

Impartial Broadcasting as a Tool of Democratic Society

Christian Peregin

Lovin Malta Founder, former CEO and former Campaign Manager at the Nationalist Party, Malta

Abstract: *Impartial broadcasting is a key element in the maintenance and development of democratic societies. The objective transmission of information through the media plays an important role in shaping public opinion, supporting pluralism, and ensuring transparency of government. In a rapidly changing media environment, where false news and misinformation are becoming more widespread, the need for neutral broadcasting is only increasing. The principles of objectivity and neutrality provide an opportunity to inform citizens, prevent manipulation of public opinion, and support healthy public discourse. However, impartial broadcasters face serious challenges in the context of increasing political polarization, the reduction of independent journalism, and the concentration of media resources. To maintain trust in the media, it is necessary to strengthen the mechanisms of control over objectivity, as well as preserve the independence of the media from political pressure.*

Keywords: impartial broadcasting, democracy, objectivity, neutrality, media, pluralism, media independence, disinformation

1. Introduction

Impartial broadcasting is essential to the functioning of a democratic society, as it provides citizens with access to objective and reliable information necessary for political engagement. However, in the modern media landscape, where social media influence is growing and false news spreads rapidly, ensuring objectivity in the information provided has become increasingly important. This is crucial for maintaining dialogue and preventing the manipulation of public opinion.

The relevance of this topic lies in the fact that, with technological advancements and changes in the ways information is disseminated, the role of impartial broadcasting has become controversial. Modern media faces challenges such as increasing political polarization, the influence of commercial interests, and pressure from authorities. These factors threaten the ability of mass media to remain objective.

Online media, which initially developed as independent channels for informing citizens, now operates in a digital environment where user preferences are dictated by automated algorithms. These programs meticulously analyze audience behavior to deliver content tailored to individual interests.

Traditional publishers, accustomed to relying on their reputation and editorial standards, are forced to adapt to the logic of platforms where content has taken on the characteristics of a dynamic data stream. The effectiveness of this system depends on its ability to capture attention, often leading to a dominance of emotionally charged messages over balanced analytical materials.

Monetization also plays a significant role. Advertising technologies on algorithmic platforms rely on extensive user data. This model enhances the precision of targeting, making it difficult for media outlets with less adaptive approaches to compete.

In algorithmically controlled spaces, information is no longer distributed evenly. Users are exposed only to content that aligns with their current interests and behavioral patterns. This segmentation intensifies the fragmentation of public consciousness, creating isolated clusters of opinions.

Additionally, mechanisms for promoting materials that encourage engagement tend to amplify the popularity of polarizing content. Emotional or sensational materials have higher "virality," which reduces the visibility of deep, contextually nuanced messages.

At the same time, the positive aspects cannot be ignored. Algorithmic platforms enable greater civic engagement by simplifying access to current events, thereby stimulating collective action.

Addressing the challenges of the algorithmic environment requires editorial teams to implement radical changes. Some outlets are developing hybrid distribution models, combining traditional approaches with algorithmic analysis of audience preferences. The conflict between traditional media and algorithmic platforms continues to shape the information space, where democratic interests intersect with commercial goals. Maintaining the resilience of the media environment requires the development of new solutions aimed at safeguarding the credibility and openness of information.

Therefore, the purpose of this study is to analyze the principles of impartial broadcasting and their impact on democratic processes, as well as to examine the contemporary challenges facing mass media.

1) Principles и техники of Impartial Broadcasting

Impartiality is a key element of broadcasting systems in democratic countries [1]. The principles upon which it is based form the foundation for ensuring objectivity, neutrality, and independence in the dissemination of information by the media. These principles require that media outlets maintain neutrality in their coverage, avoiding bias, subjective judgments, and personal preferences. Broadcasting should be

grounded in factual information, enabling audiences to form their own opinions based on the material provided.

Furthermore, impartiality necessitates the avoidance of manipulations in the context of information. All data must be presented in a manner that prevents misleading the audience, either through omissions or exaggeration of particular aspects. Ultimately, adherence to the principles of impartial broadcasting fosters trust in the media, enhances public awareness, and contributes to the development of a democratic society, where the right to access objective information is an essential component of freedom of speech [2].

As for the normative framework, the UNESCO General Conference held in Paris in 1972 played a crucial role in shaping international standards aimed at ensuring impartial broadcasting. This event marked a significant milestone in the development of global media, particularly in matters of freedom of information, media responsibility, and their influence on public opinion.

Key themes and achievements of the conference:

- 1) In the area of freedom of information, the fundamental right to access objective and unbiased information was

recognized as essential for sustaining a democratic society and fostering international cultural dialogue.

- 2) Ethical standards for journalism were highlighted, emphasizing the need for universal norms to ensure objectivity and accuracy in the media, focusing on preventing the distortion of facts and manipulation of public opinion.
- 3) To prevent propaganda, measures were proposed to ensure neutrality, especially in international conflicts.
- 4) In the area of international cooperation, a call was made to strengthen interaction between countries and media organizations to exchange experiences, and content, and promote fair standards of journalism [3].

The decisions made at this conference influenced international media policy in the subsequent decades. In particular, a series of declarations and recommendations were developed to promote independent journalism and ensure global access to reliable information. These ideas formed the basis of documents such as the "International Principles of Professional Ethics in Journalism" (1983), which continue to serve as a guideline for media organizations worldwide [3]. Specifically, they laid the foundation for the key principles on which impartial broadcasting is built. Below, Table 1 outlines these principles.

Table 1: Principles of impartial broadcasting [2].

Principle	Description
Objectivity	Transmission of information without distortions, based on facts and reliable sources, excluding subjective opinions.
Neutrality	Presentation of various viewpoints without preference for one side, allowing the audience to gain a complete picture of events.
Balance	Even coverage of all significant aspects of the topic, including minority voices or less popular opinions.
Transparency of sources	Disclosure of information regarding the origin of data, so the audience can assess the reliability and quality of the sources.
Avoidance of bias	Exclusion of biased comments or interpretations that could distort the audience's perception of events.
Openness to criticism	Willingness to receive and analyze critical remarks to improve the quality and objectivity of broadcasting.
Adherence to ethical standards	Compliance with journalistic ethics, such as respect for human rights, honesty, and responsibility.
Separation of facts and opinions	A clear distinction between factual information and personal comments or analytical opinions to avoid misleading the audience.
Respect for the audience	Respect for the diversity of views, cultures, and beliefs of the audience, avoiding discriminatory statements.

The following section examines techniques used to ensure objective broadcasting. These techniques rely on well-developed methods that eliminate subjectivity and provide balanced representation of various perspectives. A key tool is the use of verified data, analyzed through multiple independent sources. This approach prevents the dissemination of inaccurate information and the distortion of facts.

Journalistic work focuses on the neutral reporting of events, avoiding emotionally charged language. To address contentious issues, experts representing diverse viewpoints are invited, allowing the audience to form their own opinions. Moderators are responsible for ensuring equal allocation of time among participants and creating conditions conducive to presenting arguments.

Objectivity is achieved by clearly separating facts from analytical commentary. For example, in news programs, data are presented separately from editorial opinions, ensuring transparent information delivery. Editorial policies regulate

material preparation, and journalists undergo training to eliminate subjective bias. Regular internal audits help identify deviations from established standards and implement corrective measures.

Transparency is ensured by citing information sources and explaining the methods used to verify them. Events are covered using various approaches, including interviews, official reports, and eyewitness accounts, providing a comprehensive view of the topic.

Strictly neutral language is applied in material preparation, avoiding expressions that provoke emotional reactions or influence audience perception. The phrasing remains restrained and objective [7, 8].

2) The Impact of Impartial Broadcasting on Democracy

The successful functioning of a democratic system requires an informed society. In the modern world, where social networks have gained significant influence, there is a pressing need for reliable sources of information [4]. Consequently,

the establishment of independent media demands not only freedom of speech and professionalism from journalists but also support from organizations such as unions and journalist associations. Society must also recognize the importance of the media's role in democratic conditions. To ensure the full functioning of the media, freedom of operation and the right to disseminate information without obstruction must be guaranteed.

The media plays a critical role in the political life of a country, but to fulfill this function, it must remain independent of the influence of political parties or other entities. If journalists fail to carry out their duties, it can lead to abuses of power by public officials, negatively impacting democracy. The media also acts as a safeguard for the people's authority, promoting democratic values and ensuring proper governance. It informs citizens about current events and shapes public opinion, contributing to the improvement of national processes [5].

This approach to information delivery ensures access to objective data, helping individuals better understand ongoing events and make informed decisions. Access to high - quality information stimulates societal development and strengthens political agency.

Providing equal opportunities for the expression of opinions creates conditions for open dialogue. Independent media address the interests of various social groups, including those traditionally unheard. This promotes mutual respect and reduces tensions.

The work of independent journalists counters the spread of false information and manipulation. Verified data empower individuals to protect themselves from disinformation and avoid the influence of unreliable sources. Such mechanisms sustain the stability of public awareness.

Oversight of government activities is achieved through coverage of violations and abuses. Independent journalism contributes to the creation of a system where government actions are closely scrutinized by the public. This increases accountability among public officials.

The availability of information removes barriers faced by marginalized groups, enabling them to participate in societal processes. This policy reduces social isolation and strengthens connections between different segments of society.

Objective reporting builds citizens' trust in information sources and enhances the legitimacy of government institutions. It helps people feel like significant contributors to the political system and recognize the impact of their actions.

Impartial broadcasting strengthens trust in institutions and enhances transparency in governmental activities. Table 2 outlines the factors through which impartial coverage of events influences democracy.

Table 2 Factors that impartial broadcasting has on democracy [5].

Factor	Description
Citizen information	Impartial broadcasting provides citizens with access to accurate and comprehensive information, helping them make informed decisions and participate in democratic processes.
Support for pluralism of opinions	Neutral coverage of various viewpoints fosters a diverse discourse, which is essential for a healthy democracy and prevents a monopoly on information.
Countering propaganda	Objective broadcasting helps combat disinformation and propaganda by providing citizens with reliable facts, reducing the risks of public opinion manipulation.
Strengthening trust in the media	Honest and balanced coverage of events increases public trust in the media, contributing to the reinforcement of democratic institutions.
Protection of minority rights	Impartial broadcasting highlights the issues and challenges faced by minorities, ensuring their voice are heard in the public sphere and safeguarding their rights.
Encouragement of public dialogue	Neutral broadcasting encourages discussion and the exchange of opinions, fostering the development of civil society and raising the level of political culture.
Reducing societal polarization	Providing information without distortions reduces the likelihood of societal division along political or ideological lines, promoting consensus and cooperation.
Ensuring government transparency	Objective coverage of government activities promotes accountability to citizens, which is a key element of democratic oversight.
Support for free elections	Unbiased information about candidates and their platforms helps citizens make informed choices, contributing to the fairness and legitimacy of the electoral process.

3) Challenges and Issues of Impartial Broadcasting

Media organizations face a range of risks that affect their functioning and independence. Both political actors and the media themselves play important roles in maintaining a healthy media environment. The source [6], posted on the website constitution - unit. com, identifies the following types of threats

- a) The danger of losing objectivity among broadcasters. Impartial broadcasters, such as BBC, ITV, Channel 4, and other public channels in the UK, are crucial sources of reliable information. However, they face accusations of bias from various sides of the political spectrum. These accusations undermine audience trust and may hinder

their ability to critique the government. Concurrently, new media outlets, such as GB News, also face criticism for violating impartiality principles, which increases pressure on the media.

- b) The threat to media independence. Changes in the financial and organizational models of media create additional risks to their independence. For example, the review of the BBC's funding model and attempts to privatize Channel 4 have raised concerns about their ability to maintain independence and objectivity in the face of political pressure. The appointment of individuals loyal to the authorities to leadership positions within

- media companies also raises doubts about their independence.
- c) The rise of polarization in content. One challenge for the media is preserving the pluralism of opinions necessary for healthy public discourse. However, there is growing concern that polarizing content may exacerbate societal divisions and worsen the political situation. Past examples, such as the coverage of events related to Brexit, show that aggressive headlines and sharp statements from the media can deepen existing disagreements.
 - d) The weakening of local and investigative journalism. With the rise of digital platforms and social media, traditional forms of journalism, such as local and investigative reporting, are losing significance. Declining circulation and staff reductions have weakened the ability of media outlets to engage in high - quality journalistic activities, negatively affecting the coverage of political and economic issues.
 - e) The Spread of Disinformation. Inaccurate data misleads the public, thereby exacerbating polarization. Moreover, regulating the informational space poses a complex challenge, as it requires balancing freedom of speech with the need to prevent the dissemination of false information.
 - f) Ownership Concentration and Monopolization. Such actions threaten pluralism in the media and may lead to increased bias in favor of specific political forces. This creates a risk of reducing the diversity of viewpoints and distorting public discourse.

Table 3 outlines the key issues related to the concentration of media power and possible solutions to ensure media balance and objectivity.

Table 3: Ways to eliminate problems in impartial broadcasting [6].

Problem	Solutions
The danger of losing objectivity among broadcasters	1. Implement transparent mechanisms for verifying editorial independence.2. Support regular monitoring of media resources by independent organizations to assess adherence to objectivity standards.3. Strengthen the role of public discussions and debates involving independent experts to enhance audience trust.
Threat to media independence	1. Develop new funding models based on public support and international grants to maintain independence.2. Strengthen legislation to ensure the independence of media governing bodies from political influence.3. Increase transparency in the process of appointing media executives with public and independent expert involvement.
Rise of polarization in content	1. Develop standards for more balanced coverage of socially significant issues, taking into account various viewpoints.2. Train journalists in managing polarizing content and reducing aggressive rhetoric.3. Promote media literacy programs among the public to improve the perception of the difference between factual information and opinions.
Weakening of local and investigative journalism	1. Introduce government support programs for local and independent publications.2. Develop co - funding models (crowdfunding, local grants) to support regional journalism.3. Encourage investment in local media from major players in the media industry through the creation of special funds.
Spread of disinformation and unreliable information	1. Implement mandatory fact - checking standards for all media resources and expand mechanisms for verifying information before publication.2. Create coalitions of media platforms and social networks to combat disinformation through automated content analysis and filtering tools.3. Support training programs for journalists and the public on information handling skills, including identifying fake news.
Concentration of ownership and Monopolization	1. Introduce antitrust laws to limit the concentration of ownership in the hands of a single media corporation.2. Support independent and small publishers, creating funds to finance media startups.3. Increase transparency regarding media company owners and publish data on who owns various publications.

Such interaction between political actors and the media should foster the strengthening of democratic processes and maintain a healthy public discourse aimed at preventing disinformation and polarization within society [6].

2. Conclusion

Impartial broadcasting is a fundamental element of a democratic society, playing a crucial role in maintaining openness and transparency in political processes. By providing citizens with objective and comprehensive information, the media support public participation in democratic processes and contribute to the formation of an informed public opinion. However, with the rise of political polarization and the spread of false news, the task of preserving impartiality becomes increasingly challenging. To strengthen democratic institutions, media independence and their ability to convey information objectively must be maintained, despite external pressures.

References

- [1] Acqui L. Britain in Europe and the national referendum campaign //The first referendum. – Manchester University Press, 2020. – pp.201 - 237.
- [2] Ocala M. Is the era of impartial journalism over? The principle of neutrality and the audience's distrust of the main news //Research in the field of journalism. – 2021. – vol.22. – no.15. – Pp.2042 - 2060.
- [3] Declaration of Guidelines on the Use of Satellite Broadcasting for the Free Dissemination of Information, the Dissemination of Education, and the Expansion of Cultural Exchange. [Electronic resource] Access mode: <https://www.unesco.org/en/legal-affairs/declaration-guiding-principles-use-satellite-broadcasting-free-flow-information-spread-education-and> (accessed 09/21/2024).
- [4] Democracy and the role of impartial media. [Electronic resource] Access mode: <https://www.wfd.org/commentary/democracy-and-role-impartial-media> (accessed 09/21/2024).

- [5] The role of the media in democracy. [Electronic resource] Access mode: <https://legaldesire.com/role-of-media-in-democracy/> (accessed 09/21/2024).
- [6] The role of mass media in democratic countries: what is it and why does it matter? [Electronic resource] Access mode: <https://constitution-unit.com/2024/01/30/the-role-of-the-media-in-democracies-what-is-it-and-why-does-it-matter/> (accessed 09/21/2024).
- [7] Mont'Alverne C. et al. "Fair and balanced": What news audiences in four countries mean when they say they prefer impartial news //Journalism Studies. – 2023. – T.24. – №.9. – C.1131 - 1148.
- [8] Mills T., Mullan K., Fooks G. Impartiality on platforms: the politics of BBC journalists' twitter networks //Journalism Studies. – 2021. – T.22. – №.1. – C.22 - 41.