# Assessment of the *Colletotrichum* Leaf Spot Disease of Turmeric in West Bengal, India: A Comprehensive Report on the Current Situation

Arijit Adhikary<sup>1</sup>, Pijush Kanti Maji<sup>2</sup>, Amitava Basu<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Bidhan Chandra KrishiViswavidyalaya, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Plant pathology, Mohanpur, Nadia-741252, West Bengal,

India Email: *arijitadhikaryofficial1[at]gmail.com* Phone no: 6295056304

<sup>2</sup>Bidhan Chandra KrishiViswavidyalaya, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Plant pathology, Mohanpur, Nadia-741252, West Bengal,

India

Email: *majipijushkanti[at]gmail.com* Phone no: 9093846580

<sup>3</sup>Bidhan Chandra KrishiViswavidyalaya, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Plant pathology, Mohanpur, Nadia-741252, West Bengal, India

Corresponding author Email: *basu.amitava[at]bckv.edu.in* 

Phone no :9831409737

Abstract: The low productivity in turmeric in West Bengal is due to severity of the foliar diseases. The leaf spot disease survey was conducted and the report of Cooch Behar and Nadia districts of West Bengal reveals that highest percentage of disease severity (PDI) are shown by locally cultivated varieties such as Malsari (53.12%), Goma (57.49%), Pragati (55.35%) and Sonajuli (57.49%) with higher AUDPC value i.e. 4125.33, 3906.37, 4079.02 and 3237.89 respectively. On the other hand Resistance against leaf spot disease are shown by some varieties i.e. Uttar Rangini, Suranjana, Uttar Rupanjana, Lakadong, Megha etc. These varieties have shown lower PDI and lower AUDPC value compared to local varieties. The symptoms of leaf spot disease in turmeric, caused by Colletotrichum are characterized by variable-sized elliptical or oblong spots on the leaf blades forming greyish or brownish center with a yellow halo surrounded by the spots. Both leaf surfaces exhibit numerous black dot-like structures called acervuli, which are arranged in concentric rings. The spots are varied in size, ranging from 3.50 to 7.00 cm in length and approximately 3.40 to 4.60 cm in breadth. The pathogen is isolated from total eight locations during experimental findings. Code name of different collected isolates are given as CCDI-1(Dinhata), CCMI-2(Mondouri), CCPI-3(Pundibari), CCTI-4(Tufanganj), CCRI-5 (Rakhalmari Village), CCGI-6 (Gayeshpur Farm), CCBI-7 (Basantapur Village), CCLI-8 (CTRI Farm Dinhata). Morphological variations among the eight isolates are observed based on the size of conidia and setea. Isolate CCMI-2 have highest conidial length where as CCTI-4 has lowest size of conidia.

Keywords: Turmeric, Colletotrichum, PDI, Leaf spot

# 1. Introduction

Turmeric (Curcuma longa) is a perennial herbaceous crop, is a member of the zingiberaceae family. It is native to Southeast Asia and it has been used for centuries in traditional medicines for its many health benefits. In addition to its health benefits, turmeric is also a popular culinary spice. India is the world's leading producer and exporter of turmeric, with a production of over 1 million tonnes in 2021. (FAOSTAT, 2022). The West Bengal is the 7th largest producer of turmeric in India. In 2021-22, the state produced 45,900 tonnes of turmeric, which is about 3.9% of the country's total production. (National Horticulture Board, 2022). One of the factors for this low productivity in West Bengal is the severity of the foliar diseases. Colletotrichum leaf spot disease of turmeric is an important disease of turmeric can cause yield loss vary from 20% to more than 60% in some cases (Nair and Ramakrishnan, 1973). Colletotrichum curcumae is a causal agent of Leaf spot disease in turmeric. The fungus belongs to the phylum Ascomycota. The disease cause severe foliar damage. The symptoms are characterized by variable-sized elliptical or oblong spots on the leaf blades. These spots have greyish or brownish center surrounded by a yellow halo. They can range from 1 to 3 cm in width and 2 to 6 cm in length (Li *et al.* 2015).

# 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1 Survey on Leaf spot disease of Turmeric

In the turmeric growing season, August to December months are considered to be the peak period for the occurrence of turmeric leaf spot disease. An intensive fixed plot survey of leaf spot disease of turmeric was carried out during the months of July to November 2022 in some turmeric growing areas of Cooch Behar and Nadia districts (**Plate 1**).



Plate 1: Two Districts Covered under survey in West Bengal

For the survey, representative areas within the Cooch Behar and Nadia districts of West Bengal were chosen, considering factors such as intensity of turmeric cultivation among the areas, geographical diversity of the land areas, and accessibility of the planting materials. The village areas and farmer's fields were the first priority and institutional farms were also visited during this survey. In Cooch Behar five locations were selected for survey i.e. Dinhata CTRI, TufanganjFulbari, Putimari Village under Dinhata block 1, Rakhalmari Village under Dinhata block 2, and the fields under the AICRP Spices of UBKV, Pundibari. Where as in Nadia district the survey was conducted on three locations, i.e. Mondouri Farm BCKV, Gayeshpur village and Basantapur Village. The disease severity of leaf spot in turmeric plants were measured and recorded during data collection.

A standardized disease rating scale was used for this purpose presented in Table 1. [Mayee and Datar (1986)].

# Percent disease index (PDI)

\* (Unit = Leaves) (Wheeler. 1969)

**Table 1:** Description of diseased rating scale:

Scale	Disease severity
0	No symptoms on the plant
1	Small spots on leaves; less than 1 % area was infected
3	Medium spots on leaves; covering 1-10 % area of leaf
5	Bigger coalescing spots, covering 11 % to 25 % area of leaf
7	Large coalescing spots, covering 26 % to 50 % area of leaf
9	Spots covering more than 51 % to 75 % area of the leaf

Disease severity, name of the variety, location information (Latitude Longitude), date of survey, plant age, cultural practices, and any other relevant factors that might influence in the disease development were taken into consideration accordingly. After completion of the survey period, the varieties under survey were categorized based on their resistance towards leaf spot disease. The characterization was done based on the following Table 2.

Table 2:	Varietal Categorization	1
----------	-------------------------	---

Percentage Disease Index (%)	Resistance category
0-10	Immune
Nov-20	Resistant
21-30	Moderately Resistant
31-50	Susceptible
> 51	Highly susceptible

The area under disease progress curve (AUDPC) was estimated by from two data points i.e. the initial and final assessments by using the following equation of Shaner and Finney (1977):

AUDPC = 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left[ \frac{(X_{i+1} + X_i)}{2} \right] X (t_{i+1} - t_i)$$

Where.

 $X_i$  = the portion of host tissue damaged at  $i^{th}$  day  $t_i$  = the time in days after appearance of the disease at the  $i^{\text{th}}$  day

n = the total number of observation

#### Identification, Purification 2.2 Isolation, and maintenance of pathogen

First of all collected leaf samples were washed thoroughly by water. Next the leaf samples were cut into small pieces with half diseased portion (showing visible signs of infection) and half healthy portion by using sterile tools. In the laminar air flow chamber the cut pieces were surface sterilized by dipping in 1% sodium hypo-chloride (NaOCl) solution for 1 minutes. After that the cut pieces were washed by sterile distilled water for three times and kept on filter paper for absorbing the excess surface water. Then the pieces of the leaves were inoculated in PDA containing petri plates. The petri plates were kept in BOD incubator at 27±1°C. After 4-5 days of inoculation fungal colony and mycelial growths were observed. For pure culture, a single fungal spore or hyphal fragment was selected from a welldeveloped colony using a sterile needle or loop. The selected spore or fragment was then transferred to a new culture plate single strain of the pathogen. After obtaining pure culture the fungal pathogen was inoculated in test tubes and preserved inside refrigerator for future use.

#### 2.3 Microscopic examination

Various features, such as spores, conidiophores, hyphae, acervuli, and setae, were observed using a compound microscope. Microscopic pictures were captured at 40X and 10X zoom and size of conidia, acervuli, setae (i.e. length and breadth) were measured by Axio Vision Software.

#### 2.4 Pathogenicity tests

For in-vivo pathogenicity test turmeric plants of lakadong variety were grown in pot culture inside poly house during 15<sup>th</sup> June 2022 under the Dept. of Plant Pathology BCKV. After 2 months of planting the crop reached 3 to 4 leaf stage. Healthy turmeric plants with uniform growth and no visible disease symptoms were selected for the tests. Fungal spores were taken from actively growing cultures on PDA plates. Spores were then suspended in sterile distilled water, and the spore concentration was adjusted at  $1 \times 10^6$  spores/ml (Adhipathiet al., 2013) using a Haemocytometer. Sterilization was achieved by washing the leaves with a suitable sterilizing agent (e.g., 70% ethanol) followed by rinsing with sterile distilled water. Small wounds or punctures were made on the sterilized turmeric leaves using a sterile pin or needle. A spore suspension of Colletotrichum was applied onto the punctured area by carefully placing droplets onto the wounded site. Control turmeric leaves were treated similarly but with sterile distilled water. The inoculated plants were covered with polythene to maintain humidity for successful disease development. After an appropriate incubation period (5-6 DAI), disease symptoms, such as leaf spots or lesions, were visually assessed and recorded. To confirm the association of Colletotrichum with the observed disease symptoms, the pathogen was reisolated from the infected turmeric leaves. Small pieces of symptomatic tissues were excised and placed onto PDA plates. The plates were incubated until the characteristic fungal colonies of Colletotrichumappeared.Microscopic observation of re-isolated fungal cultures were carried out to find the conidia of Colletotrichum. After microscopic observation it was concluded that Koch's postulates was successful.

### 3. Results and Discussions

#### 3.1 Survey on Leaf spot disease of Turmeric

In the surveyed locations of Cooch Behar and Nadia districts fixed plot survey was conducted and in every location turmeric leaf spot disease was quantified(**Plate 2**). The Fields were visited in monthly intervals from July to November and PDI data were recorded accordingly (**Figure 1**).The varieties were categorized from immune to susceptible on the basis of PDI(Percent Disease Index) observed at the end of final survey. AUDPC had been calculated from fortnight interval PDI data (From July to November)The variety fall under immune category (PDI <10%) is Uttar Rangini with PDI value of 9.44% and also exhibited lowest AUDPC value of 829.05. Suranjana and Uttar Rupanjana varieties were fall under resistant category (PDI= 11-20%) with percentage disease severity of 13.89% and 15.56% respectively with AUDPC value of 1219.80 and 1336.05 respectively. Whereas, some varieties i.e. Lakadong, Megha, Tur-1, Sugana and ACC-1025 showed moderately resistance (PDI= 21-30%) with PDI value of 24.40%, 22.80%, 27.15%, 28.72% and 25.78% respectively and the resultant AUDPC values were 2099.25, 1733.1, 1887.75, 1946.72 and 1724.32 respectively. Susceptible reactions showed by D-2154 and CL-276 line with AUDPC Value of 2207.77% and 2446.75% respectively. Higher disease severity (PDI >50%) was observed in some highly susceptible varietiesi.e. Malsari, Goma and Pragati with 53.12%, 57.49% and 55.35% of PDI respectively. High AUDPC values were also shown by these local varieties such as Pragati (4079.02), Malsari (4125.33), Goma (3906.37). The details of survey presented in Table 3.

From the results, it was found that most of the local varieties showed highest leaf spot disease severity and higher AUDPC value. This might be happened due to lack of proper management practices and susceptibility of the host. Most of the local varieties (i.e., Goma, Malsari, Sonjuli, Pragatietc) exhibited higher PDI (>50%) percentage. On the contrary, in the experimental plots lower rate of PDI values were observed. This might be happened due to proper management practices and resistance of the cultivars. Among the surveyed varieties, Uttar Rangini, Suranjana, Uttar Rupanjana, Lakadong and Megha showed lowest rate of PDI percentage as well as these varieties provided significant amount of yield. Hence farmers may be suggested to use these varieties by replacing their locally available varieties, which were being used by them for a long time. Similar type of survey was conducted by Gorawar et al. (2006) where they found 50% disease severity of leaf spot disease of turmeric in Gulbarga district in North Karnataka. Study of Koche et al. (2009) reveals that the most prevalent foliar disease of turmeric was leaf spot and they found "Kanti" and "Sudharsana," cultivar exhibited higher resistance to the disease compared to other 16 turmeric cultivar under study in the Vidarbha region of Maharashtra.



Figure 1: Progression of PDI of Colletotrichum Leaf Spot disease in different turmeric cultivars from July to November

District	Dlagas of	Latituda 8-	Total	Nama of	Cotogorization							
District	Places OI	Lantude &	number	Turmeric	Categorization	I.J.	4112	PDI (%)	) Dat	Nev	AUDPC**	rieid (t/ba)
	Villages	Longitude	of field	variaty /lina		July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	NOV.		(Una)
	villages		Surveyed	surveyed								
		Lot	sui veyeu	Lakadong	Moderately	12.92	14.07	15 21	10.00	24.40	2000.25	26
		Lat. 26°12'27"1N	4	Lakauong	resistant	(20.96)	(22.54)	(22.05)	(25,70)	(29.60)	2099.23	20
	Dinhata				resistant	(20.90)	(22.34)	(22.)3)	(23.70)	(29.00)		
	CTRI	·89°45'46"F										
	enu	Lat · 26°12'21''N	3	Megha	Moderately	7 36	9.87	13 47	17.51	22.80	1733 10	28
		Long:	5	megnu	resistant	(15.68)	(18.24)	(21.47)	(24.73)	(28.53)	1755.10	20
		89°45'44''E			Teorotante	(10100)	(10.2.)	(,	(	(20100)		
Cooch	Putimari.	Lat: 28°12'92 N	3	Malsari	Highly	15.27	24.36	30.64	44.49	53.12	4125.33	15
Behar	Village		-		susceptible	(22.95)	(29.53)	(33.60)	(41.78)	(46.78)		
	U	Long:			1	, í	Ì Í	` '	Ì Í	``´´		
		89°46'68"E										
	Tufanganj,	Lat: 26°18'48"N,	3	Goma	Highly	16.25	22.12	27.83	39.33	57.49	3906.37	12
	Fulbari	Long:			susceptible	(23.73)	(28.04)	(31.82)	(38.82)	(49.31)		
		89°39'37"E										
	Rakhalmari	Lat: 26°14'11"	3	Sonajuli	Highly	10.25	16.23	22.54	32.45	58.12	3237.89	13
	village	Ν,			susceptible	(18.53)	(23.73)	(28.32)	(34.70)	(49.66)		
		Long: 89°54'50"										
		E										
		Lat: 26°23'58"N	3	Uttar	Immune	4.86	5.56	6.58	7.13	9.44	829.05	29
	UBKV	Long:		Rangini		(12.66)	(13.39)	(14.89)	(15.45)	(17.85)		
	Pundibari	89°23'11''E										
		Lat: 26°23'57"N	5	Suranjana	Resistant	6.54	7.67	9.87	11.27	13.89	1219.80	22
		Long:				(14.77)	(16.00)	(18.22)	(19.55)	(21.89)		
		89°23'12''E	-									
		Lat: 26°23'59"N	3	Uttar	Resistant	5.82	8.24	10.78	13.37	15.56	1336.05	27
		Long:		Rupanjana		(13.94)	(16.64)	(19.19)	(21.39)	(23.28)		
		89°23'13''E	2	D 0154	G (11	0.07	10.06	17.56	01.45	21.22	2207 77	22
		Lat: 22°58'42" N	3	D-2154	Susceptible	8.95	12.26	17.56	21.45	31.22	2207.77	22
	Mondouri	Long:				(17.30)	(20.44)	(24.73)	(27.56)	(33.96)		
Nadia	Farm,	88-31 23 E	2	True 1	Madaménta	7.00	11.45	14.00	17.71	27.15	100775	24
Inadia	DUKV	Lat: $22^{-}50.47^{-}$ N	3	Tur-1	Desistent	/.88	(10.72)	14.28	1/./1	(21.15)	1887.75	24
		Long: 68 50 59 E	2	ACC 1025	Moderately	(10.39)	(19.75)	(22.14)	(24.88)	(51.57)	1724.22	21
		Lat: 22 30 45 IN	3	ACC-1025	Perioderately	(14.65)	(17, 15)	(20, 70)	10.49	(30.53)	1724.52	21
		28°30'56"E			Resistant	(14.03)	(17.13)	(20.70)	(23.02)	(30.33)		
		Lat: 22°56'21"N	1	CL-276	Susceptible	9.64	13.47	17 53	21.22	37 55	2446 75	20
		Long.	4	CL-270	Susceptible	(18.05)	(21.56)	(24.73)	(27.35)	(37.76)	2440.73	20
	1	Long.		1	1	(10.05)	(21.50)	(27.75)	(27.55)	(37.70)	1	1

# Volume 12 Issue 8, August 2023

<u>www.ijsr.net</u>

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

	88°30'43"E										
Gayeshpur	Lat 22°97'27"N	4	Sugana	Moderately	11.25	15.21	19.24	24.31	28.72	1946.72	25
	Long		-	Resisitant	(19.55)	(22.95)	(25.99)	(29.53)	(32.39)		
	88°49'51".E										
Basantapur	Lat: 22°96'90"N	5	Pragati	Highly	14.87	19.69	35.41	42.14	55.35	4079.02	14
-	Long:		-	susceptible	(22.71)	(26.35)	(36.51)	(40.45)	(48.04)		
	88°52'39"E										
				CD at 5%	1.376	0.099	0.633	0.122	0.351		
				SEm(+)	0.471	0.034	0.217	0.042	0.120		

\*\*AUDPC had been calculated from fortnight interval PDI data (From July to November)



Plate 2: Different locations visited during Survey Period

# Volume 12 Issue 8, August 2023 www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

#### **3.2. Isolation of the Pathogen**

The pathogen was isolated from total eight locations. Thus, eight isolates were collected. The descriptions of the eight isolates are presented in the **Table 4** below.

Isolate information	Colony Morphology	Microscopic observation
Isolate Name: CCDI-1 Location: Dinhata, Block 1, Cooch Behar, West Bengal. Lat.: 26°14'11"N Long: 89°54'50"E Isolated from: Phyllosphere Variety:Sonajuli local Pathogenicity test: Successful		
Isolate Name: CCMI-2 Location:Mondouri, Nadia, West Bengal Lat:22°56'47''N Long:88°30'59''E Isolated from: Diseased leaf variety : Pragati local Pathogenicity test: Successful		
Isolate Name: CCPI-3 Location: Pundibari Cooch Behar. Lat:26°23'58''N Long: 89°23'11''E Isolated from: Diseased leaf Variety: Uttar Rangini Pathogenicity test: Successful		
Isolate Name: CCTI-4 Location:Tufanganj Block II, Cooch Behar, West Bengal Lat :26°18'48''N Long: 89°39'37''E Isolated from: Diseased leaf Variety :Suranjana Pathogenicity test : Successful		

Table 4: Details of eight isolates collected from different turmeric growing areas of West Bengal

Isolate information	Colony Morphology	Microscopic observation
Isolate Name:CCRI-5 Location: Rakhalmari village, GP Sahebanj, Cooch Behar, West Bengal Lat : 26°10'32" Long: 89°28'23" Variety :Megha Pathogenicity test : Successful		

# Volume 12 Issue 8, August 2023

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY DOI: 10.21275/SR23823154038

Isolate Name:CCGI-6 Location:Gayeshpur, Nadia, West Bengal Lat :22°97'27''N Long: 88°30'59''E Variety :Pragati local Pathogenicity test : Successful	
Isolate Name: CCBI-7 Location:Basantapur, Nadia, West Bengal Lat: 22°96'90''N Long: 88°52'39''E Isolated from: Phyllosphere Variety :Sugana Pathogenicity test : Successful	
Isolate Name:CCLI-8 Location: CTRI farm , Dinhata Block I, West Bengal Field Lat: 26.12'27"N Long: 89.45"46"E Isolated from:Phyllosphere Variety :Lakadong Pathogenicity test : Successful	

#### **3.3** Morphological variations among the isolates

The fungus *Colletotrichm* produce numerous acervuli. Inside acervuli dark brown coloured needle like setea can be seen

and huge number of conidia are formed in the tip of conidiophores. The following **Table 5** showing variation of size of conidia and setea in eight isolates of *Colletotrichum*.

Isolate Name	Mean spore length (µm)	Mean Spore Breath (µm)	Mean Setae length (µm)	Mean Setae breath (µm)
CCDI-1	20.45	4.10	153.44	4.83
CCMI-2	25.16	4.25	169.50	5.45
CCPI-3	19.28	3.10	118.58	4.72
CCTI-4	16.17	4.09	92.55	3.11
CCRI-5	21.15	3.70	133.25	5.11
CCGI-6	17.34	2.95	94.73	3.64
CCBI-7	23.18	3.52	128.10	3.81
CCLI-8	22.12	3.84	139.66	3.41
CD at 5%	0.561	0.046	0.035	0.235
Sem (±)	1.6832	0.138	1.568	1.654
C.V.	4.760	2.165	2.132	3.520

**Table 5:** Morphological variations among the isolates

#### 3.4 Symptoms produced by the pathogen

The symptoms of leaf spot disease in turmeric, caused by *Colletotrichum* is characterized by variable-sized elliptical or oblong spots on the leaf blades. These spots have greyish or brownish center with a yellow halo surrounded by the spots. Both leaf surfaces exhibit numerous black dot-like structures called acervuli, which are arranged in concentric rings. These acervuli are asexual fruiting bodies and characteristic features of the disease caused by

*Colletotrichum* on turmeric leaves. The spots are varied in size, ranging from 3.50 to 7.00 cm in length and approximately 3.40 to 4.60 cm in breadth. Initially, the spots form small brown lesions, as they grow, multiple spots may merge, creating irregular patches that often cover a significant portion of the leaf. Eventually, the affected leaves dry up. Patel *et al.* (2005) also documented this kind of characteristics of the leaf spot disease symptoms on turmeric plants (**Plate 3**).



Plate 3: Symptoms of ColletotrichumLeaf spot disease in Turmeric

#### 3.5 Pathogenicity test

The pathogen had been inoculated in the healthy leaves of the turmeric plants grown in the earthen pots kept inside polyhouse. After 4-5 days of inoculation brown dot like symptom with yellow halo can be seen on pin pricked area. These spots enlarge and become greyish brown with concentric ring which is the typical symptom of the leaf spot disease by Colletotrichum. Another method for in-vitro pathogenicity test healthy leaves which were pin pricked with pathogen kept inside the B.O.D. After 7-8 days leaf spot symptoms overserved in those leaves. Re-isolation was done from this diseased leaves and isolated pathogen culture shows typical morphological characteristics of Colletotrichum (i.e., Conidia, setea, acervuli etc.) when observed under microscope. Hence Koch's postulates were successfully established(Plate 4). Similar type of methods and observations were recorded by Guji et al. (2019) when they conducted Koch's postulates to determine the pathogenicity of Colletotrichum capsicica using leaf spot disease of turmeric.

# **3.6** Weather conditions prevalent during severe disease development:

During the period of July to November the weather conditions i.e. temperature (21-31°C), relative humidity (67-82%) and cumulative rainfall (1246mm) had direct impact on the severe progress of the disease in all the surveyed locations of Northern part of west Bengal and average PDI of 29% was recorded during the survey months, year 2022.

Whereas, in southern part of West Bengal, the weather variables which favored severe disease progression between July to November were temperature (24-34°C), relative humidity (78-91%), cumulative rainfall 504 mm, which resulted 33% PDI during the survey months, year, 2022.



Plate 4. Steps of pathogenicity test; a. inoculated turmeric plant; b-c disease symptoms appeared on inoculated leaves; d. re-isolation of the pathogen; e. microscopic observation

#### 3.7. Molecular detection of isolated pathogens:

Though eight isolates were collected from four locations of North Bengal as well as four locations of south Bengal, but two representative isolates i.e., CCDI-1 from north Bengal and CCMI-2 from south Bengal respectively were selected for molecular identification. The genomic sequences for CCDI-1 and CCMI-2 isolates were deposited in the GeneBank of NCBI blast and accession numbers are already obtained. The accession number for CCDI-1 is OR158054 and for CCMI-2 it is OR150435.

### 4. Summary and Conclusion

The studies reveal that local varieties which are widely cultivated by farmers i.e. Goma, Malsari, Pragati, Sonajuli are highly susceptible to *Colletotrichum* leaf spot disease. These local varieties should be replaced by resistant varieties which are also high yielder such as Uttar Rangini,

Lakadong, Suranjana, Megha etc. The fungus produce oblong to elliptical leaf spot forming greyish to brown centre surrounded by yellow halo. The isolates are found to be the genus *Colletotrichum* based on their cultural and morphological characteristics. However among the eight isolates mentioned two isolates i.e. CCDI-1 and CCMI-2 are found to be *Colletotrichum curcumae*. The pathogenicity tests of the eight isolates confirms successful establishment of Koch's Postulates.

# References

- Adhipathi, P., Nakkeeran, S., &Chandrasekaran, A. 2013. Morphological characterization and molecular phylogeny of *Colletotrichum capsici* causing leaf spot disease of turmeric. *The Bioscan.*, 8(1): 331-337.
- [2] FAOSTAT, 2021. *Turmeric production. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.* https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/
- [3] Gorawar, M. M., Hegde, Y. R., Lokesh, N. M., &Rao, M. S. L. 2006. Survey for Foliar Diseases of Turmeric in Northern Karnataka. *Ann. Agric. Bio. Res.*, 11(2): 171-173
- [4] Guji, J. M., &Wakjira, G. W. 2019. Assessment of Collectorichum leaf spot disease of turmeric in major growing area of Southwestern Ethiopia. *Int. J. Res. Agric. Forestry*, 6(12): 24-27.
- [5] Koche, M., Kothikar, R. B. and Patil, C.U. 2009. Survey and screening of turmeric cultivars against major foliar diseases of turmeric in Vidarbha Region and pathogenic behaviour of *Colletotrichum dematium. Ind. J. Arecanut Spices Med. Plants.* , 11(2): 63-68.
- [6] Li, Q., Mo, J., Guo, T., Huang, S., Tang, L., Ning, P. & Hsiang, T. 2016. First report of leaf spot of *Curcuma* wenyujin caused by *Colletotrichum curcumae* in China. *Plant Dis*, 100: 521.
- [7] Mayee, C. D. and Datar, V. V. 1986. *Phytopathometry*, Technical bulletin–1, 1986, Marathwada Agricultural University, Parbhani., pp. 94.
- [8] Nair, M. C. and Ramakrishnan, K. 1973. Effect of *Colletotrichum* leaf spot disease of turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.) on the yield and quality of rhizomes. *Curr. Sci.* 42: 549–550.
- [9] National Horticulture Board. 2022. Turmeric production in India, from https://nhb.gov.in/statistics/area-productionstatistics.html
- [10] Patel, R. V., Joshi, K. R., Solanky, K. U., and Sabalpara, A. N. 2005. *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*, a new leaf spot pathogen of turmeric in Gujarat. *Indian Phytopath.*, 58 (1): 125.
- [11] Shaner, G. and Finney, R.E. 1977. The effect of nitrogen fertilization on the expression of slowmildewing resistance in Knox wheat. *Phytopath.*, 67:1051–1056
- [12] Wheeler, B. E. J. (1969). An introduction to plant disease, London, New York: Wiley. pp. 301