Serum Cystatin C Level as an Early Biomarker for Detecting Diabetic Nephropathy in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Meta-Analysis Study

Samia Saeed Alawad¹, Ibrahim Abdulrahim Ali², Mohammed Abd Rhaman Adris Abdallah³

¹Faculty of Health Sciences. AL-Rayan colleges-Madinal Al – Monawrah Email: *ss.ahmed[at]amc.edu.sa*

²Associate Professor of Physiology, MBBS-MSc. MHPE. PHD, Faculty of Medicine-The National Ribat University. Email: *hemamedicine[at]gmail.com*

³Assistant Professor of Biochemstry, Bsc, Msc, PhD, Faculty of Medicine, university of Albutana Email: *midris71[at]albutana.eu.sa*

Abstract: There are many research addressed the importance of biomarkers for early prediction of diseases thus increasing the chance for treatment. This meta-analysis study aims to evaluate serum Cystatin C as early biomarker for predicting diabetic nephropathy in patients with type 2 diabetes. <u>Methods</u>: Searching on five database studies which carried out from 2019-2023. Ten studies included were analyzed using "meta", "metasens" and "diagmeta" packages. R version 4.2.2. We calculated values for serum cystatin C in DM and DM with nephropathy, cut-off value, specificity, sensitivity, the area under the curve (AUC) and Receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC). The Results showed level of cystatin C was significantly higher in DM with nephropathy group [MD = 1.22, 95% CI (-2.22,-0.23), p-value = 0.02]. The pooled data was heterogeneous ($I^2 = 98\%$, p-value < 0.01and pooled sensitivity 0.73 and specificity 0.73. The pooled DOR of cystatin C was [69.9, 95% CI (4.68, 10.26). optimal cutoff point was [0.6932, 95% CI (0.2050; 0.9519). The pooled DOR of cystatin C was [69.9, 95% CI (4.68, 10.26). Conclusion: This study demonstrated that cystatin C is a potential early biomarker for predicting diabetic nephropathyin type 2 diabetic patients.

Keywords: Cystatin C, Diabetic nephropathy, meta-analysis, biomarkers.

1. Introduction

Type 2 diabetes mellitus is characterized by hyperglycemia, which results from abnormal secretion, function, of insulin or both. (1). Long-term diabetes has been connected to microvascular issues such as retinopathy, neuropathy, and nephropathy. (2). Diabetic nephropathy affects 20% to 40% of patients with diabetes mellitus (DM), both types 1 and 2. If left untreated, this condition can progress to end-stage renal disease (ESRD). (3).

Diabetic nephropathy is defined as the elevation of albuminuria (>300 mg/24 hours or 200 mcg/min) in patients with diabetes mellitus, who typically also have retinopathy, high blood pressure, and declining glomerular function. (4). This condition occurs when there is no clinical or laboratory evidence of other kidney or renal tract disease. Thus, mortality from DM nephropathy can be reduced with early identification (5). Currently, assessing GFR, serum creatinine, and creatinine clearance are additional steps in the screening procedure for diabetic nephropathy, along with monitoring the patient for the start of microalbuminuria (6). Albuminuria has represents glomerular injury and increased glomerular permeability to macromolecules. It has been one of the biomarkers used to screen renal function, but it might not be apparent in the beginning. (3). The albumin creatinine ratio is a useful diagnostic test for diabetic nephropathy; however, when used in conjunction with other variables including menstruation, UTIs, and high activity, it may yield false-positive results. (7). Serum creatinine often used test for renal function; but its levels vary with age, sex, muscle mass, medication, and level of hydration, however, does not change until approximately 50% of renal function is lost. (8). Biomarkers are indicators, such as proteins, lipids and cells that may be detected by urine and blood examination. (9). Diabetic patients have the potential to can increase their lifespan if an early biomarker allows for earlier identification and intervention, reduces the occurrence of diabetes, and decelerates the advancement of the condition (10), (5). Cystatin C is a 13 kDa cysteine protease inhibitor, a tiny protein that is freely filtered by the renal glomeruli. Cystatin C has been linked to eGFR decline and factors that indicate how type 2 DN may advance. (11).

2. Materials and Methods

Researching in PubMed, Google Scholar, EMBASE, Scopus and web of science the data were collected. The search words were Serum Cystatin C, diabetic nephropathy, Diabetes kidney disease, biomarker, early diagnosis and "type 2 diabetes mellitus.

The researchers tested the bias risk of included studies according to the Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies-2 (QUADAS-2).

Included studies: full text studies which involved serum cystatin C measured in diabetic patients and diabetic patients with nephropathy and published in the period from 2029 to 2023.

Exclusion criteria

Case reports, reviews, letters, conference abstracts, or animal studies, inadequate data to extract four-cell table data

and duplicate data are the excluded criteria. **Sample size**: 10 studies carried out from 2019-2023, were included in this study.

Data extraction

Data was independently extracted for measured values for serum cystatin C in DM and DM with nephropathy, cut-off value, specificity, sensitivity, and the Area under the curve (AUC) and Receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) were calculated.

Statistical analysis approach:

We extracted data in the form of mean and standard deviation to compare between serum level of cystatin C in diabetic patients and diabetic patients with nephropathy. All values of cystatin C transformed to mg/L. These data were pooled in mean difference and 95% confidence interval (CI). sensitivity and specificity were calculated using Rev Man calculator to calculate the pooled sensitivity, specificity,

diagnostic odds ratio (DOR) and optimal cutoff value that give best sensitivity and specificity. The optimum cutoff value was calculated using the common random intercept method (CI). All analysis was done using "meta", "metasens" and "diagmeta" packages. R version 4.2.2 (2022-10-31) and R Studio version 2022.07.2 (2009-2022) R Studio, Inc.) were used in the analysis.

3. Results

1) Serum level of Cystatin C in DM and DM with nephropathy

Table 1: showed that the level of cystatin C was significantly higher in DM with nephropathy group [MD = 1.22, 95% CI (-2.22,-0.23), p-value = 0.02]. The pooled data was heterogeneous ($I^2 = 98\%$, p-value < 0.01). As illustrated below.

		DM	DM Nephropathy					Mean Difference	Mean Difference				
Study	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Ci	I IV, Random, 95% CI				
Al-Hazmi et al. 2020	0.68	0.06	26	1,65	0.45	30	12.2%	-0.98 [-1.14; -0.82]					
Amelia et al. 2022	2.70	3.80	89	2.70	3.80	89	10.6%	0.00 [-1.12; 1.12]					
Dejenie et al. 2023	7.30	3.00	83	13.00	7.00	57	8.4%	-5.70 [-7.63; -3.77]					
Taha et al. 2023	0.52	0.20	108	0.78	0.64	85	12.2%	-0.26 [-0.40; -0.12]	1 1				
Taher et al. 2022	0.14	0.02	40	0.29	0.07	40	12.2%	-0.15 [-0.17; -0.13]					
Welhai Xu et al. 2019	0.89	0.23	28	1.51	0.60	25	12.2%	-0.62 [-0.87; -0.37]					
Yadav et al. 2021	0.98	0.26	60	2.43	0.59	60	12.2%	-1.45 [-1.61; -1.29]					
Yahya et al. 2023	8.00	2.10	63	11.30	10.60	98	7.8%	-3.30 [-5.46; -1.14]					
Zhang et al. 2019	0.74	0.23	144	1.28	0.83	49	12.2%	-0.54 [-0.78; -0.30]	•				
Total (95% CI)			641			533	100.0%	-1.22 [-2.22; -0.23]	-				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 2	2.1121;	Chi ² =	391.4	8, df = 8	B (P < 0	(01); I ²	= 98%						
Test for overall effect: 2	2 = -2.4	0 (P =	0.02)						-6 -4 -2 0 2 4 6				

Study	Mean Difference	MD	95%-CI	P-value	Tau2	Tau	12
Omitting Al-Hazmi et al. 2020		-1.30	[-2.49; -0.11]	0.03	2.6923	1.6408	98%
Omitting Amelia et al. 2022		-1.39	[-2.50; -0.28]	0.01	2.3786	1.5423	98%
Omitting Dejenie et al. 2023		-0.69	[-1.08; -0.30]	< 0.01	0.2564	0.5064	98%
Omitting Taha et al. 2023	<u> </u>	-1.38	[-2.53; -0.24]	0.02	2.4918	1.5785	98%
Omitting Taher et al. 2022		-1.40	[-2.53; -0.26]	0.02	2.4362	1.5608	96%
Omitting Weihai Xu et al. 2019			[-2.52; -0.17]		2.6278	1.6210	98%
Omitting Yadav et al. 2021		-1.23	[-2.42; -0.05]	0.04	2.6669	1.6331	96%
Omitting Yahya et al. 2023		-1.03	[-2.01; -0.06]	0.04	1.8581	1.3631	98%
Omitting Zhang et al. 2019		-1.35	[-2.52; -0.18]	0.02	2.6043	1.6138	98%
Random effects model		-1.22	[-2.22; -0.23]	0.02	2.1121	1.4533	98%
	-2 -1 0 1 2	2					

2) Sensitivity and specificity of serum Cystatin C Level

The pooled sensitivity of cystatin C level was [73%, 95% CI (64%, 80%)]. The pooled analysis was heterogeneous ($I^2 = 79\%$, p-value < 0.01). As illustrated below.

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064 SJIF (2022): 7.942

Study		Proportion 95%-CI
Al-Hazmi et al. 2020		0.60 [0.47; 0.72]
Amelia et al. 2022		0.84 [0.69; 0.93]
Dejenie et al. 2023		0.82 [0.70; 0.91]
Taha et al. 2023		0.75 [0.65; 0.84]
Taher et al. 2022		0.48 [0.34; 0.62]
Weihai Xu et al. 2019		0.73 [0.50; 0.89]
Yadav et al. 2021		0.72 [0.59; 0.83]
Yahya et al. 2023		0.65 [0.55; 0.75]
Zhang et al. 2019		0.85 [0.78; 0.90]
Random effects model Heterogeneity: l^2 = 79%, τ^2 = 0.2533, p < 0.01		0.73 [0.64; 0.80]
	0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9	
	Sensitivity	
Study	Pr	oportion 95%-Cl
Al-Hazmi et al. 2020		0.92 [0.75; 0.99]
Amelia et al. 2022		0.89 [0.75; 0.97]
Dejenie et al. 2023		0.63 [0.51; 0.73]
Taha et al. 2023	x	0.54 [0.44; 0.63]
Taher et al. 2022		0.86 [0.76; 0.94]
Weihai Xu et al. 2019		0.56 [0.35; 0.76]

The pooled specificity of cystatin C level was [73%, 95% CI (62%, 82%)]. The pooled analysis was heterogeneous ($I^2 = 79\%$, p-value < 0.01).

Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 79\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.4351$, p < 0.01

Yadav et al. 2021

Yahya et al. 2023

Zhang et al. 2019

Random effects model

3) Diagnostic odds ratio (DOR) of cystatin C level

The pooled DOR of cystatin C was [69.9, 95% CI (4.68, 10.26)]. The pooled analysis was heterogeneous ($I^2 = 52\%$, p-value = 0.03) as illustrated below.

0.65 [0.52; 0.77]

0.78 [0.66; 0.87]

0.62 [0.54; 0.70]

0.73 [0.62; 0.82]

Study	Odds Ratio			OR	95%-CI		Weight
Al-Hazmi et al. 2020			- 1	8.00	[3.89;	83.31]	5.2%
Amelia et al. 2022			- 4	2.43	[11.38; 1	58.22]	6.5%
Dejenie et al. 2023				7.88	[3.49;	17.80]	12.0%
Taha et al. 2023			:	3.54	[1.90;	6.58]	15.4%
Taher et al. 2022			1	5.88	[2.43;	14.22]	11.0%
Weihai Xu et al. 2019	-			3.39	[1.00;	11.57]	7.3%
Yadav et al. 2021				4.70	[2.17;	10.17]	12.7%
Yahya et al. 2023				6.59	[3.19;	13.60]	13.5%
Zhang et al. 2019		-		9.17	[5.21;	16.14]	16.4%
Random effects model		\$		6.93	[4.68;	10.26]	100.09
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 52\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.1601$, $p = 0.03$					- /	-	
0.01	0.1 1 Diagnos	10 stic OR	100				

0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 Specificity

4) The optimum cutoff that give the best sensitivity and specificity:

The SROC summary receiver operating characteristic curve showed that the optimal cutoff point that gives the best sensitivity and specificity was 7.204. Sensitivity and specificity at optimal cutoff point was [0.6932, 95% CI

(0.2050; 0.9519)], [0.6932, 95% CI (0.1741; 0.9604)]; respectively. The Area under the curve (AUC) was 0.7498. As illustrated below.



4. Discussion

Assessment of kidney function is essential in diabetics because decreases in kidney function result in reduce in glomerular filtration rate and a proportionate increase in microalbuminuria in such patients. Many assessment methods are considered useful, but it insensitive and give negative results, till the Glomerular filtration rate is moderately decreased (12).

In this meta-analysis ten studies carried out from 2019-2023 were included ((13), (14), (15), (4), (16), (17), (18), (19), (7). to evaluate value of serum Cystatin C as an early biomarker for detecting of chronic kidney disease in diabetic patients.

The results of the study revealed a significant increase in the level of cystatin C among patients with diabetic nephropathy compared to those without nephropathy, this similar to many studies. (20), (21), (22), (23). The mean difference (MD) in cystatin C levels between the DM with nephropathy group and the control group was 1.22 (95% CI: -2.22, -0.23), with a p-value of 0.02. According to the findings serum cystatin C can predict diabetic nephropathy with a pooled sensitivity 0.73 and pooled specificity.0.73 and (p-value = 0.03). The summary receiver operating characteristic curve (SROC) is considered as general assessment of value of the diagnostic procedure. the Area under the curve (AUC) was calculated to be 0.7498.

The pooled diagnostic odd ratio (DOR) of cystatin C was [69.9, 95% CI (4.68, 10.26)]. The pooled analysis was heterogeneous ($I^2 = 52\%$, p-value = 0.03). The study explain serum Cystatin C may used as possible indicator for diabetic nephropathy among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and its level rise as the condition worsened and prolonged.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, this meta-analysis study demonstrated that cystatin C is a potential early biomarker for predicting diabetic nephropathy. Further research is needed to validate these findings and establish the clinical utility of cystatin C as a prognostic or diagnostic tool in diabetic nephropathy.

References

- [1] Arceo ES, Dizon GA, Tiongco REG. Serum cystatin C as an early marker of nephropathy among type 2 diabetics: A meta-analysis. Diabetes Metab Syndr Clin Res Rev. 2019; 13 (6).
- [2] Yarkova NA, Borovkov NN, Zanozina O V., Nosov VP. Cystatin C in the diagnosis of chronic kidney disease in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Sovrem Tehnol v Med.2013; 5 (4).
- [3] Zhang D, Ye S, Pan T. The role of serum and urinary biomarkers in the diagnosis of early diabetic nephropathy in patients with type 2 diabetes. PeerJ.2019; 2019 (6): 1–14.
- [4] Chen L, Zhu Z, Ye S, Zheng M. The Serum Uric Acid to Serum Creatinine Ratio is an Independent Risk Factor for Diabetic Kidney Disease. Diabetes, Metab Syndr Obes.2022; 15.
- [5] Rao X, Wan M, Qiu C, Jiang C. Role of cystatin C in renal damage and the optimum cut-off point of renal damage among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Exp Ther Med.2014; 8 (3).
- [6] Elsayed MS, El Badawy A, Ahmed A, Omar R, Mohamed A. Serum cystatin C as an indicator for early detection of diabetic nephropathy in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Metab Syndr Clin Res Rev.2019 Jan 1; 13 (1): 374–81.
- [7] I. S, A. M, R. D, L. R. The value of serum cystatin C versus creatinine as a biomarker for diabetic nephropathy in young type 1 diabetes patients. Pediatr Diabetes.2019; 20 (Supplement 28).
- [8] Harkin C, Cobice D, Brockbank S, Bolton S, Johnston F, Strzelecka A, et al. Biomarkers for Detecting Kidney Dysfunction in Type-2 Diabetics and Diabetic Nephropathy Subjects: A Case-Control Study to Identify Potential Biomarkers of DN to Stratify Risk of Progression in T2D Patients. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne).2022; 13.
- [9] Coll E, Botey A, Alvarez L, Poch E, Quintó L, Saurina A, et al. Serum cystatin C as a new marker for noninvasive estimation of glomerular filtration rate and as a marker for early renal impairment. Am J Kidney Dis.2000 Jul 1; 36 (1): 29–34.
- [10] Wang T, Wang Q, Wang Z, Xiao Z, Liu L. Diagnostic Value of the Combined Measurement of Serum Hcy, Serum Cys C, and Urinary Microalbumin in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus with Early Complicating Diabetic Nephropathy. ISRN Endocrinol.2013; 2013.
- [11] Shetty S, D'Sa J, Rai T, Rai S, Bhandary R. Serum Cystatin C in Chronic Kidney Disease: A Case-Control Study. Avicenna J Med Biochem.2021; 9 (2).
- [12] Serum Cystatin C in Type 2 Diabetic Patients with Early Renal Damage. Myanmar Heal Sci Res J.2019; 31 (2).
- [13] Yahya AA, Kadhim DJ, Abdalhadi NA. Kidney injury molecule-1 and cystatin C as early biomarkers for renal dysfunction in Iraqi type 2 diabetes mellitus patients. J Adv Biotechnol Exp Ther.2023; 6 (3).
- [14] Dejenie TA, Abebe EC, Mengstie MA, Seid MA, Gebeyehu NA, Adella GA, et al. Dyslipidemia and serum cystatin C levels as biomarker of diabetic nephropathy in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2023; 14.

- [15] Taha MM, Mahdy-Abdallah H, Shahy EM, Helmy MA, ElLaithy LS. Diagnostic efficacy of cystatin-c in association with different ACE genes predicting renal insufficiency in T2DM. Sci Rep.2023; 13 (1).
- [16] Taher AY, Alsodani MH, El-Yassin HD. Assessment of correlation between serum β TP and cystatin C as biomarkers for the detection of early nephropathy in Iraqi patients with type 2 diabetes. Int J Health Sci (Qassim).2022;
- [17] Amelia R, Sari DK, Muzasti RA, Wijaya H. Correlation of Cystatin-c with Albumin Creatinine Ratio for the Diagnosis of Diabetic Nephropathy in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes: A Cross-sectional Study in Medan Indonesia. Open Access Maced J Med Sci.2022; 10 (T7).
- [18] Yadav B, K. N S, A R, C. M. Assessment of Cystatin C and Microalbumin as Biomarkers for Nephropathy in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. J Evol Med Dent Sci.2021; 10 (25).
- [19] Al-Hazmi SF, Gad HGM, Alamoudi AA, Eldakhakhny BM, Binmahfooz SK, Alhozali AM. Evaluation of early biomarkers of renal dysfunction in diabetic patients. Saudi Med J.2020; 41 (7).
- [20] Ogawa Y, Goto T, Tamasawa N, Matsui J, Tando Y, Sugimoto K, et al. Serum cystatin C in diabetic patients. Not only an indicator for renal dysfunction in patients with overt nephropathy but also a predictor for cardiovascular events in patients without nephropathy. Diabetes Res Clin Pract.2008; 79 (2).
- [21] Wang N, Lu Z, Zhang W, Bai Y, Pei D, Li L. Serum Cystatin C Trajectory Is a Marker Associated With Diabetic Kidney Disease. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne).2022; 13.
- [22] D S, N P, BR M, IA S. A study of serum cystatin C as a marker of diabetic nephropathy among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Med Sci Res.2022; 10 (4).
- [23] Journal SRR, Singh D. a study of serum cystatin c as a marker of diabetic nephropathy among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis OPen acceSS. J Med Sci Res [Internet].2022; 10 (4): 237–42. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.17727/JMSR.2022/10-44, http://dx.doi.org/10.17727/JMSR.2022/10-44