International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064

SJIF (2022): 7.942

Iatrogenic Ulnar Nerve Injury After Surgical Fixation for Supracondylar Humerus Fracture in Children

Dr Kishore V¹, Dr Varshama Tharun², Dr Kodali Manvitha³

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Orthopaedics, Konaseema Institute of Medical Sciences (KIMS) Amalapuram, 533201

²Post Graduate, Department of Orthopaedics, Konaseema Institute of Medical Sciences (KIMS) Amalapuram, 533201

³Post Graduate, Department of Orthopaedics, Konaseema Institute of Medical Sciences (KIMS) Amalapuram, 533201

Abstract: This case report examines the occurrence and management of iatrogenic ulnar nerve injury following surgical fixation of a supracondylar humerus fracture in a pediatric patient. Despite the rarity of such injuries, their incidence increases with crossed K - wire fixation. The report details a 7 - year - old boy who developed ulnar nerve dysfunction, presenting with claw hand and sensory deficits, one month post - surgery. Initial conservative treatment with K - wire removal failed, leading to surgical exploration which revealed nerve entrapment in fibrous tissue. Post - surgical outcomes showed significant improvement in sensory and motor functions. The discussion emphasizes the importance of minimizing medial pinning, using a mini - open approach when necessary, and the necessity for prompt identification and treatment of nerve injuries to prevent permanent damage.

Keywords: ulnar nerve injury, supracondylar humerus fracture, K - wire fixation, pediatric orthopedics, nerve entrapment

1. Introduction

Ulnar nerve is rarely injured as a result of supracondylar humerus fractures, but it is the most commonly injured nerve after surgical fixation of supracondylar fractures of humerus in children with an incidence of 2% - $6\%^{1,2}$. There is a debate regarding lateral only versus crossed wire fixation as crossed wire fixation carries more risk of ulnar nerve injury³. This can be decreased to 0.4% - 1.8% with mini - open approach while placing medial wires^{4,5}.

It is thought that the process of traction and reduction of fracture along with the swelling can cause transient neuropraxia of ulnar nerve which can resolve with time. But sometimes these iatrogenic ulnar nerve injuries also caused by direct trauma from K - wire insertion, compression of k wires causing cubital tunnel syndrome, nerve entrapment between fracture ends or rarely thermal injury.

There are no clear guidelines regarding the approach to treat these iatrogenic injuries. Some authors prefer to wait for 2 - 3 months for spontaneous recovery^{7, 8}, while others prefer

immediate exploration of nerve^{2, 9}. We report a case of iatrogenic ulnar nerve injury after surgical fixation of supracondylar humerus with crossed k - wires.

2. Case Report

A 7 years old boy sustained injury to left elbow while playing brought to emergency room and X - ray showed displaced distal humerus fracture (Gartland type 3) without any neurovascular deficits. Pt was operated with in 6 hrs of injury on emergency and fracture fixation was done with percutaneous k - wires in crossed manner. Immediate post op pt was alright and after discharge pt skipped the reviews and reported to OPD after 1 month with claw hand. Pt was not able to completely extend his 4th and 5th fingers at PIP joint, 1st dorsal interosseous wasting is seen and had decreased sensations on the little finger.

K - wires were removed immediately and pt reviewed after 2 weeks and the condition didn't improve. A nerve conduction study was done and the impression was Left ulnar nerve severe sensory motor axonal neuropathy.

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064

SJIF (2022): 7.942





Figure 1: a) Pre - Operative x - ray showing Gartlant Type 3 supracondylar humerus fracture b) Post - Operative x - ray showing fracture fixation with crossed k - wires.

Pt was planned for nerve exploration and intraoperatively it was observed that the nerve continuity is maintained but it is entrapped in the fibrous tissue formed near the medial k - wire

insertion site. Fibrous adhesions were removed and nerve is freed



Figure 2: a) Intra operative picture showing Ulnar nerve trapped in fibrous tissue. b) Ulnar nerve is freed from adhesions

After 1 month follow up there is improvement in sensory sensations of little finger and extension of 4^{th} and 5^{th} fingers. After 2 month follow up patient showed full recovery and regained normal range of movement.



Figure 3: a) pt having ulnar claw hand 1 - month post k - wire fixation. b) 6 - months follow - up picture showing complete recovery

Volume 13 Issue 5, May 2024
Fully Refereed | Open Access | Double Blind Peer Reviewed Journal
www.ijsr.net

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064 SJIF (2022): 7.942

3. Discussion

Supracondylar humerus fractures are most common orthopaedic injuries in children. Treatment option varies from above elbow cast to surgical management by k - wire fixation. In displaced fractures closed reduction and pinning is preferred. Different techniques were reported but crossed wire fixation and post op immobilization is the usual option for many surgeons 10 .

Ulnar nerve can get injured or stretched during traction or reduction. Implant also add an additional risk to the nerve by direct injury or by compression¹¹.

Recent literature suggests that the incidence of ulnar nerve injury in case of crossed k - wires can be decreased greatly with mini - open procedure while placing medial wire as it gives direct visualisation of the nerve and exact site of k - wire insertion 12 .

If at all the nerve injury occurs, there is an ambiguity regarding the treatment approach. Regularly used practise are k - wire removal +casting, k - wire removal + wire repositioning, exploration + repositioning of wires, exploration + repositioning + cubital retinacular release 13, 14. Some authors say to wait and watch for spontaneous recovery. Some advice to go for early exploration as delayed exploration may lead to permanent damage and may result in permanent deformity.

4. Conclusion

From our experience and multiple authors recommendations we strongly believe these points.

- 1) Avoid medial pinning as much as possible, prefer divergent lateral pinning configuration and apply a cast if reduction is stable.
- If medial pinning is required (As in case of medial communition of fracture) prefer mini - open technique, avoid percutaneous pinning on medial condyle of humerus.
- Identify iatrogenic ulnar nerve injury as soon as possible after surgery. Removal of k - wires and close followup for nerve recovery and function is required
- 4) If identified late during followup, early decompress the nerve with or without repositioning is preferred.
- 5) Regular follow up of patient is required to monitor progress of nerve recovery.

References

- [1] Boyd DW, Aronson DD. Supracondylar fractures of the humerus: a prospective study of percutaneous pinning. J Pediatr Orthop 1992; 12: 789—94.
- [2] Brown IC, Zinar DM. Traumatic and iatrogenic neurological complications after supracondylar humerus fractures in children. J Pediatr Orthop 1995; 15: 440—3.
- [3] Brauer CA, Lee BM, Bae DS, et al. A systematic review of medial and lateral entry pinning versus lateral entry pinning for supracondylar fractures of the humerus. J Pediatr Orthop 2007; 27 (2): 181–186.

- [4] Al Habsi S, Al Hamimi S, Al Musawi H, et al. Does a medial mini incision decrease the risk of iatrogenic ulnar nerve injury in pediatric supracondylar humeral fractures treated with closed reduction and percutaneous pinning? A retrospective cohort study. Current Orthopaedic Practice 2021; 32: 326–332.
- [5] Rees AB, Schultz JD, Wollenman LC, et al. A miniopen approach to medial pinning in pediatric supracondylar humeral fractures may be safer than previously thought. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2022; 104: 33–40.
- [6] Wingfield JJ, Ho CH, Abzug JM, et al. (2015) Open reduction techniques for supracondylar humerus fractures in children. J Am Acad Orthop Surg 23: e72 e80. Culp RW, Osterman AL, Davidson RS, Skirven T, BoraW. Neural injuries associated with supracondylar fractures of the humerus in children. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1990; 72: 1211—4.
- [7] Flynn JC, Matthews JG, Benoit RL. Blind pinning of displaced supracondylar fractures of the humerus in children. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1974; 56A: 263—72.
- [8] Ikram MA. Ulnar nerve palsy: a complication following percutaneous fixation of supracondylar fractures of the humerus in children. Injury 1996; 27 (5): 303—5.
- [9] Babal J C, Mehlman C T, Klein G. Nerve injuries associated with pediatric supracondylar humeral fractures: a meta - analysis. J Pediatr Orthop 2010; 30 (3): 253 - 63.
- [10] Akinleye SD, Garofolo Gonzalez G, Culbertson MD, Choueka J: Iatrogenic injuries in percutaneous pinning techniques for fifth metacarpal neck fractures. Hand (N Y) 2019; 14: 386 - 392.
- [11] Green DW, Widmann RF, Frank JS, Gardner MJ. Low incidence of ulnar nerve injury with crossed pin placement for pediatric supracondylar humerus fracyures using a mini open technique. J Orthop Trauma 2005; 19: 158—63.
- [12] LYONS JP, ASHLEY E, HOFFER MM. Ulnar nerve palsies after percutaneous cross pinning of supracondylar fractures in children's elbows. J Pediatr Orthop 1998; 18: 43 45.
- [13] FLYNN JC, MATTHEWS JG, BENOIT RL. Blind pinning of displaced supracondylar fractures of the humerus in children. J Bone Joint Surg 1974; 56: 263 272.

Volume 13 Issue 5, May 2024
Fully Refereed | Open Access | Double Blind Peer Reviewed Journal
www.ijsr.net