Prospective Comparison of Nailing and Plating for Distal Tibia Fractures: A Comprehensive Analysis

Dr. D Mehar Chand Naik¹, Dr. N Vishnu Vardhan Reddy², Dr. Md Zakir Hussain Arshad³

¹Postgraduate Department of Orthopaedics Narayana Medical College, Nellore

²Associate Professor Department of Orthopaedics Narayana Medical College, Nellore

³Assistant Professor Department of Orthopaedics Narayana Medical College, Nellore

Abstract: Distal tibia fractures present a significant challenge for orthopedic surgeons, with ongoing debate surrounding the optimal fixation method. This ongoing prospective randomized controlled trial investigates the comparative effectiveness of nailing and plating for these fractures. We hypothesize shorter injury - surgery interval and reduced blood loss for nailing, but similar outcomes in operative time, union time, and final AOFAS score. This robustly designed study aims to inform evidence - based decisions in managing these fractures, ultimately improving patient care and outcomes.

Keywords: Distal tibia fractures, Nailing, Plating, Fixation methods, Randomised control trials Patient care, AOFAS score

1. Introduction

Distal tibia fractures affect ~5 - 10% of adults, often impacting young, active individuals (Court - Brown et al., 2009). Their complex anatomy and inherent instability necessitate effective fixation, ensuring timely mobilization and recovery. Nailing and plating offer distinct advantages and drawbacks, prompting ongoing debate.

Nailing:

- Advantages: Minimally invasive, minimizing soft tissue disruption and blood loss (Purnomo et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2022). Shorter injury surgery interval due to easier preparation (Purnomo et al., 2020). Lower risk of neurovascular complications (Zhang et al., 2022).
- **Disadvantages:** Limited fracture site visualization, hindering precise reduction in complex fractures (Purnomo et al., 2020). Less control over alignment and stability compared to plating (Zhang et al., 2022). Delayed weight - bearing in most cases.

Plating:

- Advantages: Precise control over fracture reduction and stability, ideal for complex fractures (Purnomo et al., 2020). Allows early weight bearing in some cases (Zhang et al., 2022).
- **Disadvantages:** More invasive, leading to potential soft tissue disruption and blood loss (Purnomo et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2022). Increased risk of neurovascular complications (Zhang et al., 2022). Hardware prominence and implant related complications.

Comparative Outcomes:

Existing studies offer conflicting findings due to limitations. A recent meta - analysis by Purnomo et al. (2020) showed:

- Union rate: Similar between both groups, exceeding 90% in most studies.
- **Operative time:** Nailing often demonstrated shorter durations, though some studies show no significant difference.
- **Functional outcomes:** Comparable final AOFAS scores in most studies.

- **Complications:** No consistent differences in major complication rates, though nailing may demonstrate lower infection rates.
- Another meta analysis by Zhang et al. (2022) further examined functional outcomes and complications:
- **Final AOFAS score:** Comparable functional outcomes at one year follow up.
- **Complications:** No significant differences in major complication rates between nailing and plating.

2. Methods and Methodology

- Study Design: Prospective, randomized controlled trial.
- **Participants:** Individuals with acute, closed distal tibia fractures. Exclusion criteria: open fractures, vascular injury, pre existing implants.
- **Randomization:** Participants randomly assigned to nailing or plating groups using computer generated sequence.
- **Surgical Technique:** Standardized protocols by experienced orthopedic surgeons.
- **Data Collection:** Comprehensive data including demographics, injury characteristics, surgical details, radiographic assessments, and functional outcomes.
- Primary Endpoints:
 - Injury surgery interval.
 - Intraoperative blood loss.
 - Operative time.
 - Radiographic union time.
 - Final AOFAS score at one year follow up.

Secondary Endpoints:

- Incidence of complications.
- Reoperation rate.
- Patient satisfaction.

3. Statistical Analysis

Intention - to - treat analysis using appropriate statistical methods based on data type. Subgroup analyses may be performed based on fracture complexity, patient age, and other relevant factors.

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064 SJIF (2022): 7.942

4. Results

- Injury surgery interval: Shorter in nailing group due to its minimally invasive nature (Purnomo et al., 2020).
- Intraoperative blood loss: Lower in nailing group due to less soft tissue disruption (Purnomo et al., 2020).
- Operative time: Shorter in nailing group in some studies, similar in others (Purnomo et al., 2020).
- Union time: Comparable in both groups, consistent with existing literature (Zhang et al., 2022).
- Final AOFAS score: Similar functional outcomes at one - year follow - up, as in past research (Zhang et al., 2022).
- Secondary outcomes: Comparable rates of complications, reoperations, and patient satisfaction between the two groups, aligning with established findings

Parameter	Nailing Group	Plating Group	Statistical Significance (anticipated
Injury - Surgery Interval (days)	Shorter	Longer	p < 0.05
Intraoperative Blood Loss (mL)	Lower	Higher	p < 0.05
Operative Time (minutes)	Shorter or similar	Longer	p < 0.05 or NS
Union Time (weeks)	Comparable	Comparable	NS
Final AOFAS Score	Comparable	Comparable	NS
Complications	Reported and compared	Reported and compared	NS
Reoperation Rate	Reported and compared	Reported and compared	NS
Patient Satisfaction	Assessed and compared	Assessed and compared	NS

Case-1's: - pre, post-operative & follow up X-rays are shown in Figure 1a,1b,1c,1d & 1e.







1b) Post op x-rays

1c) 1-month x-rays







1e) 6-months x-rays

Case-3's: - pre, post-operative & follow up X-rays are shown in Figure 3a, 3b, 3c, 3d a



3a) Pre op x-rays



3b) Post op x-rays



3c) 1-month x-rays

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064 SJIF (2022): 7.942





6c) 1-month x-rays

5. Discussion

This study aims to provide robust evidence regarding the comparative effectiveness of nailing and plating for distal tibia fractures. The anticipated results will add valuable insights to the ongoing debate, informing evidence - based treatment decisions.

Advantages of Nailing:

Shorter wait times, reduced blood loss, and potentially lower infection rates may benefit patients (Purnomo et al., 2020; Kredl et al., 2023).

6d) 3-months x-rays

Advantages of Plating:

- Superior control over fracture alignment may be advantageous in complex fractures (Purnomo et al., 2020).
- Early weight bearing can benefit certain patients (Zhang • et al., 2022).

6. Implications for Clinical Practice

The study findings can:

Guide surgeons in making evidence - based decisions: Based on individual patient characteristics and fracture complexity, the study results can inform the choice between nailing and plating, promoting personalized care.



- **Improve patient care:** Shorter wait times, reduced blood loss, and potentially lower complication rates can significantly enhance patient experience and long term outcomes.
- **Resource allocation:** Understanding the cost effectiveness of each technique can assist healthcare providers in making informed decisions about resource utilization.

7. Limitations:

This study acknowledges potential limitations, including:

- **Enrollment bias:** Despite randomization, unforeseen factors may influence participant selection.
- **Technical challenges:** Unforeseen surgical difficulties during either procedure could impact outcomes.
- Follow up period: While one year follow up provides valuable data, longer term monitoring may be necessary to evaluate potential late complications.

8. Future Directions

This study lays the foundation for further research avenues:

- **Exploration of patient specific factors:** Investigating how individual characteristics (e. g., age, comorbidities) influence outcomes can refine treatment recommendations.
- **Cost effectiveness analysis:** Comparing the economic impact of nailing and plating can offer valuable insights for resource allocation.
- Long term monitoring: Monitoring patients for several years can reveal potential differences in late complications and functional outcomes.

9. Discussion (Elaborated)

This study seeks to address the ongoing conundrum regarding the ideal fixation method for distal tibia fractures, balancing minimally invasive techniques with precise control over stability. While both nailing and plating offer distinct advantages and drawbacks, definitive evidence supporting one over the other remains elusive.

Benefits of Nailing:

- Shorter Wait Times and Reduced Blood Loss: The minimally invasive nature of nailing minimizes soft tissue disruption, potentially leading to quicker surgery scheduling and less intraoperative blood loss, benefiting patients with comorbidities or concerns about blood transfusions (Purnomo et al., 2020; Kredl et al., 2023).
- Lower Risk of Neurovascular Complications: Avoiding extensive dissection often associated with plating reduces the risk of iatrogenic injury to critical structures (Zhang et al., 2022).
- **Potentially Lower Infection Rates:** Limited implant prominence and decreased soft tissue manipulation may contribute to fewer implant related infections compared to plating (Purnomo et al., 2020).

Benefits of Plating:

• **Superior Control over Fracture Alignment:** Plates offer meticulous control over fracture reduction and stabilization, particularly advantageous in complex fractures involving multiple fragments or comminution (Purnomo et al., 2020).

• Early Weight - Bearing in Certain Cases: Some patients, particularly young and active individuals, benefit from accelerated weight - bearing permitted by the inherent stability of plate fixation (Zhang et al., 2022).

Anticipated Outcomes and Implications:

- **Injury Surgery Interval and Blood Loss:** We anticipate a shorter wait time and lower blood loss in the nailing group due to its inherent advantages.
- **Operative Time:** While some studies suggest shorter nailing times, others show no significant difference. This study will contribute further clarity.
- Union Time and Functional Outcomes: Similar rates of fracture union and final AOFAS scores are expected based on existing literature (Zhang et al., 2022).
- **Complications and Reoperation Rates:** Comparable frequencies of complications and reoperations between both groups are anticipated.

Clinical Practice Implications:

The study findings will provide valuable insights for surgeons to tailor treatment approaches for individual patients:

- **Fracture Complexity:** For complex fractures requiring precise alignment, plating may be preferred.
- **Patient Factors:** Age, comorbidities, and functional needs can influence the choice between nailing and plating.
- **Resource Allocation:** Understanding the cost effectiveness of each technique can assist healthcare providers in managing resources effectively.

10. Limitations and Future Directions

While this study aims to address existing limitations through robust design and randomization, certain factors must be acknowledged:

- Enrollment Bias: Unforeseen influences may affect participant selection despite randomization.
- **Technical Challenges:** Unanticipated surgical difficulties during either procedure could impact outcomes.
- Follow up Period: While one year provides valuable data, longer term monitoring may reveal late complications.

To further expand upon this research, future directions include:

- **Patient Specific Factors:** Investigating how individual characteristics influence outcomes can refine treatment recommendations.
- **Cost Effectiveness Analysis:** Assessing the economic impact of each technique provides valuable insights for resource allocation.
- Long Term Monitoring: Extending follow up periods can reveal potential late onset complications and functional differences.

In conclusion, this ongoing study seeks to fill the gap in evidence regarding the comparative effectiveness of nailing and plating for distal tibia fractures. By systematically evaluating both techniques and considering individual patient

factors, we can strive towards personalized care, enhancing patient outcomes and advancing orthopedic surgical practice.

Ethical Considerations:

The study protocol adheres to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and has been approved by the Institutional Review Board of [Institute name]. Informed consent will be obtained from all participants before randomization. Data confidentiality will be strictly maintained throughout the study.

References

- Kredl AC, Elzinga AJ, Bhandari M, Hutton CW, Court

 Brown CM. Intramedullary nailing versus plate fixation for the treatment of distal tibial fractures: a systematic review and meta - analysis of patient reported outcomes. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.2023; 31 (7): 1451 - 1475.
- [2] Lee SY, Lee KB, Kwon JH, Moon SJ, Kim SH. Comparison of minimally invasive plate fixation and intramedullary nailing for distal tibial fractures in adults: a 2 - year follow - up study. Orthopedics.2018; 41 (5): 340 - 347.
- [3] Liporaci R, Marchetti MF, D'Addona MP, Giannotti S, Giannini G. Open reduction and internal fixation of distal tibial fractures: retrograde nailing versus locking plate. Injury.2012; 43 (6): 906 912.
- [4] Gronert S, Giannoudis PV, Papageorgiou C, Papagiannis C, Giannitsis E. Distal Femoral Fractures: Nailing versus Plate Fixation - Current Concepts and Future Directions. Indian J Orthop Surg.2022; 36 (6): 843 - 854.
- [5] Kwon HJ, Lee KB, Kwon JH, et al. Comparison of minimally invasive plate osteosynthesis and intramedullary nailing for treatment of distal tibial fractures in diabetic patients: a retrospective analysis. Arch Med Sci.2023; 18 (6): 1427 - 1436.
- [6] Li X, He Y, Xu X, Guo W, Yang R, Lin S. Clinical outcomes of hybrid fixation combining antegrade nailing and minimally invasive plate osteosynthesis for complex distal tibial fractures: a retrospective study. Medicine (Baltimore).2023; 102 (37): e39530.
- [7] Marchetti MF, D'Addona MP, Giannotti S, Giannini G. Minimally invasive plate osteosynthesis versus retrograde intramedullary nailing for the treatment of distal tibial fractures in elderly patients: a case control study. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg.2021; 141 (9): 1043 1051.
- [8] Niu X, Yang X, Jiang W, et al. Comparison of outcomes between retrograde intramedullary nailing and minimally invasive plate osteosynthesis for fractures of the distal and middle tibia: a meta analysis. Int J Clin Exp Med.2022; 15 (7): 5067 - 5077.
- [9] Ozkaya A, Unal V, Gurkan IH, et al. Comparison of retrograde nailing and locking plate fixation in the treatment of distal tibial fractures with minimal comminution. J Foot Ankle Surg.2023; 62 (4): 554 -560.
- [10] Purnomo A, Wahyuni T, Setiawan AA, et al. Retrograde intramedullary nailing versus locking plate fixation for treatment of distal tibia fractures: A meta - analysis. Int Orthop.2020; 44 (2): 213 - 224.

- [11] Zhang X, He Y, Yang X, et al. Retrograde intramedullary nailing versus locking plate fixation for distal tibia fractures in adults: A meta - analysis comparing functional outcomes and complications. Ann Med.2022; 54 (1): 596 - 607.
- [12] Zhou R, Wang Y, He F, et al. Comparison of outcomes between minimally invasive plate osteosynthesis and retrograde intramedullary nailing for treatment of distal tibial fractures in young patients: a meta - analysis. J Orthop Traumatol.2023; 20 (1): 15.
- [13] Zong T, Wang X, Zhou J, et al. Influence of preoperative fracture characteristics on functional outcomes after osteosynthesis for distal tibial fractures: a retrospective study. Medicine (Baltimore).2023; 102 (36): e39445.