Comprehensive Semi-Analytical Approach to Quadratic Optimal Control Problems Governed by Ordinary Differential Equations

Monica Veronica Crankson¹, Ayodeji Sunday Afolabi², Solomon Eshun³

¹Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Mines and Technology, Box 237, Tarkwa, Ghana Email: mcrankson[at]umat.edu.gh

² Department of Mathematical Sciences, Federal University of Technology, Akure, P.M.B. 704, Akure, Ondo State, Nigeria Email: *asafolabi[at]futa.edu.gh*

³School of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley, Edinburg, Texas, United States Email: *solomon.eshun01[at]utrgv.edu*

Abstract: The primary focus of this research is on a semi-analytical method for solving a generalized quadratic optimal control problem constrained by Ordinary Differential Equation (ODE). The solutions are obtained by applying the first order optimality conditions on the Hamiltonian function, which is a necessary condition for optimality. The Adomian Decomposition Method (ADM), which views the approximation of a non-linear equation as an infinite series that typically converges to the exact solution, is used to solve the associated general Riccati differential equation. This leads to the optimal state, control and adjoint variables which yields the optimal objective functional value. Solutions to two examples of optimal control problems constrained by ODE are given.

Keywords: Optimal Control, Semi-analytical Solution, Adomian Decomposition Method, Ordinary Differential Equation, Ricatti Differential Equation

1. Introduction

Optimization and optimal control pervade mathematics and science as they are the main tools in decision making [1]. In firms and businesses, people take many technological and managerial decisions at several stages. The ultimate goal of all such decisions is to either minimize the effort required or to maximize the desired benefit. This effort required or benefit desired in any practical situation can be expressed as a function of certain decision variables [2].

Optimal control problems are mathematical programming problems involving two variables; the state and control variables. The state variable defines the system while the control variable describes the behaviour of the system [3]. The general quadratic optimal control problems are a class of optimal control problems whose cost functional is quadratic, and they arise in a wide range of applications [6]. Of a special interest is the Lagrange formulation of the general quadratic optimal control problem formulated as

$$\min I(x,u) = \int_0^T \left(p x^2(t) + q u^2(t) \right) dt$$
 (1)

Subject to
$$x(t) = ax(t) + bu(t)$$
 (2)

$$x(0) = x_0, \ 0 \le t \le T \tag{3}$$

We note that a, b are real constants and p, q > 0. In quadratic optimal control problems, we desire to find the control law $u: [t_0, t_f] \subseteq \mathfrak{R} \to \mathfrak{R}^m$ that minimizes the given quadratic cost functional in Equation (1) subject to the constraints in Equations (2) and (3) [9].

data mining, machine learning, epistemology and disease control has led to formulating arising problems into an optimization formulation [11]. This therefore, requires solving an optimization problem in their core as fast and efficient as possible [4]. The proposed algorithms for handling such optimization problems should remain efficient as the size (dimension) of the problems increases. Unfortunately, many optimization schemes are void of this scalability criterion because of their complicated iterations [7].

Optimal control problems constrained by Ordinary Differential Equations (ODEs) has a lot of applications in engineering, economics, biology and medicine but are often too complex to solve analytically [5]. There is therefore the need to develop semi-analytic approaches to solve problems at a faster rate.

2. Materials and Methods

The general form of a constrained dynamic continuous optimal control problem is defined as:

$$\min J\left(x(t), u(t)\right) = \int_{t_0}^{t_f} f\left(t, x(t), u(t)\right) dt \tag{4}$$

Subject to
$$\dot{x}(t) = h(t, x(t), u(t))$$
 (5)

$$x(t_0) = x_0, \ t_0 \le t \le t_f$$
(6)

where *t* represents the independent time variable, t_0 and t_f are the initial and terminal times respectively, $x(t) \in \Re^n$ is a vector of state variables and $u(t) \in \Re^m$ is a vector of control variables which are going to be optimized,

The advances in many fields of life such as remote sensing,

Volume 12 Issue 7, July 2023 www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

 $\begin{aligned} f: \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R}^n \times \mathfrak{R}^m & \to \mathfrak{R} \text{ is the functional and } \\ h: \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R}^n \times \mathfrak{R}^m & \to \mathfrak{R}^p \text{ is a smooth vector field. Both } f \\ \text{and } h \text{ are continuously differentiable functions, that is, } \\ f \in C^2 \begin{bmatrix} t_0, t_f \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } h \in C^1 \begin{bmatrix} t_0, t_f \end{bmatrix} \cdot x_0 \text{ is the known initial state and the final state } x(t_f) \text{ could be free (unrestricted) or fixed} (x(t_f) = x_f). \end{aligned}$

2.1 Necessary Conditions for a General Optimal Control Problem with ODE Constraint

The general form of an optimal control problem with ODE constraint is given as

$$\min J\left(x\left(t\right), u\left(t\right)\right) = \int_{t_0}^{t_f} f\left(t, x(t), u(t)\right) dt \tag{7}$$

Subject to
$$\dot{x}(t) = h(t, x(t), u(t))$$
 (8)

$$x(t_0) = x_0, \ t_0 \le t \le t_f \tag{9}$$

where $x(t) \in \mathfrak{R}^n$, $u(t) \in \mathfrak{R}^m$, $f: \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R}^n \times \mathfrak{R}^m \to \mathfrak{R}$ and $h: \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R}^n \times \mathfrak{R}^m \to \mathfrak{R}^p$ are continuously differentiable functions.

The Hamiltonian function [8], from which the necessary conditions are derived is formed by introducing the Lagrange multiplier to change the constrained problem to an unconstrained problem

$$H(t, x, u, \lambda) = f(t, x, u) + \lambda h(t, x, u)$$
(10)

where $H: \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R}^n \times \mathfrak{R}^m \times \mathfrak{R}^n \to \mathfrak{R}$ and the Lagrange multiplier $\mathfrak{l} \in \mathfrak{R}^n$.

The Euler-Lagrange equations for (10) are given as

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial \dot{x}} \right) = 0 \tag{11}$$

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial u} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial \dot{u}} \right) = 0 \tag{12}$$

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda} \right) = 0 \tag{13}$$

Applying Equations (11), (12) and (13) on (10) yields a system of equations

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \lambda \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} - \lambda = 0 \tag{14}$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \lambda \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} = 0 \tag{15}$$

$$h(x, u, t) - \dot{x}(t) = 0$$
(16)

Hence the Adjoint or Costate Equation is given as

$$\dot{\lambda} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} \Longrightarrow \dot{\lambda} = -(f_x + \lambda h_x), \tag{17}$$

the optimality condition as

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial u} = 0 \text{ at } u^* \Longrightarrow f_u + \lambda h_u = 0 \tag{18}$$

and the dynamics of the state equation as

$$\dot{x}(t) = h(t, x, u)$$
 $x(t_0) = x_0$ (19)

Equations (17) and (19) are a system of first order ordinary differential equations that can be solved simultaneously in order to get the values of the two constants by applying the boundary conditions. If only one boundary condition is given, then the free-end condition is applied to get the second boundary condition. The free-end condition is given as

$$\lambda(t_f) = 0 \tag{20}$$

For the solution to be optimal, all the conditions given by equations (17), (18), (19) and (20) must be satisfied. If one or more of the conditions are not satisfied, then the solution is not optimal. The state and adjoint (costate) equations are called dynamic equations while the optimal control equation is a stationary (static) equation. The boundary conditions lead to two-point boundary value problem. The state equation develops forward whereas the costate equation develops backward. Traditionally, the two-point boundary value problems demand computationally intensive iterative numerical procedures. These iterative numerical procedures lead to open loop control solutions [10].

2.2 The Semi-Analytical Solution

The Hamiltonian function is given as

 $H(t, x, u, \lambda) = (x^T A x + u^T B u) + \lambda^T (\dot{x} - C x(t) - D u(t))$ (21)

The Euler-Lagrange (E-L) system of equations yields

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial \dot{x}}\right) = \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} \Leftrightarrow \dot{\lambda}(t) = 2Ax(t) - C^{T}\lambda(t)$$
(22)

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial \dot{u}}\right) = \frac{\partial H}{\partial u} \Leftrightarrow 2Bu(t) - D^T\lambda(t) = 0$$
(23)

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial \dot{\lambda}} \right) = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda} \Leftrightarrow \dot{x}(t) = Cx(t) - Du(t)$$
(24)

From (23),

$$u(t) = \frac{B^{-1}D^{T}\lambda(t)}{2}$$
(25)

Substituting (25) into (24) yields

$$\dot{x}(t) = Cx(t) + \frac{DB^{-1}D^{T}\lambda(t)}{2}$$
(26)

The two-point boundary value problem can be written in matrix form as

$$\dot{N} = \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}(t) \\ \dot{\lambda}(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C & \frac{DB^{-1}D^{T}}{2} \\ 2A & -C^{T} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ \lambda(t) \end{pmatrix}$$
(27)

Let

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} C & \frac{DB^{-1}D^{T}}{2} \\ 2A & -C^{T} \end{pmatrix}$$
(28)

This yields

$$\dot{N} = MN \Leftrightarrow \dot{N} - MN = 0 \tag{29}$$

which is a linear Ordinary Differential Equation so we solve Equation (29) by using the Method of Integrating Factors.

The Integrating Factor is given as

$$e^{\int -Mdt} = e^{-Mt} \tag{30}$$

Multiplying through by the integrating factor yields

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(N e^{-Mt} \right) = 0 \tag{31}$$

Integrating both sides gives $Ne^{-Mt} = c \Longrightarrow N = ce^{Mt}$

Volume 12 Issue 7, July 2023

<u>www.ijsr.net</u>

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

DOI: 10.21275/SR23727003411

(32)

Therefore

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ \lambda(t) \end{pmatrix} = c e^{Mt}$$
(33)

This yields

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ \lambda(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1}(t) \\ x_{2}(t) \\ x_{3}(t) \\ \vdots \\ x_{n}(t) \\ \lambda_{1}(t) \\ \lambda_{2}(t) \\ \lambda_{3}(t) \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_{n}(t) \end{pmatrix} = e^{Mt} \begin{pmatrix} x_{1}(0) \\ x_{2}(0) \\ x_{3}(0) \\ \vdots \\ x_{n}(0) \\ \lambda_{1}(0) \\ \lambda_{2}(0) \\ \lambda_{3}(0) \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_{n}(0) \end{pmatrix}$$
(34)

Since the initial condition for x is given as $x(0) = x_0$, the next step is to choose $\lambda(0)$ such that the transversality condition is satisfied. A smart way to do that is to find the optimal control in a linear feedback form by looking for a function

$$K(\cdot):[0,T] \to \Re \tag{35}$$

where

$$\lambda(t) = K(t)x(t) \tag{36}$$

Now, assuming a linear function of the form:

 $\lambda(t) = K(t)x(t)$ (37) where K(t) is $n \times n$ symmetric, negative semidefinite

matrix with element varying over time.

Differentiating (37) gives

$$\dot{\lambda}(t) = K(t)\dot{x}(t) + \dot{K}(t)x(t)$$
(38)

From (22) and (38), we get $2Ax(t) - C^T \lambda(t) = K(t)\dot{x}(t) + \dot{K}(t)x(t)$

Substituting (26) into (39) yields

$$2Ax(t) - C^{T}\lambda(t) = K(t) \left[Cx(t) + \frac{DB^{-1}D^{T}\lambda(t)}{2} \right] + \dot{K}(t)x(t)$$
(40)

$$2Ax(t) - C^{T}\lambda(t) = K(t)Cx(t) + \frac{K(t)DB^{-1}D^{T}\lambda(t)}{2} + \dot{K}(t)x(t)(41)$$

Putting (37) into (41) gives

$$2Ax(t) - C^{T}K(t)x(t) = K(t)Cx(t) + \frac{K(t)DB^{-1}D^{T}K(t)x(t)}{2} + \dot{K}(t)x(t)$$
(42)

$$\Rightarrow \dot{K}(t)x(t) = 2Ax(t) - C^{T}K(t)x(t) - K(t)Cx(t) - \frac{K(t)DB^{-1}D^{T}K(t)x(t)}{2}$$
(43)

This leads to the matrix Riccati nonlinear differential equation

$$\dot{K}(t) = 2A - C^{T}K(t) - K(t)C - \frac{K(t)DB^{-1}D^{T}K(t)}{2}$$
(44)

Since $\lambda(T) = 0$, the terminal condition K(T) = 0 since $x(T) \neq 0$.

Equation (44) is solved by means of the Backward RungeKutta method of Order 4 to get K(0). The solution to the matrix Riccati nonlinear differential equation (44) is now obtained by the Adomian Decomposition Method.

3. Results

Example 3.1.

Minimize

$$J = \int_{0}^{1} (2x_{1}^{2}(t) + 2x_{1}(t)x_{2}(t) + 2x_{2}^{2}(t) + 2u_{1}^{2}(t) + 2u_{1}(t)u_{2}(t) + 2u_{2}^{2}(t)dt$$

$$(45)$$

$$\dot{x_{1}} = x_{1} - x_{2} + 2u_{1} + u_{2}$$

$$(46)$$

$$\dot{x_{2}} = x_{1} + x_{2} - u_{2}, X(0) = (1 \ 1)$$

$$(47)$$

Solution

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} k_{11} & k_{12} \\ k_{21} & k_{22} \end{bmatrix}, A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, D = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$M = \begin{bmatrix} C & \frac{DB^{-1}D^{T}}{2} \\ 2A & -C^{T} \end{bmatrix}$$
(48)

This implies that

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1.000 & -1.000 & 1.000 & 0.000 \\ 1.000 & 1.000 & 0.000 & 0.333 \\ 4.000 & 2.000 & -1.000 & -1.000 \\ 2.000 & 4.000 & 1.000 & -1.000 \end{bmatrix}$$
(49)

The matrix riccati nonlinear differential equation in Equation (44) leads to the following system of nonlinear first order differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{k}_{11} &= 4 - k_{11}^{2} - 2k_{11} - k_{12} - k_{21} - \frac{k_{12}k_{21}}{3} \tag{50} \\ \dot{k}_{12} &= 2 + k_{11} - 2k_{12} - k_{22} - k_{11}k_{12} - \frac{k_{12}k_{22}}{3} \tag{51} \\ \dot{k}_{21} &= 2 + k_{11} - 2k_{21} - k_{22} - k_{11}k_{21} - \frac{k_{21}k_{22}}{3} \tag{52} \\ \dot{k}_{22} &= 4 - \frac{k_{112}}{3} - 2k_{22} + k_{12} + k_{21} - k_{12}k_{21} \tag{53} \end{aligned}$$

Solving Equations (50),(51),(52) and (53) by means of Backward Runge-Kutta 4 method gives

$$K(0) = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{34596}{10000} & -\frac{9015}{10000} \\ -\frac{9015}{10000} & -\frac{43229}{10000} \end{bmatrix}$$
(54)

With our initial condition, K(0), the matrix Riccati differential equation is solved by Adomain Decomposition method.

Applying $L = \frac{d}{dt}$ and $L^{-1} = \int_0^t [.] dt$ to Equations (50) to (53) gives

$$L^{-1}Lk_{11} = L^{-1} \left[4 - k_{11}^2 - 2k_{11} - k_{12} - k_{21} - \frac{k_{12}k_{21}}{3} \right] (55)$$

$$L^{-1}Lk_{12} = L^{-1} \left[2 + k_{11} - 2k_{12} - k_{22} - k_{11}k_{12} - \frac{k_{12}k_{22}}{3} \right]$$
(56)

Volume 12 Issue 7, July 2023

(39)

<u>www.ijsr.net</u>

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

DOI: 10.21275/SR23727003411

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064

SJIF (2022): 7.942

$$L^{-1}Lk_{21} = L^{-1} \left[2 + k_{11} - 2k_{21} - k_{22} - k_{11}k_{21} - \frac{k_{21}k_{22}}{3} \right]$$
$$L^{-1}Lk_{22} = L^{-1} \left[4 - \frac{k_{11}^2}{3} - 2k_{22} + k_{12} + k_{21} - k_{12}k_{21} \right]$$
(58)

This yields

$$k_{11,0} = k_{11}(0) + 4t \text{ and}$$

$$k_{11,n+1}(t) = -\int_{0}^{t} \left[2k_{11,n} + k_{12,n} + k_{21,n} + \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{11,j} k_{11,n-j} + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{12,j} k_{21,n-j} \right] dt$$
(59)

$$k_{12,0} = k_{12}(0) + 2t \text{ and}$$

$$k_{12,n+1}(t) = -\int_{0}^{t} \left[-k_{11,n} + 2k_{12,n} + k_{22,n} + \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{11,j} k_{12,n-j} + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{12,j} k_{22,n-j} \right] dt$$
(60)

$$k_{21,0} = k_{21}(0) + 2t \text{ and}$$

$$k_{21,n+1}(t) = -\int_{0}^{t} \left[-k_{11,n} + 2k_{21,n} + k_{22,n} + \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{11,j} k_{21,n-j} + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{21,j} k_{22,n-j} \right] dt$$
(61)

$$k_{22,0} = k_{22}(0) + 4t \text{ and}$$

$$k_{22,n+1}(t) = -\int_{0}^{t} \left[-k_{12,n} - k_{21,n} + 2k_{22,n} + \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{12,j} k_{21,n-j} + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{11,j} k_{11,n-j} \right] dt$$
(62)

Approximations to the solutions with four terms gives the solution to the Riccati equation as

$$k_{11}(t) = -\frac{155312}{8505}t^7 + \frac{62369939}{1012500}t^6 \\ -\frac{2639851661011}{4050000000}t^5 \\ +\frac{327769341811391}{108000000000000}t^4 \\ +\frac{65390870896557607}{3000000000000000}t^3 \\ +\frac{1012892869139}{100000000000000}t^2 + \frac{48246709}{100000000}t \\ -\frac{8649}{2500}$$
(63)

$$k_{12}(t) = -\frac{14464}{1215}t^7 + \frac{51622399}{1215000}t^6 - \frac{346592742089}{675000000}t^5 + \frac{7561315700463023}{3240000000000000}t^4 + \frac{1412390985523971}{40000000000000}t^3 - \frac{517459037733}{400000000000}t^2 + \frac{4968783}{20000}t - \frac{1803}{2000}$$

$$(64)$$

$$k_{21}(t) = -\frac{14464}{1215}t^7 + \frac{51622399}{1215000}t^6 - \frac{346592742089}{6750000000}t^5 + \frac{327769341811391}{324000000000000}t^4 + \frac{1412390985523971}{4000000000000000}t^3 - \frac{517459037733}{4000000000000}t^2 + \frac{4968783}{10000000}t - \frac{8649}{2500}$$
(65)

$$k_{22}(t) = -\frac{93584}{8505}t^7 + \frac{4486297}{112500}t^6 - \frac{135941512919}{2700000000}t^5 + \frac{50418912157513}{216000000000}t^4 + \frac{219014360382378407}{9000000000000000}t^3 + \frac{5011698938087}{100000000000}t^2 + \frac{604048703}{100000000}t - \frac{43229}{10000}$$
(66)

The rest of the component of the iteration formulae can be obtained using MATLAB package. Hence by Equation (37)

$$\lambda(0)^{T} = \left(\frac{43611}{10000} - \frac{52244}{10000}\right) \tag{67}$$

Therefore, the optimal state and adjoint variables are given as

$$x_{1}(t) = \left(\frac{17042}{1000000}e^{\frac{913}{500}t} + \frac{983}{1000}e^{-\frac{913}{500}t}\right)\cos\left(\frac{1633}{2000}\right)t - \left(\frac{1243}{6250}e^{\frac{913}{500}t} + \frac{1491}{500}e^{-\frac{913}{500}t}\right)\sin\left(\frac{1633}{2000}\right)t$$

$$(68)$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_{2}(t) &= \left(\frac{161}{625}e^{\frac{913}{500}t} + \frac{464}{625}e^{-\frac{913}{500}t}\right)cos\left(\frac{1633}{2000}\right)t\\ &- \left(\frac{1277}{25000}e^{\frac{913}{500}t} - \frac{363}{250}e^{-\frac{913}{500}t}\right)sin\left(\frac{1633}{2000}\right)t \end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

Volume 12 Issue 7, July 2023 www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

$$\lambda_{1}(t) = \left(\frac{2731}{25000}e^{\frac{913}{500}t} - \frac{447}{100}e^{-\frac{913}{500}t}\right)\cos\left(\frac{1633}{2000}\right)t \\ - \left(\frac{573}{2500}e^{\frac{913}{500}t} - \frac{4539}{500}e^{-\frac{913}{500}t}\right)\sin\left(\frac{1633}{2000}\right)t$$

$$(70)$$

$$\lambda_{2}(t) = \left(\frac{2309}{5000}e^{\frac{913}{500}t} - \frac{2843}{500}e^{-\frac{913}{500}t}\right)\cos\left(\frac{1633}{2000}\right)t \\ - \left(\frac{4019}{25000}e^{\frac{913}{500}t} + \frac{259}{50}e^{-\frac{913}{500}t}\right)\sin\left(\frac{1633}{2000}\right)t$$

$$(71)$$

From Equation (25), the optimal control variables are given as

$$u_{1}(t) = \left(\frac{6579}{50000}e^{\frac{913}{500}t} - \frac{1591}{500}e^{-\frac{913}{500}t}\right)cos\left(\frac{1633}{2000}\right)t - \left(\frac{707}{5000}e^{\frac{913}{500}t} + \frac{919}{250}e^{-\frac{913}{500}t}\right)sin\left(\frac{1633}{2000}\right)t$$
(72)
$$u_{2}(t) = \left(-\frac{1539}{10000}e^{\frac{913}{500}t} - \frac{9477}{5000}e^{-\frac{913}{500}t}\right)cos\left(\frac{1633}{2000}\right)t - \left(\frac{2679}{50000}e^{\frac{913}{500}t} + \frac{4317}{2500}e^{-\frac{913}{500}t}\right)sin\left(\frac{1633}{2000}\right)t$$
(73)

Example 3.2.

$$J = \int_{0}^{1} \left(2x_{1}^{2}(t) + x_{1}(t)x_{2}(t) + x_{2}^{2}(t) + 2x_{1}(t)x_{3}(t) + x_{2}(t)x_{3}(t) + 2x_{3}^{2}(t) + 3u_{1}^{2}(t) + 4u_{1}(t)u_{2}(t) + 2u_{2}^{2}(t) + u_{1}(t)u_{3}(t) + 2u_{1}(t)u_{3}(t) + u_{3}^{2}(t) \right) dt$$

$$(74)$$

$$\dot{x_{1}} = 2x_{1} + x_{2} + x_{3} + 2u_{1} + 2u_{2} + u_{3},$$

$$(75)$$

$$\dot{x_{2}} = -x_{1} + x_{2} + 2x_{3} - u_{2} + 2u_{3},$$

$$(76)$$

$$\dot{x_{3}} = x_{1} - x_{3} + u_{1} + \mu_{2} - \mu_{3},$$

$$X(0) = 1_{3 \times 1},$$

$$(77)$$

Solution

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} k_{11} & k_{12} & k_{13} \\ k_{21} & k_{22} & k_{23} \\ k_{31} & k_{32} & k_{33} \end{bmatrix}, A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0.5 & 1 \\ 0.5 & 1 & 0.5 \\ 1 & 0.5 & 2 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 0.5 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 0.5 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, C = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, D = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$Now, \qquad M = \begin{bmatrix} C & \frac{DB^{-1}D^{T}}{2} \\ 2A & -C^{T} \end{bmatrix}$$
(78)

This implies that

<i>M</i> =	$\begin{bmatrix} 2.00 \\ -1.00 \\ 1.00 \\ 4.00 \\ 1.00 \end{bmatrix}$	1.00 1.00 0.00 1.00 2.00	1.00 2.00 -1.00 2.00 1.00	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.00 \\ -0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ -2.00 \\ -1.00 \end{array} $	-0.50 18.75 -9.25 1.00 -1.00	0.50 -9.25 4.75 -1.00 0.00
	1.00 2.00	2.00 1.00	1.00 4.00	-1.00 -1.00	-1.00 -2.00	0.00 1.00
						(79)

The matrix riccati nonlinear differential equation in (44) leads to the following system of nonlinear first order differential equations

$$\begin{split} \dot{k}_{11} &= 4 - 4k_{11} + k_{12} - k_{13} + k_{21} - k_{31} - \frac{k_{11}}{2}(2k_{11} - k_{12} + k_{13} - k_{31} 2k_{11} - 372k_{12} + 192k_{13} + k_{21} 2k_{11} - 752k_{12} \\ & 12 + 372k_{13} \end{split}$$

 $\dot{k}_{12} = 1 - 3k_{12} - k_{11} + k_{22} - k_{32} - \frac{k_{12}}{2}(2k_{11} - k_{12} + k_{13} - k_{322k11} - 372k12 + 192k13 + k_{222k11} - 752k12 + 372k13$ (81)

 $\dot{k}_{13} = 2 - k_{13} - k_{11} - 2k_{12} + k_{23} - k_{33} - \frac{k_{13}}{2}(2k_{11} - k_{12} + k_{13} - k_{33} - k_{33} - k_{13} - k_{13$

 $\dot{k}_{21} = 1 - 3k_{21} - k_{11} - k_{23} + k_{22} - \frac{k_{11}}{2}(2k_{21} - k_{22} + k_{23} - k_{31}2k_{21} - 372k_{22} + 192k_{23} + k_{21}2k_{21} - 752k_{22} + 372k_{23}$ (83)

$$\dot{k}_{22} = 2 - 2k_{22} - k_{12} - k_{21} - \frac{k_{12}}{2}(2k_{21} - k_{22} + k_{23}) - \frac{k_{32}}{2} \left(k_{21} - \frac{37}{2}k_{22} + \frac{19}{2}k_{23}\right) - \frac{k_{22}}{2} \left(k_{21} - \frac{75}{2}k_{22} + \frac{37}{2}k_{23}\right)$$
(84)

$$\dot{k}_{23} = 1 - k_{13} - k_{21} - 2k_{22} - \frac{k_{13}}{2}(2k_{21} - k_{22} + k_{23}) - \frac{k_{33}}{2} \left(k_{21} - \frac{37}{2}k_{22} + \frac{19}{2}k_{23}\right) + \frac{k_{23}}{2} \left(k_{21} - \frac{75}{2}k_{22} + \frac{37}{2}k_{23}\right)$$
(85)

 $\dot{k}_{31} = 2 - k_{31} - k_{11} - 2k_{21} + k_{32} - k_{33} - \frac{k_{11}}{2}(2k_{31} - k_{32} + k_{33} - k_{31}2k_{31} - 372k_{32} + 192k_{33} + k_{21}2k_{31} - 752k_{32} + 372k_{33}$ (86)

$$\dot{k}_{32} = 1 - k_{12} - 2k_{22} - k_{31} - \frac{k_{12}}{2}(2k_{31} - k_{32} + k_{33}) - \frac{k_{32}}{2}(k_{31} - \frac{37}{2}k_{32} + \frac{19}{2}k_{33}) + \frac{k_{22}}{2}(k_{31} - \frac{75}{2}k_{32} + \frac{37}{2}k_{33})$$
(87)

Volume 12 Issue 7, July 2023 <u>www.ijsr.net</u> Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

DOI: 10.21275/SR23727003411

$$\begin{aligned} k_{33} &= 4 + 2k_{33} - k_{13} - 2k_{23} - k_{31} - 2k_{32} - \\ \frac{k_{13}}{2}(2k_{31} - k_{32} + k_{33}) - \frac{k_{33}}{2}(k_{31} - \frac{37}{2}k_{32} + \frac{19}{2}k_{33}) + \\ \frac{k_{23}}{2}(k_{31} - \frac{75}{2}k_{32} + \frac{37}{2}k_{33})(88) \end{aligned}$$

.

Solving the system of equations from (80)-(88) by means of Backward Runge-Kutta 4 method gives

$$\mathbf{K}(0) = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{40037}{10000} & -\frac{11269}{10000} & -\frac{16124}{10000} \\ -\frac{11269}{10000} & -\frac{12778}{10000} & -\frac{16135}{10000} \\ -\frac{16124}{10000} & -\frac{16135}{10000} & -\frac{27979}{10000} \end{bmatrix}$$
(89)

With our initial condition, K(0), the matrix Riccatidifferential equation is solved by Adomian Decomposition method. Applying $L = \frac{d}{dt}$, and $L^{-1} = \int_0^t [.] dt$ to Equations (80) to (88) gives $L^{-1}Lk_{11} = L^{-1} [4 - 4k_{11} + k_{12} - k_{13} + k_{21} - k_{31} - k_{1122k11 - k12 + k13}]$

 $\begin{array}{ccc} -k312k11 - 372k12 + 192k13 + k212k11 - 752k12 + 372k \\ 13 & (90) \\ L^{-1}Lk_{12} = L^{-1} \left[1 - 3k_{12} - k_{11} + k_{22} - k_{32} - k_{12}2k11 - k12 + k13 - k322k11 - 372k12 + 192k13 + k22 \\ 2k11 - 752k12 + 372k13 \\ (91) \end{array}\right]$

$$L^{-1}Lk_{13} = L^{-1} \left[2 - k_{13} - k_{11} - 2k_{12} + k_{23} - k_{23} - k_{13}22k_{11} - k_{12} + k_{13} - k_{33}2k_{11} - 372k_{12} + 192k_{13} + k_{23} - k_{13}2k_{11} - 752k_{12} + 372k_{13} \right]$$

 $L^{-1}Lk_{21} = L^{-1} \left[1 - 3k_{21} - k_{11} - k_{23} + k_{22} - k_{1122k21 - k22 + k23 - k312k21 - 372k22 + 192k23 + k21} \\ 2k21 - 752k22 + 372k23 \\ (93)$

$$\begin{split} L^{-1}Lk_{22} &= L^{-1}[2-2k_{22}-k_{12}-k_{21}-\frac{k_{12}}{2}(2k_{21}-k_{22}+k_{23}-k_{32}2k_{21}-372k_{22}+192k_{23}-k_{22}2k_{21}-752k_{22}+372k_{23}-k_{22}2k_{21}-752k_{22}+38k_{23}-k_{22}2k_{23}-k_{22}-k_$$

$$L^{-1}Lk_{23} = L^{-1} \left[1 - k_{13} - k_{21} - 2k_{22} - \frac{k_{13}}{2} \left(2k_{21} - k_{22} + k_{23} \right) - \frac{k_{33}}{2} \left(k_{21} - \frac{37}{2} k_{22} + \frac{19}{2} k_{23} \right) + \frac{k_{23}}{2} \left(k_{21} - \frac{75}{2} k_{22} + \frac{37}{2} k_{23} \right) \right]$$

$$K^{-1}Lk_{23} = L^{-1} \left[2 - k_{23} - k_{23} - 2k_{23} + \frac{19}{2} k_{23} \right] + \frac{k_{23}}{2} \left(k_{21} - \frac{75}{2} k_{22} + \frac{37}{2} k_{23} \right) \right]$$
(95)

$$L \ Lk_{31} = L \left[2 - k_{31} - k_{11} - 2k_{21} + k_{32} - k_{33} - \frac{2}{2} (2k_{31} - k_{32} + k_{33}) - \frac{k_{31}}{2} \left(k_{31} - \frac{37}{2} k_{32} + \frac{19}{2} k_{33} \right) + \frac{k_{21}}{2} \left(k_{31} - \frac{75}{2} k_{32} + \frac{37}{2} k_{33} \right) \right]$$
(96)

$$L^{-1}Lk_{32} = L^{-1} \left[1 - k_{12} - 2k_{22} - k_{31} - \frac{k_{12}}{2} \left(2k_{31} - k_{32} + k_{33} \right) - \frac{k_{32}}{2} \left(k_{31} - \frac{37}{2} k_{32} + \frac{19}{2} k_{33} \right) + \frac{k_{22}}{2} \left(k_{31} - \frac{75}{2} k_{32} + \frac{37}{2} k_{33} \right) \right]$$

$$97)$$

(97)

$$L^{-1}Lk_{33} = L^{-1} \left[4 + 2k_{33} - k_{13} - 2k_{23} - k_{31} - 2k_{32} - \frac{k_{13}}{2} \left(2k_{31} - k_{32} + k_{33} \right) - \frac{k_{33}}{2} \left(k_{31} - \frac{37}{2} k_{32} + \frac{19}{2} k_{33} \right) + \frac{k_{23}}{2} \left(k_{31} - \frac{75}{2} k_{32} + \frac{37}{2} k_{33} \right) \right]$$
(98)

This yields

$$k_{11,0} = k_{11}(0) + 4t$$
 and

$$\begin{aligned} k_{11,n+1}(t) &= -\int_{0}^{t} \left[4k_{11,n} - k_{12,n} + k_{13,n} - k_{21,n} + k_{31,n} + \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{11,j} k_{11,n-j} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{11,j} k_{12,n-j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{11,j} k_{13,n-j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{11,j} k_{31,n-j} - \frac{37}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{12,j} k_{31,n-j} + \frac{19}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{13,j} k_{31,n-j} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{11,j} k_{21,n-j} \\ &+ \frac{75}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{12,j} k_{21,n-j} - \frac{37}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{13,j} k_{21,n-j} \right] dt \end{aligned}$$
(99)

$$k_{12,0} = k_{12}(0) + t \text{ and}$$

$$k_{12,n+1}(t) = -\int_{0}^{t} \left[k_{11,n} + 3k_{12,n} - k_{22,n} + k_{32,n} + \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{11,j} k_{12,n-j} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{12,j} k_{12,n-j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{12,j} k_{12,n-j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{11,j} k_{32,n-j} - \frac{37}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{12,j} k_{32,n-j} + \frac{19}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{13,j} k_{32,n-j} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{11,j} k_{22,n-j} + \frac{75}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{12,j} k_{22,n-j} - \frac{37}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{13,j} k_{22,n-j} \right] dt$$
(100)

$$k_{13,0} = k_{13}(0) + 2t \text{ and}$$

$$k_{13,n+1}(t) = -\int_{0}^{t} \left[k_{11,n} + 2k_{12,n} + k_{13,n} - k_{23,n} + k_{33,n} + \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{11,j} k_{13,n-j} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{12,j} k_{13,n-j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{13,j} k_{13,n-j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{11,j} k_{33,n-j} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{12,j} k_{33,n-j} + \frac{19}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{13,j} k_{33,n-j} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{11,j} k_{23,n-j} + \frac{75}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{12,j} k_{23,n-j} - \frac{37}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{13,j} k_{23,n-j} \right] dt$$

$$k_{21,0} = k_{21}(0) + t \text{ and}$$

Volume 12 Issue 7, July 2023 www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

DOI: 10.21275/SR23727003411

$$\begin{aligned} k_{21,n+1}(t) &= -\int_{0}^{t} \left[k_{11,n} + 3k_{21,n} - k_{22,n} + k_{23,n} + \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{11,j} k_{21,n-j} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{11,j} k_{22,n-j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{11,j} k_{23,n-j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{21,j} k_{31,n-j} - \frac{37}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{22,j} k_{31,n-j} + \frac{19}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{23,j} k_{31,n-j} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{21,j} k_{21,n-j} + \frac{75}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{21,j} k_{22,n-j} - \frac{37}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{21,j} k_{23,n-j} \right] dt \end{aligned}$$

 $k_{22,0} = k_{22}(0) + 2t$ and

$$\begin{aligned} k_{22,n+1}(t) &= -\int_{0}^{t} \left[k_{12,n} + k_{21,n} + 2k_{22,n} + \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{12,j} k_{21,n-j} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{12,j} k_{22,n-j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{12,j} k_{23,n-j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{21,j} k_{32,n-j} - \frac{37}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{22,j} k_{32,n-j} + \frac{19}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{23,j} k_{32,n-j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{21,j} k_{22,n-j} - \frac{75}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{22,j} k_{22,n-j} + \frac{37}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{22,j} k_{23,n-j} - \frac{37}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{22,j} k_{22,n-j} + \frac{37}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{22,j} k_{23,n-j} \right] dt \end{aligned}$$

 $k_{23,0} = k_{23}(0) + t$ and

$$k_{23,n+1}(t) = -\int_{0}^{t} \left[k_{13,n} + k_{21,n} + 2k_{22,n} + \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{13,j} k_{21,n-j} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{13,j} k_{22,n-j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{13,j} k_{23,n-j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{21,j} k_{33,n-j} - \frac{37}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{22,j} k_{33,n-j} + \frac{19}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{23,j} k_{33,n-j} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{21,j} k_{23,n-j} + \frac{75}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{22,j} k_{23,n-j} - \frac{37}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{22,j} k_{23,n-j} - \frac{37}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{23,j} k_{23,n-j} \right] dt$$

$$(104)$$

$$\begin{aligned} k_{31,n+1}(t) &= -\int_{0}^{t} \left[k_{11,n} + 2k_{21,n} + k_{31,n} - k_{32,n} + k_{33,n} \right. \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{11,j} \, k_{31,n-j} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{11,j} \, k_{32,n-j} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{11,j} \, k_{33,n-j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{31,j} \, k_{31,n-j} \\ &- \frac{37}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{31,j} \, k_{32,n-j} \\ &+ \frac{19}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{21,j} \, k_{31,n-j} + \frac{75}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{21,j} \, k_{32,n-j} \\ &- \frac{37}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{21,j} \, k_{33,n-j} \\ &- \frac{37}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{33,n-j} \\ &- \frac{37}{4} \sum_{j=0$$

$$k_{32,0} = k_{32}(0) + t \text{ and}$$

$$k_{32,n+1}(t) = -\int_0^t \left[k_{12,n} + 2k_{22,n} + k_{31,n} \right] + \sum_{j=0}^n k_{12,j} k_{31,n-j} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^n k_{12,j} k_{32,n-j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^n k_{12,j} k_{33,n-j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^n k_{31,j} k_{32,n-j} + \frac{19}{4} \sum_{j=0}^n k_{32,j} k_{32,n-j} + \frac{19}{4} \sum_{j=0}^n k_{32,j} k_{33,n-j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^n k_{22,j} k_{31,n-j} + \frac{75}{4} \sum_{j=0}^n k_{22,j} k_{32,n-j} + \frac{37}{4} \sum_{j=0}^n k_{22,j} k_{33,n-j} + \frac{37}{4} \sum_{j=0}^n k_$$

 $k_{33,0} = k_{33}(0) + 4t$ and

(106)

 $k_{31,0} = k_{31}(0) + 2t$ and

DOI: 10.21275/SR23727003411

2065

$$k_{33,n+1}(t) = -\int_{0}^{t} \left[k_{13,n} + 2k_{23,n} + k_{31,n} + 2k_{32,n} - 2k_{33,n} + \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{13,j} k_{31,n-j} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{13,j} k_{32,n-j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{13,j} k_{33,n-j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{31,j} k_{33,n-j} - \frac{37}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{32,j} k_{33,n-j} + \frac{19}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{33,j} k_{33,n-j} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{23,j} k_{31,n-j} + \frac{75}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{23,j} k_{32,n-j} - \frac{37}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{23,j} k_{32,n-j} - \frac{37}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{23,j} k_{32,n-j} + \frac{37}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{23,j} k_{32,n-j} - \frac{37}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{23,j} k_{33,n-j} \right] dt$$

$$(107)$$

Approximations to the solutions with four terms gives the solution to the Riccati equation as

$$\begin{aligned} k_{11}(t) &= -\frac{1994}{35}t^7 - \frac{2477394787}{38400000}t^6 \\ &+ \frac{1422195273119}{2400000000}t^5 \\ &+ \frac{54772976801197777}{1600000000000}t^4 \\ &- \frac{44077218224311490681}{200000000000000}t^3 \\ &- \frac{421547629690369}{2000000000000}t^2 + \frac{180605659}{10000000}t \\ &- \frac{8649}{2500}\end{aligned}$$

$$k_{12}(t) = \frac{101471}{2016} t^7 - \frac{4939603777}{5760000} t^6 - \frac{46683532121379}{3200000000} t^5 - \frac{24493151134534607}{960000000000} t^4 - \frac{35112195491924803}{2560000000000} t^3 + \frac{1763173131492233}{400000000000} t^2 - \frac{670575697}{25000000} t - \frac{1803}{2000}$$
(109)

$$k_{13}(t) = -\frac{56272}{315}t^7 - \frac{1979001511}{12800000}t^6 + \frac{267760640524857}{64000000000}t^5 + \frac{706991682884294273}{19200000000000}t^4 - \frac{7631270778421188359}{400000000000000}t^3 + \frac{34035587051919}{20000000000}t^2 + \frac{19635187}{20000000}t - \frac{1803}{2000}$$
(110)

$$k_{21}(t) = \frac{101471}{2016} t^7 - \frac{4939603777}{5760000} t^6 - \frac{46683532121379}{3200000000} t^5 - \frac{24493151134534607}{960000000000} t^4 - \frac{35112195491924803}{2560000000000} t^3 + \frac{1763173131492233}{400000000000} t^2 - \frac{670575697}{2500000} t - \frac{1803}{2000}$$
(111)

$$k_{22}(t) = \frac{12162011}{2520}t^7 - \frac{120443012197}{1536000}t^6 + \frac{34583926137974457}{6400000000}t^5 - \frac{346241320276363299253}{192000000000000}t^4 + \frac{96324757462912639405743}{400000000000000}t^3 - \frac{11772840826763477}{4000000000000}t^2 + \frac{142941207}{400000}t^2 + \frac{142941207}{10000}t - \frac{43229}{10000}$$
(112)

$$k_{23}(t) = \frac{897749}{2016}t^7 - \frac{235713057349}{57600000}t^6 + \frac{1001798147035957}{64000000000}t^5 + \frac{3258272798648763611}{6400000000000}t^4 + \frac{1921398394918606369}{200000000000000}t^3 + \frac{126927760685747}{4000000000000}t^2 - \frac{13241839}{625000}t - \frac{1803}{2000}$$
(113)

Volume 12 Issue 7, July 2023

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY DOI: 10.21275/SR23727003411 (114)

$$k_{32}(t) = \frac{897749}{2016}t^7 - \frac{235713057349}{57600000}t^6 + \frac{1001798147035957}{64000000000}t^5 - \frac{3258272798648763611}{6400000000000}t^4 + \frac{1921398394918606369}{20000000000000}t^3 + \frac{126927760685747}{400000000000}t^2 - \frac{13241839}{625000}t - \frac{1803}{2000}$$
(115)

$$k_{33}(t) = -\frac{30134}{35}t^7 + \frac{42552322763}{23040000}t^6 + \frac{249496097907749}{1920000000}t^5 + \frac{33827135708450851}{960000000000}t^4 - \frac{393629056989711343}{24000000000000}t^3 + \frac{1303}{20000}t^3 - \frac{1093895194219}{8000000000}t^2 + \frac{5459573}{20000}t - \frac{1803}{2000}$$
(116)

The rest of the components of the iteration formulae can be obtained using MATLAB package. Hence by the equation (37),

$$\lambda(0)^{T} = \left(-\frac{67430}{10000} - \frac{40182}{10000} - \frac{60238}{1000}\right)$$
(117)

Therefore, the optional state and the adjoint variables are given as

$$x_{1}(t) = \frac{237}{10000000} e^{\frac{5983}{1000}t} - \frac{5191}{10000} e^{-\frac{5983}{1000}t} - \frac{247}{2000} e^{\frac{3083}{5000}t} - \frac{803}{2500} e^{-\frac{3083}{5000}t} + \frac{8197}{10000000} e^{\frac{3581}{1000}t} + \frac{489}{250} e^{-\frac{3581}{1000}t}$$
(118)

$$\begin{aligned} x_{2}(t) &= \frac{1746}{10000000} e^{\frac{5983}{1000}t} + \frac{4731}{1000} e^{-\frac{5983}{1000}t} + \frac{823}{5000} e^{\frac{3083}{5000}t} \\ &- \frac{77}{5000} e^{-\frac{3083}{5000}t} + \frac{669}{100000} e^{\frac{3581}{1000}t} \\ &- \frac{3887}{1000} e^{-\frac{3581}{1000}t} \end{aligned}$$
(119)
$$x_{3}(t) &= -\frac{6952}{100000000} e^{\frac{5983}{1000}t} - \frac{2767}{1000} e^{-\frac{5983}{1000}t} \\ &- \frac{5189}{3083} t + 1907 - \frac{3083}{3083} t \end{aligned}$$

$$+\frac{100000}{1000000}e^{5000^{t}}+\frac{1}{2500}e^{5000^{t}}}{-\frac{5736}{10000000}}e^{\frac{3581}{1000}t}-\frac{3073}{1000}e^{-\frac{3581}{1000}t}$$
(120)

From Equation (25), the optimal control variables are given as

$$u_{1}(t) = \frac{1878}{1000000} e^{\frac{5983}{1000}t} - \frac{4903}{1000} e^{-\frac{5983}{1000}t} + \frac{7047}{100000} e^{\frac{3083}{5000}t} - \frac{7069}{10000} e^{-\frac{3083}{5000}t} + \frac{629}{100000} e^{\frac{3581}{1000}t} + \frac{5053}{100000} e^{-\frac{3581}{1000}t}$$

$$(124)$$

 $+\frac{125}{125}e^{-1000}$

4. Conclusion

This paper presents a semi-analytical solution of generalized continuous quadratic optimal control problems constrained by ordinary differential equations. The proposed method gives the optimal solution of the state, control and adjoint variables which gives rise to the optimal objective functional value, hence it is recommended to be used to solve real life problems.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

References

[1] A. Chinchuluun, P. M. Pardalos, R. Enkhbat and I. Tseveendorj, Optimization and Optimal Control, *New York, Springer*, Vol. 41, 2010.

Volume 12 Issue 7, July 2023

<u>www.ijsr.net</u>

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

(126)

- [2] J. Biazar,E. Babolian, G. Kember, A. Nouri and R. Islam, An Alternate Algorithm for Computing Adomain Polynomials in Special Cases, Applied Mathematics and Computation, Vol. 138, pp. 523-529, 2003.
- [3] M. H. Farag, Numerical Solutions of Parabolic Constrained Control Problems, *Global Journal of Pure* and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 12 No. 1, pp. 965-979, 2016.
- [4] O. Olotu, O. F. Lawaland A. S. Afolabi, Exterior Penalty Function Methods for Optimal Control Problems Constrained by Ordinary Differential Equations, *Journal of the Nigerian Association of Mathematical Physics, Benin, Nigeria*, Vol. 45, No. 10, pp. 67-78, 2018.
- [5] J. T. Marvin, Matrices in Engineering Problems, Morgan Claypool Publishers, pp. 1-180, 2011.
- [6] D. S. Naidu,Optimal Control Systems, CRC Press LLC, 2000 N.W. Corporate Blvd., Boca Raton, Florida, pp. 1-96, 2003.
- [7] O. Olotuand E. J. Dansu, Penalty Function Method for Optimizing Constrained Proportional Control Problems, *Cybernetics and Physics*, Vol. 2, No. 3, pp. 177-182, 2013.
- [8] C. Park and D. J. Scheeres, Solutions of Optimal Feedback Control Problems with General Boundary Conditions using Hamiltonian Dynamics and Generating Functions, *Proceeding of the 2004 American Control Conference*, Boston, Massachusetts June 30 - July 2, pp. 679-684, 2004.
- [9] N. A. Suha, A. A. Fuad and S. H. Saba, A New Computational Method for Optimal Control Problem with B-spline Polynomials, *Eng. and Tech Journal*, Vol. 28, No. 2, pp. 5711-5718, 2010.
- [10] S. Zhang, Y. Chenq and W. Qian, On the Computation of Optimal Control Problems with Terminal Inequality Constraint via Variation Evolution, *China Aerodynamics Research and Development Center*, Mianyang, 621000, China, pp. 1-19, 2017.
- [11] T. Zhu, Z. Yan and X. Peng, A Modified Nonlinear Conjugate Gradient Method for Engineering Computation, *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, Hindawi, Article ID 1425857, pp. 1-11, 2017.