means wherever Prana is present Oja is also present and vice versa.

The other reference of Ashtanga Hriday also echoes the same concept.

It says that Oja is essence of all seven tissues, it maintains the whole body though it is situated in the heart. It is unctuous and cool and is yellow in colour with a reddish tinge. If it is damaged or destroyed, then we are also destroyed, if it is carefully protected, we are protected. It regenerates various factors of our body [12].

Oja is connected to the blood vessels that are connected to Marmas [10].

Whenever there is damage to any structure in the body it is the *oja* that gets affected.

Abhighata or injury is described as a cause of Ojakshayai. e., reduction in the quantity and quality of $Oja^{[13]}$.

Marmabhighta (injury to Marma) is more severe hence it causes more intense Ojakshaya hence in patients of CKD (later stages) we usually observe that such patients show signs of Ojakshaya [14]. Like feeling extremely weak, fragile, depressed, dry skin, low immunity, frequently getting sick

Hence it can be inferred that Oja as a factor also needs to be considered in the pathogenesis of CKD.

Thus, we have established from these references how the effect of damage to bastimarma i. e., Kidneys results in reduction in *Oja* causing threat to *Prana* which means threat to life and thus the main event i. e., damage of the kidney tissue in the pathogenesis of CKD according to Ayurveda stands decoded.

As described earlier Samprapti or pathogenesis is central to any disease hence it needs to be seen that is there any resemblance of pathogenesis of CKD with any disease described in Ayurvedic texts? Analysis of description of all diseases described in Ayurvedic texts revealed that *Udara* is one such disease which has similar pathogenesis with CKD. The pathogenesis or Samprapti of Udara is described as follows.

It says that vitiated doshas that are accumulated in the srotasa (swedavaha and ambuvahai. e., sweat and fluid channels) create obstruction in these channels and create Udara by vitiating Prana, Agni and Apana [15]. The obstruction part of the pathogenesis described in Udara finds resemblance in pathogenesis of CKD. The damage to the renal parenchyma leading to loss of cortico - medullary differentiation resulting in fibrosis and causing obstruction in the glomerular filtration. which gives rise to nephrogenic ascites in patients of End Stage Renal Disease (ESRD). This as earlier mentioned, can be interpreted as basti marmabhighata due to nijai. e., internal /systemic causes, leading to *Udara*.

Role of Samana Vayu is also evident in the pathogenesis of *Udara* in the above - mentioned reference [15]. It is important to note that the affected srotasas are same as the area of operation of Samana vayu as described by Charaka Samhita [16].

The fact that Samana Vayu is instrumental in separation of urine from food at the second level and that the pathogenesis of *Udara* (Ascites) also occurs in the area of operation of Samana vayuclearly highlights the role of Samana Vayu in the pathogenesis of Udara. The fact that the process of formation of urine is affected by the obstruction in the area of operation of Samana vayu establishes the connection of *Udara* ascites in patients of CKD. Since *Samana Vayu* is related to digestion, this pathogenesis explains the symptoms of anorexia found in patients of *Udara* as well as CKD.

The Samprapti (pathogenesis) of Udara clearly mentions the vitiation of *Prana* as an important event in the pathogenesis. As previously mentioned, damage to Marma results in threat to prana & Oja. Marma specifically the three main marmas are the seat of Prana hence it is clear that the pathogeneis of Udara occurs at Basti Marma as Bastimarma (i. e., Kidney) is the Marma connected to the fluids in the body i. e., Ambuvahasrotas, a fact mentioned by Charak Samhita.

Samana vayuis connected to Agni [16], it is assigned the job of separation of Urine [17], it is connected to ambuvahasrotas i. e., fluid channels in the body the end point of which is Kidneys [18]. If all this is taken into consideration as per the above - mentioned references, it will also mean that damage to Kidneys can cause vitiation of Samana Vayu. In other words, we can say that damage to Samana Vayu can trigger the pathogenesis of *Udara*. This is inferred based on *Uhya Tantrayukti* by high lighting the between the line meanings in these references, in other words connecting the missing dots in the logical link.

If Samana vayuis affected, it will affect the Agni also. Vitiation of Agni is the main event in Udara [19]. Type of vitiation of Agni herein Udara needs to be pondered upon as in Samprapti how the doshas are vitiated is equally important as it is peculiar to every disease, and it differs even in the same disease in each individual. Hence to know the disease well, it is important to consider in what way has the vitiation of doshas has occured (yathadushtenadoshena) and where the vitiated doshas travel (yatha cha anu visarpta) leading to vitiation of tissues (dhatu) and how the develop leading to cascade symptoms nirvruttiramayasayau in the disease process [20].

This is particularly important when we are studying the diseases like CKD in Ayurvedic perspective. Here, while we are observing the resemblance of pathogenesis of CKD with Samprapti of Udara the type of vitiation of doshas is the factor that becomes the deciding factor which particularly clarifies the correctness of this interpretation.

Volume 12 Issue 7, July 2023

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

DOI: 10.21275/SR23725132920 1899 Paper ID: SR23725132920

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064

SJIF (2022): 7.942

Charak Samhita has described various ways in which Agni is vitiated [21] and it emphasizes that the course of treatment changes in different types of vitiation of Agni, hence it is important to understand the way in which Agni is vitiated for knowing the disease as well as for treating it.

In Udara, Agni is vitiated by excessive vitiation of doshas as is specified by Doshvrudhya tumandegnau. This is reconfirmed in the description of samprapti of Udara [22].

Excessive vitiation of Doshas leads to Marmabhighata of Bastimarma (damage to kidneys) causing Prana and Oja vitiation along with vitiation of Samana Vayu and Agni. Damage to renal parenchyma which also is a seat of maladhara kala i. e., a membrane where glomerular filtration occurs leads to disruption in the process of glomerular filtration. This further causes accumulation of metabolic waste - products i. e., malasanchaya giving rise to formation of Ama that leads to further vitiation of Agni. Damage to Bastimarma leads to destruction and hence obstruction at the level of glomeruli as is explained by Rudhwaswedambuvahanidoshahsrotaaumsisanchitaha in the pathogenesis of *Udara*.

The following reference of Charak Samhita explains the area of operation of another important type of Vayu i. e., Apana Vayu. Basically, seated in the Pakwashaya or Apana area, it controls the functions of Genitals, Kidney, bladder, umbilicus and related plexuses, anus, and uterus in case of females. It is basically assigned with the responsibility of holding and timely evacuation of faecal matter, urine, semen and sperms, menstruation, foetus, and related functions $[^{23}]$. The area of operation of Samana, Vyan and Apana Vayu (s) intermingle with each other as all vayu (s) work in tandem with each other. Overall excretion of metabolic wastes gets affected in Udara, hence Apanaalong with prana and Agni are mentioned as a vitiated factor in pathogenesis of *Udara*.

Description of Udara in Charaka Samhita suggests that it is not a single disease but a group of diseases (~rogasanghahaprutagvidhaha) because description of its types has covered ascites due to all causes like cardiac, hepatic, tubercular, renal etc. including those due to (~Chidrodarand perforation and obstruction badhagudodara). It is a condition where eventually there is development of ascites (including cascade of events in the disease process prior to development of ascites) and the treatment of Udara is the treatment of this condition depending upon the type of *Udara*.

In CKD the symptoms are very typical to *Udara*, particularly Vatodara.

After considering the similarity in Samprapti or pathogenesis, the central part of Nidaan Panchak, we will ponder upon the other four aspects like etiology, pre disposing symptoms and symptoms and relieving aggravating factors.

Assessing the *Poorvarupa* i. e., predisposing factors of any disease is one way of knowing the disease.

Poorva rupais defined as the condition in which the doshas have not yet stabilized and the symptoms of that disease are not yet fully developed and felt mildly [24].

In Ayurvedic classical texts there are a set of symptoms described for every disease which predicts that disease even before the disease process is complete. The goal is to detect the disease at an early stage and start the treatment at this stage when the pathogenesis is weak and reversible. Initially the symptoms are less and with low intensity because the doshas are yet to decide a fixed seat for pathogenesis.

The role of *Poorvarupa* is much bigger than early detection of disease, as it tells us the exact location of the pathogenesis of that disease, which is called as sthana samshraya which means it is the starting point of the disease process [25], this information can be used to target the treatment at that specific location and gain considerable advantage by preventing the progression of disease.

This is so important in the case of patients of CKD. This reference says that a physician who knows the sthana samshrayais a successful physician [26].

If these symptoms go unattended, they are converted into signs and symptoms of a properly developed disease [27]. Hence Poorvarupa and roopa of the Nidan Panchaka of a disease are considered together. The symptoms of Poorvarupa of *Udara* are also visible in patients of CKD, and later continue as symptoms.

It is observed that the description of symptoms of patients of ESRD are comparable to symptoms of Udara Poorva roopa [^{28]}. In fact, if we take a closer look at these predisposing symptoms of Udara, one might get a feeling that these symptoms represent a journey of a patient of CKD who is slowly progressing from being asymptomatic to ESRD.

Kshunnasha means loss of appetite, detailed history taking of patients of CKD reveals that they frequently complain of unexplained loss of appetite for a longer time even before they are detected as patients of CKD.

Swadu, atisnigdha, gurvannampachyatechirat which means sweets, fried, oily and heavy to digest food substances take lot of time to digest, such patients complain that after an episode of eating food at parties or restaurants they don't feel like having another meal and feel like having indigestion for a longer duration.

Bhuktamvidhaiyatesarvamjeernaajeernamnavetti cha means these patients complain of hyperacidity and are not able to make out if the previously taken meals are digested or not, as the disease progresses the indigestion becomes prominent patient isn't willing to eat and when he eats, he/she complains of hyperacidity frequently.

SahatenatisauhityamishatShofashchapadayoho means such patients are not able to eat even little more than their regular quantity, if they happen to eat more it makes them very uncomfortable, patients start to show pedal edema, at this stage patient and the physician may start to think to investigate him/her further.

Volume 12 Issue 7, July 2023

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

Paper ID: SR23725132920 DOI: 10.21275/SR23725132920 1900

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064

SJIF (2022): 7.942

Shasvadbalakshayoalpepivyayameshwasamruchati means patients complain of severe debility, breathlessness on even very little exertion, at this stage disease has started to progress to stage IV or V of CKD. Further symptoms like constipation, belching, distention of abdomen, visible are seen in advanced stages. Looking at these symptoms it can be said that this would undoubtedly fit as though these are the description of patients of CKD progressing to ESRD.

Table no.1 Comparison of symptoms of End Stage Renal Disease (ESRD) & Udara Purva roopa (predisposing symptoms of ascites described by Ayurvedic texts).9 out of 11 symptoms are common in udarapurvarupa and ESRD. Hence based on Purvaroopa, CKD is comparable to Udara.

The comparison of description of Pathogenesis of CKD described in modern medicine & its interpretation according to Ayurveda reveals some interesting facts.

Table no.2 - Comparison of Ayurvedic & Allopathic Description of Pathogenesis of CKD and its relation to Udara. This table shows the similarity of concepts in Ayurveda and Allopathy except for the language.

If we look at the events in the pathogenesis of CKD, the other causative factor is accumulation of waste products, which is also mentioned as a cause of Udara. Hence causative factor i. e., Nidan of Nidan Panchaka for CKD and *Udara* is also found to be equivalent.

Ama (~Toxin generated due to indigestion) is a pathological condition described by Ayurvedic texts which is generated in the body due to presence of indigested metabolic products/toxins, it is also described as a cause of ascites (²⁹⁾. Amavastha (~Condition of Toxicity generated due to partly indigested food) is a condition generated in ESRD due to malasanchaya ^[30].

Malasanchaya means accumulation of wastes in the body this is also a cause of Udara [29]. Amavastha explains the reason for indigestion, anorexia, nausea and vomiting in ESRD. Inability of the kidneys to eliminate the toxins through urine amounts to accumulation of harmful substances like creatinine and urea which can be interpreted as wastes or excretory products. Their accumulation gives rise to Ama formation according to madhavnidan. In usual course it is the vitiation of Agni (~digestive fire) that is responsible for Ama, but here it is the formation of Ama due to malasanchaya or malavrudhi that leads to disruption of

Hence Udara is the primary diagnosis of CKD in Ayurveda because of below mentioned reasons:

- The symptoms of ESRD and Udara purvarupa are significantly similar.
- Causative factor in the pathogenesis of CKD is also a cause described in Udara.
- There is considerable similarity in pathogenesis of CKD & Udara.
- The patients of CKD are symptom less initially and land up in some type of Udara in terminal stages, this fact is acknowledged by number of researchers as nephrogenic ascites. Review of Nephrogenic ascites suggests that

this condition has been observed and studied by numerous scholars world over [34].

Thus, it can be said that study of CKD with respect to five means of diagnosis (Nidan i. e., causative factors, poorva roopa and roopa i. e., pre - disposing symptoms and signs and symptoms and samprapti i. e., pathogenesis) takes us to the interpretation that CKD can be interpreted as Nija Basti Marbhighata leading to Udara.

Here Upashaya or the relieving factors are vet to be considered as it comes into picture when the other factors fail to clearly identify the diagnosis [31] also because it pertains to treatment as suggested in its definition चौषधाहारविहाराणाम्पयोगःसुखानुबन्धः anything medicines, daily activities and food that offers relief is called as Upashaya^[32].

3. Developing Line of Treatment

Based on these references and their logical interpretations it needs to be seen if an Ayurvedic line of treatment can be developed based on Ayurvedic interpretation of CKD. It is also essential to see whether the line of treatment yields results in such patients and whether it is successful in arresting the progression of patients of CKD or reversing the pathology in such patients as ultimately any interpretation of the disease can be considered valid only if it successfully is able to make a difference in the lives of patients and in the treatment of his/her condition. This is echoed by the following reference of Charak Samhita.

The correctness of any interpretation is evident from the success achieved after its implementation and successful implementation defines a successful physician [33].

Hence it is essential to observe the utility of Ayurvedic interpretation of CKD in treating patients suffering from it. It is a subject of separate study.

Summary: The message emanating out of the below mentioned references and the inferences drawn based on these references using Tantrayukti, are summarised below for quick understanding of the reader.

All these references show that.

- Damage to renal parenchyma due to internal causes (medical renal disease) is the main event in the pathogenesis of chronic kidney disease, which is similar to the description of Nija Basti Marmabhighata described in Ayurveda.
- 2) Damage to renal parenchyma i. e., Marmabhighata which also is a seat of maladhara leads to disruption in the process of glomerular filtration due to fibrosis of the tissue leading to accumulation of metabolic waste - products i. e., malasanchaya giving rise to formation of Ama that leads to further vitiation of Agni.
- It can be interpreted as Abhighata i. e., damage to the Marma hence Marmabhighta. It is of two types, external injury which is called as Bahya Abhighata or internal damage due to systemic causes which is called as Nija Abhighata, hence internal injury to the renal

Volume 12 Issue 7, July 2023

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

Paper ID: SR23725132920 DOI: 10.21275/SR23725132920 1901

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)

ISSN: 2319-7064 SJIF (2022): 7.942

tissue due to systemic causes can be called as *Nija Basti* - marmabhighata.

- 4) Maha Marmas are the seat of Prana, the life force, and also of Oja, the essence of all tissues as Oja and Prana are in conjunction with each other.
- 5) Damage to *Marma* causes serious threat to life and is a cause of many grave diseases. It is also a cause of *Ojakshaya*.
- Samana Vayu is vitiated by damage to Basti Marma i. e., Kidneys and causes vitiation of Agni.
- 7) Accumulation of metabolic waste products due to damage to the renal tissue can be interpreted as *Malasanchaya*, where *Mala* meansmetabolic waste products and *Sanchay* means accumulation. It is a cause of formation of *Ama* which further vitiates *Agni*.
- 8) Excessive accumulation of toxins is also described as a cause of *Udara* denoted by the term *dosha atimatraupachyat*, *ati*meansexcess, *matra*means quantity and *upachayat*means accumulation.
- Type of vitiation in such case is termed as 'excessive' denoted by term dosha ativrudhya
- 10) Excessive vitiation of *Agni* is the root cause of many diseases especially *Udara*, according to Ayurvedic texts.
- 11) Area of operation of *Samana Vayu* as described by *Charak Samhita* is same as the affected *Srotas* described in the *Samprapti* of *Udara*.
- 12) Excessive vitiation of *Doshas* leads to *Marmabhighata* of *Bastimarma* (damage to kidneys) causing *Prana and Oja* vitiation along with vitiation of *Samana Vayu and Agni*.
- 13) Since *Samana Vayu* is entrusted with the responsibility of separation of *Sara* (nourishing part) and *Kitta* (metabolic waste products) and in formation of Urine, vitiation of *Samana Vayu* results in impaired glomerular filtration.
- 14) Pathogenesis of CKD has significant resemblance with the Pathogenesis of *Udara* described in Ayurveda.
- 15) There is considerable similarity in symptoms presented in patients of ESRD and *Udara Poorva rupa*.
- 16) Since co relation with all aspects of *Nidaanpanchaka* of *Udara* and CKD match along with similarity of pathogenesis of Damage to renal parenchyma with *BastiMarmabhigata*.
- 17) It is logical to conclude that Ayurvedic Diagnosis of CKD is *Nija Bast Marmabhighata janya Udara*.

4. Conclusion

The study establishes a significant correlation between Ayurvedic principles and the diagnosis and treatment of Chronic Kidney Diseases CKD. It demonstrates that the Ayurvedic philosophy of Anukta can be effectively applied to diagnose and treat CKD, a condition not explicitly mentioned in Ayurvedic texts. The research also establishes that Kidneys were known to Ayurveda, and their anatomical description and physiology are elaborately mentioned. The study concludes that CKD can be diagnosed as *Nija Basti Marmabhighata leading to Udara*, *or Nephrogenic Ascites*, based on Ayurvedic principles. This research opens up new avenues for the application of Ayurvedic principles in diagnosing and treating other diseases not explicitly mentioned in Ayurvedic texts.

References

- [1] Agnivesha, Charak Samhita of Acharya Charaka, Dridhabalakrit, edited by Vaidya JadhavjiTrikamji Aacharya. Siddhi Sthana. Ch.12, Ver 48. Edition: Reprint, 2013, Varanasi: ChaukhambaPrakashan. P 737
- [2] Commentator Chakrapani Dutta of Charak Samhita by Acharya Charaka, Dridhabalakrit, edited by Vaidya JadhavjiTrikamji Aacharya Siddhi sthan –Ch.12 Ver.41 45. Edition: Reprint, 2013, Varanasi: ChaukhambaPrakashan. P 737
- [3] Agnivesha, Charak Samhita of Acharya Charaka, Dridhabalakrit, edited by Vaidya JadhavjiTrikamji Aacharya. Chikitsa Sthana. Ch.30, Ver 291. Edition: Reprint, 2013, Varanasi: ChaukhambaPrakashan. P 645
- [4] Agnivesha, Charak Samhita of Acharya Charaka Dridhabalakrit, edited by Vaidya JadhavjiTrikamji Aacharya. Sutra Sthana. Ch.19, Ver 05. Edition: Reprint, 2013, Varanasi: ChaukhambaPrakashan. P 111
- [5] Vagbhata, Ashtanga Hriday, Annoted by Dr. Anna Moreshwar Kunte and Pt Krushna Shastri Navare, Edited by Pt. Hari Sadashiva Shastri Paradkar – Sutra sthan – Ch.12 – Ver.54, 55. Edition: Reprint, 2012, Varanasi: Chaukhamba Sanskrit Sansthan. P 203
- [6] Vagbhata, Ashtanga Hriday, Annoted by Dr. Anna Moreshwar Kunte and Pt Krushna Shastri Navare, Edited by Pt. Hari Sadashiva Shastri Paradkar – Sutra sthan – Ch.12 – Ver.67, 68. Edition: Reprint, 2012, Varanasi: Chaukhamba Sanskrit Sansthan. P 207
- [7] Commentator Arun Dutta of Ashtanga Hriday Vagbhata, annotated by Dr. Anna Moreshwar Kunte and Pt Krushna Shastri Navare, Edited by Pt. Hari Sadashiva Shastri Paradkar, Sutra Sthan, Ch.01 Ver.22. Edition: Reprint, 2012, Varanasi: Chaukhamba Sanskrit Sansthan. P 14.
- [8] Commentator Arun Dutta of Ashtanga Hriday Vagbhata, annotated by Dr. Anna Moreshwar Kunte and Pt Krushna Shastri Navare, Edited by Pt. Hari Sadashiva Shastri Paradkar, Sutra Sthan, Ch.01 Ver.22. Edition: Reprint, 2012, Varanasi: Chaukhamba Sanskrit Sansthan. P 14.
- [9] Agnivesha, Charak Samhita of Acharya Charaka, Dridhabalakrit, edited by Vaidya JadhavjiTrikamji Aacharya. Siddhi Sthan Ch.9 Ver.05. Edition: Reprint, 2013, Varanasi: ChaukhambaPrakashan. P 716.
- [10] Agnivesha, Charak Samhita of Acharya Charaka, Dridhabalakrit, edited by Vaidya JadhavjiTrikamji Aacharya. Siddhi Sthan Ch.9 Ver.10. Edition: Reprint, 2013, Varanasi: ChaukhambaPrakashan. P 718.
- [11] Agnivesha, Charak Samhita of Acharya Charaka, Dridhabalakrit, edited by Vaidya JadhavjiTrikamji Aacharya. Sutra Sthan – Ch.30 Ver.09 - 12. Edition: Reprint, 2013, Varanasi: ChaukhambaPrakashan. P 185.
- [12] Vagbhata, Ashtanga Hriday, annotated by Dr. Anna Moreshwar Kunte and Pt Krushna Shastri Navare, Edited by Pt. Hari Sadashiva Shastri Paradkar, Sutra Sthan Ch.11 Ver.37, 38. Edition: Reprint, 2012, Varanasi: Chaukhamba Sanskrit Sansthan. P 189.

Volume 12 Issue 7, July 2023

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064 SJIF (2022): 7.942

- [13] Sushrut Samhita of Sushruta, Edited by Vaidya JadavjiTrikamji Acharya and Narayan Ram Acharya, Kavyatirtha. Sutra Sthan Ch.15 Ver.23. Edition: Reprint, 2012, Varanasi: ChaukhambaPrakashan. P 72.
- [14] Agnivesha, Charak Samhita of Acharya Charaka, Dridhabalakrit, edited by Vaidya JadhavjiTrikamji Aacharya. Sutra Sthan, Ch.17 Ver.73. Edition: Reprint, 2013, Varanasi: ChaukhambaPrakashan. P 103.
- [15] Agnivesha, Charak Samhita of Acharya Charaka, Dridhabalakrit, edited by Vaidya JadhavjiTrikamji Aacharya. Chikitsa sthana, Ch.13 Ver.20. Edition: Reprint, 2013, Varanasi: ChaukhambaPrakashan. P 492.
- [16] Agnivesha, Charak Samhita of Acharya Charaka, Dridhabalakrit, edited by Vaidya JadhavjiTrikamji Aacharya. Chikitsa sthana Ch.28 Ver.08. Edition: Reprint, 2013, Varanasi: ChaukhambaPrakashan. P 616.
- [17] Commentator Dalhana of Sushrut Samhita of Sushruta, Edited by Vaidya JadavjiTrikamji Acharya and Narayan Ram Acharya, Kavyatirtha. Sutra Sthan – Ch.15 Ver.05. Edition: Reprint, 2012, Varanasi: ChaukhambaPrakashan. P 67.
- [18] Agnivesha, Charak Samhita of Acharya Charaka, Dridhabalakrit, edited by Vaidya JadhavjiTrikamji Aacharya. Siddhi sthana Ch.9 Ver.04. Edition: Reprint, 2013, Varanasi: ChaukhambaPrakashan. P 716
- [19] Agnivesha, Charak Samhita of Acharya Charaka, Dridhabalakrit, edited by Vaidya JadhavjiTrikamji Aacharya. Chikitsa sthana Ch.13 Ver.09. Edition: Reprint, 2013, Varanasi: ChaukhambaPrakashan. P 491.
- [20] Vagbhata, Ashtanga Hriday, annotated by Dr. Anna Moreshwar Kunte and Pt Krushna Shastri Navare, Edited by Pt. Hari Sadashiva Shastri Paradkar, Nidan sthana, Ch.01 Ver.8. Edition: Reprint, 2012, Varanasi: Chaukhamba Sanskrit Sansthan. P 443.
- [21] Agnivesha, Charak Samhita of Acharya Charaka, Dridhabalakrit, edited by Vaidya JadhavjiTrikamji Aacharya. Chikitsa sthana Ch.15 Ver.206 - 208. Edition: Reprint, 2013, Varanasi: ChaukhambaPrakashan. P 524.
- [22] Agnivesha, Charak Samhita of Acharya Charaka, Dridhabalakrit, edited by Vaidya JadhavjiTrikamji Aacharya. Chikitsa sthana Ch.13 Ver.09 - 11. Edition: Reprint, 2013, Varanasi: ChaukhambaPrakashan. P 491.
- [23] Agnivesha, Charak Samhita of Acharya Charaka, Dridhabalakrit, edited by Vaidya JadhavjiTrikamji Aacharya. Chikitsa sthana Ch.28 Ver.08. Edition: Reprint, 2013, Varanasi: ChaukhambaPrakashan. P 616
- [24] Vagbhata, Ashtanga Hriday, annotated by Dr. Anna Moreshwar Kunte and Pt Krushna Shastri Navare, Edited by Pt. Hari Sadashiva Shastri Paradkar, Nidan sthana – Ch.01 Ver.03 - 04. Edition: Reprint, 2013, Varanasi: ChaukhambaPrakashan. P 441.
- [25] Commentator Chakrapani Dutta of Charak Samhita by Acharya Charaka, Dridhabalakrit, edited by Vaidya JadhavjiTrikamji Aacharya. Nidana sthana Ch.01, Ver.08 स्थानसंश्रयिणाःक्रध्दाभाविव्याधिप्रबोधकंदोषाःकुर्वन्तियल्लिङ्गं

- पूर्वरुपंतदुच्यते।Edition: Reprint, 2012, Varanasi: ChaukhambaPrakashan. P 194.
- [26] Sushrut Samhita of Sushruta, Edited by Vaidya JadavjiTrikamji Acharya and Narayan Ram Acharya, Kavyatirtha. Sutra sthana Ch.21 Ver.36. Edition: Reprint, 2012, Varanasi: ChaukhambaPrakashan. P 106.
- [27] Vagbhata, Ashtanga Hriday, annotated by Dr. Anna Moreshwar Kunte and Pt Krushna Shastri Navare, Edited by Pt. Hari Sadashiva Shastri Paradkar, Nidan sthana – Ch.01 Ver.07. Edition: Reprint, 2013, Varanasi: ChaukhambaPrakashan. P 441.
- [28] Agnivesha, Charak Samhita of Acharya Charaka, Dridhabalakrit, edited by Vaidya JadhavjiTrikamji Aacharya. Chikitsa sthana, Ch.13 Ver.16 - 19. Edition: Reprint, 2013, Varanasi: ChaukhambaPrakashan. P 492.
- [29] Agnivesha, Charak Samhita of Acharya Charaka, Dridhabalakrit, edited by Vaidya JadhavjiTrikamji Aacharya. Chikitsa sthana, Ch.13 Ver.14 - 15. Edition: Reprint, 2013, Varanasi: ChaukhambaPrakashan. P 491.
- [30] Commentator Shri Vijay Rakshit and Shrikantha Datta's Madhukosha, Madhav Nidan of Madhavkar, Ch.25, Ver.01 05. https://niimh. nic.in/ebooks/madhavanidana
- [31] Charak Samhita Viman sthan Chapter No.13 Verse No.13
- [32] Commentator Chakrapani Dutta of Agnivesha, Charak Samhita of Acharya Charaka, Dridhabalakrit, edited by Vaidya JadhavjiTrikamji Aacharya. Nidan sthana – Ch.01 Ver.10. Edition: Reprint, 2013, Varanasi: ChaukhambaPrakashan. P 195.
- [33] Agnivesha, Charak Samhita of Acharya Charaka, Dridhabalakrit, edited by Vaidya JadhavjiTrikamji Aacharya. Sutra Sthan Ch.01 Ver.135. Edition: Reprint, 2013, Varanasi: ChaukhambaPrakashan. P 23.
- [34] Nayak Rao S. Nephrogenic ascites Still an intractable problem? Saudi J KidneyDis Transpl 2015; 26: 773 7; 2. Largest case series of 138 cases reported by Glück Z, Nolph KD. Ascites associated with end stage renal disease. Am J Kidney Dis 1987; 10: 9 18.). It is studied under the heading of Intractable ascites in end stage renal disease or Idiopathic ascites in patients of chronic dialysis.

Volume 12 Issue 7, July 2023

www.ijsr.net
Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY