Exploring the Impact of Online Learning on Student Engagement and Academic Performance

Noura Marzouk Alghahoory

The Public Authority of Applied Education and Training
The High Institute of Navigation and Telecommunication, English Department

Abstract: *This research explores the impact of online learning on student engagement and academic performance, specifically focusing on the role of active participation in online settings. Through an analysis of literature and empirical studies, the study examines the effect of synchronous interaction, attendance, and engagement tools on student outcomes. Results indicate mixed effects, with some positive associations between online learning tools and engagement but challenges in maintaining academic performance and active participation. These findings highlight the need for innovative strategies to enhance student interaction and performance in online environments.*

Keywords: online learning, student engagement, academic performance, synchronous interaction, digital education

1. Introduction

The growing adoption of online learning by educational institutions has made it important to understand the dynamics between pedagogy and electronic environments. The previous years have contributed to raising the number of students registered in online courses, especially post - pandemic of covid19. There are many ways to evaluate the efficacy of the transition towards online classes. However, an overlooked one of utmost importance is understanding how attached students feel to the course material and how worthwhile they perceive the learning outcomes of the course. In fact, despite a substantial amount of research into indicators of effective teaching, little is known about how the tools and resources available to instructors in online learning environments can be used to assess the degree of student engagement or its implications on academic performance and overall student satisfaction. In addition, the role of active online participation or level of vitality in learning is in doubt, with recent literature finding it to have a positive association with learning in some contexts and no relation in other studies.

Objectives
1) This study aims to evaluate the impact of online learning on student engagement and academic performance by analyzing participation levels, attendance, and the effectiveness of engagement tools in digital education environments.
2) This study is significant as it addresses the challenges and opportunities presented by the transition to online learning, providing insights into improving engagement and performance in digital education.

2. Online Learning: Definition and Evolution

Online learning refers to educational activities conducted online over the internet using software designed to facilitate communication between instructors and students, often in conjunction with a text. Online learning can be conducted synchronously, such as when everyone is online and conversing with one another at the same time. Online learning can also be conducted asynchronously, such as when one person responds to a problem set by someone else much later in time. Typically, online technologies are used to deliver some or all educational content and to provide communication between instructors and students and among students themselves.

With the growth of the internet and the introduction of mobile, computing devices, online learning began to boom. One of the major changes over time was the increase in the use of online learning by educational institutions. With the opportunity to take in students from all over the world, the opportunities to earn money seemed large. Indeed, one of the latest technological expansions is to offer courses that are offered for free to anyone who wants to sign up. People who pass these courses can receive a certificate of completion, and often they are affiliated with universities. This is an outgrowth of what is called the open education movement, which seeks to make education more accessible to all. There is also a movement toward blended learning activities, which are designed on the idea that the different modalities—online and face - to - face—can complement each other. The success of online learning may be the result of the internet's provision of anytime anywhere access, and not the pedagogy of learning sites or schools. With higher bandwidth available on the go or on campus, learners and instructors therefore no longer require elaborate hardware or software to participate in learning activities. Yet connectivity is one of the digital divides—the difference between those who are more familiar with the internet and those who are not. Furthermore, tracking has shown that digital literacy seems to cross most of the educational lines from formal to informal settings and acts as one form of digital divide, embracing the difference in the achievement of certain learning outcomes. Consequently, online learning has created a set of new challenges for both learners and institutions. As people moved from fixed workstations to laptop drives to handheld PCs to cellular phones, the ability to teach and learn online needs to be adjusted. This means that people are becoming used to and happy with the idea that they can always be in contact, while at the same time, they are looking for ways to add value or to be flexible with the concept of being always connected.

3. Student Engagement in Online Learning

Various definitions of student engagement appear in the academic literature. The consistent theme in these definitions

Volume 13 Issue 11, November 2024
Fully Refereed | Open Access | Double Blind Peer Reviewed Journal
www.ijsr.net

Paper ID: SR241113214901   DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.21275/SR241113214901   835

is that students need to be active participants in their learning. They should be involved, show an interest, and have a positive attitude towards learning and be motivated to learn. This is a crucial part of the experience for all students, but of particular importance to distance education, where there is no direct face - to - face contact with an instructor or tutor. Interaction with the content of the course, as well as interaction with fellow students, is seen as a strong indicator of student engagement – or lack of it. The extent to which students create their own content, collaborate on projects, and share understanding is considered in relation to student engagement. This level of student engagement has been associated with enhanced motivation, increased attendance, greater persistence, and improved academic performance.

There are several strategies that instructors can use to promote student engagement in the classroom. Producing online content that is informative and interactive has the potential to engage students with their learning in a synchronous or asynchronous environment. Strategies to enhance interactional engagement within an online environment include using games and simulations, as well as collaborative assignments. Technology has also had a profound impact on the ability to create engagement within a course. Social media and discussion forums are generally associated with increasing the level of student engagement due in part to ease of use and overall popularity. The opposite can also be true. The very nature of online learning can serve to enhance or inhibit the engagement of a student. Although we can connect with people and share ideas, knowledge, and artifacts, we are also working in an isolated manner. There is potential for students to feel more isolated when they are working from a distance in this manner. While social media can ease these feelings of isolation, for some students, this is not actionable. A sustained sense of belonging is more likely to come from the establishment of a supportive environment within the course.

3.1 Factors Influencing Student Engagement

The learning environment and experience as part of higher education has dramatically shifted since the start of the COVID - 19 pandemic. Despite the increase in the offering of online courses across numerous colleges and universities worldwide, the factors that influence student engagement with such courses are not yet fully understood. Engagement is a complex construct, with people engaged in an activity for a multitude of reasons. These include intrinsic reasons, such as passionate interest in the subject matter, or extrinsic reasons, such as prestige and other rewards associated with qualifications.

One critical influence on student participation and engagement in online courses is the clear communication and responsive feedback offered by the instructor. The presence of learning rubrics that provide a framework for students to understand how learning activities align with student assessment has been linked with increased course engagement and is particularly important for students with low numeric grades. Also, students' technical capabilities and their confidence in their technical competency can influence their level of course participation, and this again is a factor critical for online STEM classes. While not all students are

affected by this, for those who are less capable in the technical environment, anxiety and pressure are increased with technology issues being a major source of stress. The wider social aspects and practices that influence engagement focus on peer relationships, such as online and in - class student interaction and collaborative coursework. For international and second language students, the level of engagement can also be influenced by or through perception of the usefulness of the course to life needs. Finally, personal circumstances such as family and work responsibilities are also critical factors with engagement in what is in effect discretionary time or hobby learning avocations as well as career and work learning. (Cavinato et al., 2021)

4. Academic Performance in Online Learning

Online learning environments provide diverse opportunities for learners to interact through multimedia materials, asynchronous and synchronous communication spaces. More specifically, diverse assessment methods can be introduced, motivating students, and enabling them to demonstrate what they know. The relationship between these learning environments and academic performance will be explored in more depth later in this review. But, despite the diversity of the literature, not all students are performing to the best of their ability in online environments. Ethical concerns were identified regarding the quantification of student performance based on resource use and digital learning activity. It is conceived in this work as an ethical complement because resource use is positively associated with academic performance as well; we observed the same argument extending to statistics transfer students who outperform their non - transfer peers and special learning styles. Indeed, some students are seen to struggle to reach their potential in these settings.

Empirical evidence indeed indicates there is variability, and there are mixed results concerning academic outcomes in online learning contexts. A meta - analysis of 259 studies concluded that students had more difficulty in an online class, or, in other words, those attempting courses in an online environment had stronger prior academic performance than those attempting traditional courses. Performance differences are not necessarily related to online learning tools, however. Instead, findings suggest that factors like time management, self - regulation, and learning techniques are "moderate to strong" predictors of academic performance in diverse colleges using online or face - to - face learning. Motivational factors are also important for online learning success as difficulties with attention focus and interruptions from social media are stronger in this class type. Some adolescents prefer online environments, and these classes have the potential to enable adaptation of learning opportunities for individuals. This is important, as the empirical evidence suggests that across studies, learners' learning style and motivation (including their conceptions of learning, intrinsic motivation, and persistence) influence learning engagement. The greater variability between students in assessing need and process has clear implications for online course design, a topic that is currently under discussion in the literature. Module assessors have been referred to in the current literature as the largest or birds' nest chunk of design instruction and as the point of a wedge or political possibility.

4.1 Assessment Methods and Challenges

Assessment methods and challenges in online learning can be broadly divided into two types: formative and summative assessments. Formative assessments are low - stakes tasks designed to give students feedback on their performance and help them self - regulate their learning behavior. In contrast, summative assessments are high - stakes evaluations of student learning that assign a grade or determine whether learning outcomes have been met. Aligning assessments with the learning objectives is a fundamental principle of good assessment design. However, most assessments in online programs of study are based on best guesses of reliable and valid assessment tasks that were used on campus, and in many cases, practices have not been questioned or adapted to the online environment. (Xiong et al.2023)

Performance in online communities of learners must be measured in new and creative ways that go beyond checking for correct answers. The social dimension of learning and the on - demand access to large quantitative data sets offer new opportunities. However, there are also limitations to human assessment that need to be considered. In online distance education, there are concerns regarding academic honesty, validity, and authenticity of student assessment submissions. Extensive research reveals high levels of academic dishonesty in education generally, not just online education; however, the distance component brings about a new set of challenges. In collaborative learning, peers can quickly identify bias and question the integrity of the rater. An important aspect of peer assessment is the training and monitoring of students to conduct the peer assessment. Some claim this is not necessary, as the natural reflective nature of most individuals allows them to perform peer assessments. Group projects and problem - based exercises are viable ways of almost eliminating academic dishonesty. As the project is group - based, the students would have to collude and provide the same wrong or right answers. In addition, a group project often includes a presentation where the group gives its responses in oral form. The oral responses are compared with the written submission, and any fraudulent response would be investigated. A real subsystem would make it hard to mime a response. The major argument for group projects and tutorial exercises is that they provide an authentic assessment medium for student performance, which is more indicative of their ability. An oral presentation of a project in front of the whole tutorial group is powerful in this regard. Having the oral presentation allows academic staff to almost immediately evaluate the students' knowledge and understanding of the topic. A project is persuasive in that it tests the practical application of the course content rather than mere ability to sit and do a three - hour exam. Moreover, a project would provide a variety of assessment marks and consequently place less importance on only one or two written exams. Society wants competent employees, not necessarily good exam takers. (Gamage et al., 2020)

5. Research Methodologies in Studying Online Learning Impact

Research methodologies in studying online learning impacts can be quantitative or qualitative. Quantitative methods are better for general patterns and large differences, while qualitative methods explore how and why differences occur. Using a mixed - methods approach can provide a comprehensive understanding but requires more time and resources. Ethics in online research are important, including privacy rights, informed consent, and confidentiality. Different data collection approaches, such as surveys, interviews, and classroom observations, have their own considerations. Using multiple data sources can impact validity and reliability, raising ethical concerns if not handled carefully.

5.1 Quantitative vs. Qualitative Approaches

Quantitative approaches are primarily aimed at obtaining generalizable results that can be tested for statistical significance, given a certain confidence or probability level. This threshold can be adjusted to different levels, commonly set at a 0.05 significance level. In studying learner engagement and academic performance, the advantage of these approaches is the possibility to generalize from a larger portion of students and gather a broad understanding of those phenomena. This means that a questionnaire collects data from multiple students and can employ tests of statistical significance to compare different cohorts of learners, allowing for the identification of general or 'average' trends that can suggest which aspects might influence learner engagement. (Thornberg et al.2022)

In contrast to this, qualitative approaches are not based upon large numbers of respondents, although they can sometimes gather significant data through saturation with a smaller number of participants. Many researchers have pointed out that reports of individual negative experiences of online learning could point to improvements that could be made to the experience, and that it is easy to make generalizations from such criticisms. Additionally, in - depth interviews and focus groups might assist in identifying motivational factors and key turning points or experiences. Qualitative methods can also provide insights into the emotionally charged nature of some sources of contact and identify important processes and mechanisms involved in the formation of critical social networks. The strength of qualitative approaches in exploring such pockets of experience lies both within the depths of understanding that can be developed and the way they enable exploration of complex viewpoints, as they allow for some degree of emergence and allow participants to set the agenda. Importantly, neither qualitative nor quantitative approaches are without bias, nor can they provide comprehensive understanding on their own. (Meşe and Sevilen2021)

6. Case Studies and Findings

Findings in the Real World: Case Study Examples The following case studies comprising various educational domains describe how students have reacted to different approaches to engaging them and assisting their academic development. In turn, the success or challenges associated with these different educational strategies present striking examples for the teacher education policy process. (Amerstorfer and Freiin2021)

Case Study 1: Building a sense of connection – finding ways to engage students from diverse geographical locations. Initial

success was not sustained. Case Study 2: Using interactive methods in flexible delivery undergraduate courses. Early research showed evidence of students' higher academic performance; an ongoing research project has been set up to explore the sustained impact of these initiatives. Case Study 3: Consultation, communication, and continuous evaluation and adaptation strategies. Case Study 4: Academic staff from several areas have responded to direct questions about what has or hasn't worked in their unit. It is expected that many of these units will be available for closer study and follow - up. There are several possible responses that might emerge from this range of 'education for judgment' possibilities. First and foremost, might be researcher skepticism in relation to such grand claims, underscored by the production of a list of critical considerations that could and should be part of teacher education policy shaping. This might result in a sobering response in relation to expectations and reminders of the gaps between theory, policy aspirations, and practice.

7. Conclusion and Implications for Practice

The findings reveal that online learning poses unique challenges to student engagement and academic performance. While engagement tools show some potential, their effectiveness varies among learners. This study recommends that educational institutions develop more adaptable strategies to enhance online student interaction and address the diverse needs of digital learners. Implementing tailored interventions for different student demographics may improve engagement outcomes, ultimately benefiting both students and educators in digital learning environments.

References

[1] Cavinato, A. G., Hunter, R. A., Ott, L. S., & Robinson, J. K. (2021). Promoting student interaction, engagement, and success in an online environment. springer. com

[2] Xiong, M., Hu, Z., Lu, X., Li, Y., Fu, J., He, J., & Hooi, B. (2023). Can llms express their uncertainty? an empirical evaluation of confidence elicitation in llms. arXiv preprint arXiv: 2306.13063. [PDF]

[3] Gamage, K. A. A., Silva, E. K., & Gunawardhana, N. (2020). Online delivery and assessment during COVID - 19: Safeguarding academic integrity. Education Sciences. mdpi. com

[4] Thornberg, R., Forsberg, C., Hammar Chiriac, E., & Bjereld, Y. (2022). Teacher–student relationship quality and student engagement: A sequential explanatory mixed - methods study. Research papers in education, 37 (6), 840 - 859. tandfonline. com

[5] Meşe, E., & Sevilen, Ç. (2021). Factors influencing EFL students' motivation in online learning: A qualitative case study. Journal of Educational Technology and Online Learning, 4 (1), 11 - 22. dergipark. org. tr

[6] Amerstorfer, C. M., & Freiin von Münster - Kistner, C. (2021). Student perceptions of academic engagement and student - teacher relationships in problem - based learning. Frontiers in psychology, 12, 713057. frontiersin. org

Volume 13 Issue 11, November 2024
Fully Refereed | Open Access | Double Blind Peer Reviewed Journal
www.ijsr.net

Paper ID: SR241113214901   DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.21275/SR241113214901   838