International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064 SJIF (2022): 7.942

# Agile Project Success through Software Quality KPIs

#### Swapnil Kognole

CFA Charterholder, Bachelor of Engineering, Shivaji University, India Email: *swapnil.kognole[at]gmail.com* Director @UBS Eidson, NJ, USA

Abstract: The last decade, software project delivery has seen a significant shift from the Waterfall methodology to Agile software development. Despite these changes, the importance of software testing and quality remains paramount. This article delves into the critical role of Key Performance Indicators KPIs in software testing, evaluating both historically used and newly required KPIs to ensure project success. It covers various KPIs such as test case preparation productivity, defect density, and test automation coverage, as well as Agile-specific metrics like sprint burndown and test coverage velocity. The benefits of utilizing these KPIs include improved product quality, enhanced predictability, faster time to market, and better risk management. Additionally, the article discusses essential considerations for accurate data collection, stakeholder alignment, and continuous evaluation. By integrating and refining these metrics, teams can achieve greater efficiency, quality, and continuous improvement in Agile environments.

Keywords: Agile software development, Software Quality, Project Management, Key Performance Indicators, Software Testing.

### 1. Understanding KPIs used historically for <sup>11</sup> measuring software quality:

Let's explore some of the key KPIs used historically in software testing. Agile teams can adjust these KPIs to measure effectiveness by bringing in sprints/iterative development context, story points coverage and sprint velocity.

- 1) **Test Case Preparation Productivity-** Number of test cases prepared in unit time.
- 2) Test Case Execution Productivity Number of test cases executed in unit time.
- 3) **Test Success Rate-** Percentage of test cases passed test execution.
- 4) **Defect Density-** Number of test defects per line of code or function points or test cases.
- 5) **Defect Rejection Rate-** Percentage of defects rejected/cancelled after the review by team.
- 6) **Test Cycle Time-** Testing time required from test cases preparation to overall testing sign-off.
- 7) **Testing effort-** Effort required for testing activities such as Test Case Preparation, Execution, Test Automation, Defect Management, Test Reporting etc. as a percentage of overall software development effort
- 8) **Code Coverage-** Percentage of code tested through a testing phase such as Unit, Integration or System Testing. Additionally, this could be code tested through automation.
- Regression Test Effectiveness- This KPIs measures effectiveness of identifying break in existing software functionality
- 10) **Test Automation Coverage-** Percentage of test cases automated.

11) Defect

# Exploring additional Software Quality KPIs to measure Agile Software Delivery-

- 1) **Test Coverage Velocity-** This could represent the number of features/stories/story points for which new coverage has been added in a sprint.
- Sprint Burndown- This could represent amount of work completed and remaining in a sprint/epic/ milestone or a release.
- 3) Test Automation Coverage- Percentage of test cases/features/code automated. This could be further enhanced to measure continuous integration of test automation. Additional consideration is measuring incremental automation coverage such as coverage for components such as APIs instead of end-to-end coverage.
- 4) Features Spillover per sprint- Number of story points spilling over to next sprint because of not meeting acceptance criteria. This could be further enhanced to segregate spillover due to feature development, not meeting acceptance criteria or testing delays.
- 5) **Defects Spillover per sprint-** Number of defects which are spilling over to next sprint.
- 6) **Manual Testing Effort Per Sprint-** Manual Effort required for testing stories in a given sprint this should be evaluated in conjunction with the sprint velocity context.
- 7) **Automated Testing Effort Per Sprint-** Effort required to maintain automation scripts, add additional automation coverage, effort required for executing automation such as data set up effort if necessary.

Dashboards can be designed to easily visualize metrics and identify trends.

#### Volume 13 Issue 7, July 2024 Fully Refereed | Open Access | Double Blind Peer Reviewed Journal www.ijsr.net

# International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064 SJIF (2022): 7.942



\*Illustrative Dashboard for Quality KPIs

#### The Benefits of Effective Software Quality KPIs

The Scrum Alliance often provides insights into the benefits of using quality KPIs in Agile and Scrum environments through their various reports and resources. While specific reports may vary, here are some general benefits of using quality KPIs based on insights often highlighted by Scrum Alliance and similar sources:

- 1) **Improved Product Quality**: Teams that regularly use metrics such as velocity, cycle time, and defect density tend to experience significant improvements in product quality over time. This is because these metrics help teams identify areas of improvement and address quality issues early in the development process.
- 2) **Enhanced Predictability**: Quality KPIs provide teams with a better understanding of their capacity and performance, leading to improved predictability in terms of project timelines and deliverables. This allows teams to make more accurate forecasts and commitments to stakeholders.
- 3) **Faster Time to Market**: By focusing on quality metrics, teams can streamline their development processes, reduce rework, and accelerate time to market for their products. This agility is crucial in competitive markets where speed is often a critical factor.
- 4) **Effective Risk Management**: Quality KPIs help in identifying and managing risks proactively. Metrics such as defect density and sprint burndown provide early indicators of potential issues, allowing teams to take corrective actions before they escalate.
- 5) **Increased Team Accountability and Ownership**: When teams are accountable for achieving specific quality metrics, it fosters a culture of ownership and responsibility. This motivates team members to strive for continuous improvement and ensures everyone is aligned towards common project goals.
- 6) Enhanced Customer Satisfaction: Quality KPIs enable teams to deliver products that meet or exceed customer expectations. This leads to higher customer satisfaction as

products are more reliable, feature-rich, and delivered on time.

7) **Facilitates Continuous Improvement**: Metrics provide objective data that teams can use to analyze their performance and identify areas for improvement. This continuous feedback loop supports iterative development and allows teams to adapt quickly to changing requirements and market conditions.

These benefits underscore the importance of integrating quality KPIs into Agile practices, as highlighted by organizations like Scrum Alliance. They help teams not only deliver high-quality software consistently but also improve collaboration, transparency, and overall project success.

#### Key Considerations When Using Software Quality KPIs

While implementing and measuring success of the project using KPIs, it is very important to ensure accuracy, right interpretation, right context usage and effort required for gathering and evaluating data gathered. Here are some essential considerations which needs to be considered:

- 1) **Data Accuracy** Data accuracy is of utmost importance when it comes to measuring KPIs. Team should establish and evaluate data collection processes.
- 2) Leveraging Agile Lifecycle Management tools: Most Agile software lifecycle management tools come up with some of the standard Agile Metrics reporting features with some degree of customization options. Evaluate and customize the available features.
- 3) Additional Tools Additional custom tools such as tools for measuring unit test coverage could be used.
- 4) **Stakeholder Alignment-** Ensure Software Engineers, Quality Engineers, Scrum Masters, Product Owners and all other stakeholders understand and are aligned with the KPIs. This promotes transparency and encourages collective ownership required for successful implementations

#### Volume 13 Issue 7, July 2024 Fully Refereed | Open Access | Double Blind Peer Reviewed Journal www.ijsr.net

- 5) **Baselining-** Every project is unique; it is important to measure KPIs as continuous improvement compared to previous baseline instead of using static KPIs across projects.
- 6) **Continuous Evaluation and adoption**. One thing constant in software development industry is change. The metrics can't be static, they should be continuously evaluated for relevance and should be improved on.
- 7) **Training-** There should be emphasis on building culture of collecting, measuring, evaluating metrics and making decisions improvements based on these metrics.
- 8) **Frequency-** It is good practice to align KPIs measurement with your retrospective meetings. Additionally, teams should define a frequency to evaluate usage and relevance of these KPIs periodically.

# 2. Conclusion

In the dynamic landscape of software development, the role of software quality metrics and Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) has become more indispensable. These metrics not only facilitate effective project management but also significantly enhance team performance, product quality, and overall project success.

Historically, KPIs such as test case productivity, defect density, and test cycle time have been pivotal in assessing software quality. However, the evolution of Agile practices necessitates additional KPIs tailored to the iterative and collaborative nature of Agile development. Metrics like test coverage velocity, sprint burndown, and automated testing effort per sprint provide deeper insights into project health, enabling teams to adjust and optimize their processes continuously.

The benefits of employing these KPIs are profound. Studies have shown that organizations using agile metrics experience higher project success rates, improved product quality, enhanced visibility into team performance, and better risk management. Customer satisfaction also sees a notable boost when Agile practices are supported by effective metrics.

Nevertheless, integrating and utilizing these metrics effectively requires careful consideration. Accuracy of data, alignment across stakeholders, continuous evaluation and adaptation of metrics, and leveraging appropriate Agile lifecycle management tools are critical factors for maximizing the utility of KPIs in Agile projects. Emphasizing training and fostering a culture of metric-driven decision-making further ensures that these metrics contribute meaningfully to project outcomes.

In conclusion, adopting and refining software quality KPIs in Agile environments not only enhances project efficiency and quality but also fosters a culture of continuous improvement essential for meeting evolving customer needs and market demands.

# References

[1] Scrum Alliance - State of Scrum Report https://www.scrumalliance.org/

# **Author Profile**

**Swapnil Kognole** is a highly accomplished Wealth Management Technology expert currently serving as a Director at UBS, with over 20 years of extensive Information Technology experience. He holds a Bachelor of Engineering degree from Shivaji University, India and is a CFA Charterholder. Swapnil specializes in implementing largescale technical transformations, platform integrations, data migrations, and digital transformation projects within the financial services sector. He brings extensive leadership expertise in Project and Program Management, Requirement Gathering, Technical Specifications, Product Design, Technical Design, Implementation, Software Testing, Process Improvement and support. His ability to seamlessly integrate technical and financial expertise with strategic leadership consistently delivers innovative solutions that effectively address complex business challenges and drive organizational success.

Volume 13 Issue 7, July 2024 Fully Refereed | Open Access | Double Blind Peer Reviewed Journal www.ijsr.net