A Comprehensive Review on Machine Learning and Transfer Learning Approaches in Liver Tumor Classification

Sheik Imran[1], Pradeep N[2]

[1]Department of Information Science and Engineering, BIET, Davanagere.
Email: sheikimran81[at]gmail.com

[2]Department of Computer Science and Engineering (Data Science), BIET, Davanagere.
Email: nmnpradeep[at]gmail.com

Abstract: ***Using improvements in medical imaging technology like CT and MRI scans, liver tumor classification is essential for early detection and therapy planning. Nonetheless, there are several obstacles to overcome due to the variety of tumor features and the requirement for precise and effective classification. By utilizing pre-trained deep learning models, transfer learning has become a viable strategy to address these issues in recent years. Transfer learning improves the efficiency and accuracy of liver tumor classification by enabling models learned on large-scale datasets, like ImageNet, to be modified for medical imaging applications with sparsely labeled data. This paper delves into the use of transfer learning techniques in the classification of liver tumors, emphasizing evaluation metrics, adaption of pre-trained models, and augmentation strategies for dataset enrichment. It also covers the most recent datasets, clinical ramifications, and potential research avenues to increase the effectiveness of transfer learning in this crucial area of medical imaging.***

Keywords: CAD, MRI, CT, DL, ML, TL.

1. Introduction

Liver tumors are a major global health concern that significantly raises global rates of morbidity and mortality. To improve patient outcomes and provide suitable treatment choices, liver cancers must be accurately and early classified. A key role that medical imaging modalities play in identifying and characterizing liver cancers is computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). But deciphering these pictures can be difficult and time-consuming, needing certain knowledge.

Deep learning, particularly transfer learning, has been a game-changing method in medical imaging analysis in recent years. Utilizing information from pre-trained models on massive datasets (like ImageNet) and applying it to particular tasks with sparsely labeled data—like liver tumor classification—is known as transfer learning. By utilizing representations acquired from numerous and varied non-medical datasets, this method tackles the problem of data scarcity in medical imaging[12].

Transfer learning has the potential to improve the precision, effectiveness, and repeatability of liver tumor classification. Researchers can use the hierarchical features learned from generic image features to capture complicated patterns specific to liver cancers by fine-tuning pre-trained algorithms on annotated medical image datasets. Furthermore, transfer learning makes it easier to create reliable models that perform well in a variety of patient demographics and imaging scenarios.

The goal of this review is to present a thorough knowledge of transfer learning changing the method of classification of liver tumors and facilitating more precise and effective diagnosis and treatment planning.

2. Literature Survey

Several studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of transfer learning in adapting pre-trained models for liver tumor classification tasks. For instance, Zhang et al. 2018 applied transfer learning[1] using a ResNet architecture on a dataset of liver CT scans, achieving promising results in terms of both accuracy and computational efficiency. Their study highlighted the importance of fine-tuning strategies and data augmentation techniques to improve model performance.

In another notable work, Wang et al. 2018, explored the use of transfer learning with VGG and Inception models for multi-class liver tumor classification based on MRI images [2]. They compared different transfer learning strategies and demonstrated that leveraging pre-trained models significantly outperformed traditional machine learning approaches, particularly in handling the high-dimensional and complex nature of medical imaging data.

Furthermore, research by Li et al. 2020 focused on integrating transfer learning with ensemble methods to enhance the robustness of liver tumor classification models [3]. Their approach combined multiple deep-learning models trained on diverse datasets, showcasing improved generalization and classification accuracy across various liver tumor subtypes.

Augmentation techniques have also been extensively studied to mitigate issues related to dataset scarcity in medical imaging. For example, Chen et al. 2019 investigated the use of generative adversarial networks (GANs) for synthetic data generation in liver tumor classification tasks [4]. Their findings demonstrated that augmented datasets not only improved model performance but also enhanced the model's ability to generalize to unseen data.

In addition to technical advancements, several researchers have emphasized the clinical implications of transfer learning in liver tumor classification. Gupta and Sharma 2020 discussed the potential of transfer learning models in assisting radiologists with the early detection and characterization of liver tumors, thereby facilitating timely treatment decisions, and improving patient outcomes[5].

Overall, the literature survey underscores the growing body of evidence supporting the efficacy of transfer learning in liver tumor classification. While significant progress has been made, challenges such as interpretability, dataset heterogeneity, and clinical validation remain areas of active research. Future studies are encouraged to explore advanced transfer learning techniques, integrate multimodal data sources, and address real-world implementation barriers to further enhance the utility of transfer learning in clinical practice.

3. Various Open-Source Datasets

A dataset comprising annotated images of benign and malignant liver tumors was used. The data was preprocessed with techniques like normalization and augmentation (rotation, scaling, flipping). The dataset is obtained from publicly available medical imaging repositories such as The Cancer Imaging Archive (TCIA), the LITS Challenge dataset, ISBI, MICCAI and private hospital databases.

3.1 TCIA (The Cancer Imaging Archive)

TCIA provides a variety of medical imaging datasets, including MRI, CT, and PET scans for cancer research. While liver-specific MRI datasets may not be explicitly labeled for liver cancer, TCIA does offer datasets that include liver scans which could potentially include cases of liver cancer. Examples include datasets from institutions like Mayo Clinic and MD Anderson Cancer Center.

Access: Datasets can be accessed through their website after registering and agreeing to their data usage terms.

3.2 LiTS (Liver Tumor Segmentation Challenge Dataset)

LiTS is a challenging dataset for liver tumor segmentation based on contrast-enhanced CT scans. While not MRI specifically, it's worth checking if any related datasets are available. Typically, available through challenge platforms or repositories associated with medical image analysis challenges.

3.3 ISBI (International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging) Challenges:

ISBI hosts challenges related to medical imaging, including liver cancer imaging. Some challenges may include MRI datasets for liver cancer diagnosis and segmentation. Datasets and results are often published after the challenge, which can be accessed through challenge websites or associated repositories.

3.4 MICCAI (Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention) Challenges:

MICCAI also hosts challenges related to medical image analysis, including liver cancer imaging. Similar to ISBI, these challenges may include MRI datasets related to liver cancer. Datasets and challenge details are typically available through the MICCAI conference and associated repositories.

3. 5 Private Institutional Repositories

Some hospitals and research institutions may have their own repositories for medical imaging data, including MRI scans of liver cancer patients. Access policies vary, but datasets can sometimes be obtained through collaboration or specific requests to the institution.

When accessing these datasets, it's important to review any usage restrictions, data privacy considerations, and citation requirements as per the respective dataset providers' policies. Additionally, preprocessing steps such as normalization, anonymization (if necessary), and potentially manual segmentation might be required depending on the specific research or application needs.

4. Traditional Machine Learning Algorithms

4.1 Support Vector Machine (SVM)

Support Vector Machine (SVM) is a versatile and powerful machine learning algorithm suitable for a wide range of applications, particularly when dealing with complex, high-dimensional datasets. While SVMs can be computationally intensive and require careful parameter tuning, they offer robust performance and the ability to model non-linear relationships through kernel functions.

In SVM, the objective is to find the optimal hyperplane that best separates the classes in the feature space.

For a linearly separable case:

$$\mathbf{w \cdot x + b = 0}$$

Where,
w is the normal vector to the hyperplane (weights vector).
x is the input vector (features).
b is the bias term.
The decision function

$$\mathbf{f(x) = sign(w \cdot x + b)}$$

Support vectors are the data points that lie closest to the hyperplane and influence its position and orientation. These points are crucial for defining the margin and the decision boundary.

4,2 K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN)

KNN is a simple yet effective algorithm for classification and regression tasks, relying on the proximity of data points in the feature space. The choice of distance metric and KKK value significantly impacts its performance and should be carefully considered based on the characteristics of the dataset and the specific task at hand. KNN relies on a distance metric to determine the "closeness" or similarity between data points. The most commonly used distance metrics are:

$$\textbf{Euclidean Distance } (x_i, x_j) = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{d} (x_{ik} - x_{jk})^2}$$

The choice of K (number of neighbors) is a critical parameter in KNN. A smaller KKK makes the model more sensitive to noise in the data, while a larger K can make the decision boundary smoother but might lead to misclassification in some cases.

4.3 Random Forest (RF)

Random Forest is based on decision trees, which are hierarchical structures that recursively partition the data into subsets based on features. Each node in a decision tree represents a decision based on a feature value, leading to subsequent nodes (branches) until a leaf node is reached, which provides the final prediction. Random Forest is a powerful ensemble learning method that combines multiple decision trees to improve predictive performance and generalization. It leverages bagging and random feature selection to reduce variance and provide robust predictions. Understanding its formulation and the principles behind its construction helps in effectively applying and tuning Random Forest models for various machine-learning tasks.

Each decision tree T_i in the Random Forest predicts an output y_i based on the input x.

For classification, the final prediction y_{RF} of the Random Forest is typically the mode (most frequent) of the predictions of all individual trees:

$$y_{RF} = \text{mode}\ (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_N)$$

4.4 Logistic Regression (LR)

Logistic Regression is a statistical model used for binary classification tasks where the output variable y takes on two discrete values, usually 0 and 1. It models the probability of the default class (usually 1) given the input features x.
Logistic Regression models the probability P(y=1|x) of the binary outcome y being 1 given input features x using the logistic function (sigmoid function):

p(x)=1+e−wTx−b1

5. Comparison of Machine Learning Algorithms

Table 5.1: Comparison of various Machine learning algorithms

Algorithm	Suitability	Interpretability	Scalability	Type of Datasets
Support Vector Machine (SVM)	Non-linear relationships High-dimensional data	Medium (depends on kernel and problem complexity)	It can be slow with large datasets	Medical imaging data with high-dimensional features
Random Forest (RF)	Complex data relationships large datasets	Medium (feature importance can be derived)	Good scalability due to an ensemble of decision trees	Gene expression data with many features
K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN)	Local patterns and non-linear relationships	Low (based on the distance to nearest neighbors)	It can be slow with large datasets and high-dimensional data	Text data with sparse features
Logistic Regression (LR)	Linear relationships Interpretable coefficients	High (coefficients directly interpretable)	Fast training and prediction, even with large datasets	Credit scoring data with straightforward relationships
Transfer Learning (ResNet-50/100, VGG-16/19)	Complex image classification, computer vision	Very high complexity, requiring significant computational resources for training, but can be highly optimized using GPUs.	Excellent (with GPU)	Large-scale image datasets, video data

6. Feature Extraction Techniques

Feature extraction was performed using methods such as Histogram of Oriented Gradients (HOG) and Principal Component Analysis (PCA) before training the models [6].

6.1 Histogram of Oriented Gradients (HOG):

HOG is a feature extraction technique commonly used in computer vision for object detection and image classification. HOG computes gradient information (magnitude and orientation) in local image patches.

It divides the image into cells and computes histograms of gradient orientations within each cell. These histograms are concatenated to form a feature vector representing the image. HOG features are often fed into machine learning models (e.g., SVM, RF) for tasks like pedestrian detection and face recognition.

6.2 Principal Component Analysis (PCA):

PCA is a dimensionality reduction technique used to transform high-dimensional data into a lower-dimensional space.PCA identifies orthogonal axes (principal components) that capture the most variance in the data. It projects data points onto these components, reducing dimensionality. The first few components retain most of the original information. PCA is used for feature reduction, noise reduction, and visualization.

7. Transfer Learning-Based Models

Transfer learning is a powerful technique in deep learning where a model developed for a particular task is reused as the starting point for a model on a second task. This is especially useful in medical image classification, such as liver tumor classification, where annotated data can be scarce and expensive to obtain. For ex., Deep Learning

Paper ID: SR24723105503 DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.21275/SR24723105503

models, pre-trained on ImageNet, were fine-tuned using the liver tumor dataset. Data augmentation techniques were applied to enhance the model's performance.

Table 7.1: Comparison of various Transfer Learning algorithms

Model	Architecture	Strengths	Weaknesses	Suitability for Liver Tumor Classification
VGG16/VGG19	Sequential, deep network with 16/19 layers	Simple and easy to understand, perform well on various tasks	Computationally expensive, large number of parameters	Good, but may require significant computational resources
ResNet (e.g., ResNet-50, ResNet-101)	Uses residual blocks	Excellent performance, robust, can train very deep models effectively	Can be overkill for smaller datasets	Very suitable, often a go-to choice for medical image classification
Inception (e.g., InceptionV3)	Multiple convolutional filters in parallel	Efficient computation with fewer parameters	Complex architecture is harder to modify	Highly suitable, efficient and performs well on complex image tasks
DenseNet (e.g., DenseNet-121, DenseNet-169)	Dense connections between layers	Improved flow of information and gradients, fewer parameters	Computationally expensive due to dense connections	Excellent, especially for datasets where feature reuse is beneficial
EfficientNet	Scale depth, width, and resolution	State-of-the-art performance with fewer parameters and FLOPS	Newer, less community support and fewer pre-trained weights are available	Very suitable, good trade-off between accuracy and computational efficiency

7.1 VGG-16 and VGG-19

They are deep convolutional neural networks (CNNs) that have been widely used for image classification tasks[19]. These models are pre-trained on the ImageNet dataset and can be fine-tuned for specific tasks such as liver tumor classification. VGG-19 slightly outperform VGG-16, likely due to its deeper architecture. Both models significantly outperform traditional machine learning algorithms, highlighting the effectiveness of transfer learning in medical image classification tasks.

7.2 ResNet-50 and ResNet-101

ResNet (Residual Network) is a powerful deep convolutional neural network that has shown excellent performance in various image classification tasks. Its architecture uses residual connections to allow deeper networks without the vanishing gradient problem. ResNet-101 slightly outperforms ResNet-50, likely due to its deeper architecture [9]. These models demonstrate the effectiveness of deep learning and transfer learning in medical image classification tasks.

7.3 Inception V3

Inception V3 is a deep convolutional neural network architecture known for its efficiency and accuracy in image classification tasks. Developed by Google, it introduces several architectural innovations, including factorized convolutions and aggressive regularization. It significantly outperforms traditional machine learning algorithms and even other deep learning models like VGG-16, VGG-19, and ResNet, highlighting the effectiveness of its architecture for medical image classification tasks.

7.4 DenseNet-121 and DenseNet-169

DenseNet (Densely Connected Convolutional Networks) is a deep learning architecture that improves information flow and gradient propagation by connecting each layer to every other layer in a feed-forward manner. DenseNet-121 and DenseNet-169 are two variants of this architecture, differing mainly in depth[12]. DenseNet-121 and DenseNet-169, when fine-tuned on the LITS dataset, show excellent performance in liver tumor classification. DenseNet-169 slightly outperforms DenseNet-121, likely due to its deeper architecture. These models highlight the effectiveness of densely connected networks in medical image classification tasks.

7.5 EfficientNet-B0

EfficientNet is a family of convolutional neural networks (CNNs) developed by Google, which systematically scales up the network width, depth, and resolution using a compound scaling method. It achieves state-of-the-art accuracy while being more efficient in terms of computational resources. EfficientNet-B0 and EfficientNet-B7, when fine-tuned on the LITS dataset, show excellent performance in liver tumor classification [5]. EfficientNet-B7 slightly outperforms EfficientNet-B0, likely due to its deeper and more complex architecture. These models highlight the effectiveness of the compound scaling method used in EfficientNet for medical image classification tasks.

Each of these models offers unique strengths and trade-offs. ResNet-50[18] and EfficientNet are solid choices for liver tumor classification due to their performance and efficiency. However, the final selection should consider specific dataset characteristics and available computational resources.

8. Conclusion

ResNet-50 and EfficientNet are particularly strong choices for liver tumor classification due to their balance of performance and efficiency. However, the best choice might depend on the specific dataset and available computational resources. Transfer learning-based models, particularly those utilizing architectures like ResNet-50, provide superior performance in liver tumor classification compared to traditional machine learning algorithms. Future research should focus on expanding datasets, incorporating multi-modal data, and exploring more advanced architectures to further enhance model performance.

References

[1] Zhang, X., et al. "Transfer learning for liver lesion classification in CT images with pre-trained ResNet and data augmentation." Journal of Healthcare Engineering, vol. 2019, 2019. DOI: 10.1155/2019/2404816.
[2] Wang, J., et al. "Transfer learning with convolutional neural networks for classification of liver lesions using contrast-enhanced CT images." Clinical Radiology, vol. 73, no. 8, 2018, pp. 756-762. DOI: 10.1016/j.crad.2018.04.002.
[3] Li, W., et al. "Transfer learning for image-based multi-class liver tumor classification." Neurocomputing, vol. 396, 2020, pp. 238-247. DOI: 10.1016/j.neucom.2020.03.082.
[4] Chen, H., et al. "Synthesized generation of liver CT image with generative adversarial networks for hepatic lesion detection." IEEE Access, vol. 7, 2019, pp. 155923-155934. DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2946847.
[5] Gupta, A., Sharma, S. "Transfer learning in medical imaging: A survey." Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Medicine, vol. 98, 2020, p. 101710. DOI: 10.1016/j.artmed.2020.101710.
[6] Mukhlif AA, Al-Khateeb B, Mohammed MA. An extensive review of state-of-the-art transfer learning techniques used in medical imaging: Open issues and challenges. J Intell Syst. 2022;31(1):1085–111. doi: 10.1515/jisys-2022-0198.
[7] Othman E, Mahmoud M, Dhahri H, Abdulkader H, Mahmood A, Ibrahim M. Automatic detection of liver cancer using hybrid pre-trained models. Sensors. 2022;22(14):1–20. doi:10.3390/s2214542.
[8] Constantinescu EC, Udriștoiu AL, Udriștoiu ȘC, Iacob AV, Gruionu LG, Gruionu G, et al. Transfer learning with pre-trained deep convolutional neural networks for the automatic assessment of liver steatosis in ultrasound images. Med Ultrason.2021;23(2):135–9. Doi:10.11152/mu-2746.
[9] Sabir MW, Khan Z, Saad NM, Khan DM, Al-Khasawneh MA, Perveen K, et al. Segmentation of liver tumor in CT scan using ResU-net.Appl Sci. 2022;12(17):1–15. doi:10.3390/app12178650.
[10] Yosinski J, Clune J, Bengio Y, Lipson H (2014) How transferable are features in deep neural networks? In: Advances in neural information processing systems, pp 3320–3328.
[11] Han T, Liu C, Yang WG, Jiang DX (2018) Deep transfer network with joint distribution adaptation: a new intelligent fault diagnosis framework for industry application. arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.07265.
[12] Xiang, K.; Jiang, B.; Shang, D. The overview of the deep learning integrated into the medical imaging of liver: A review. Hepatol.Int. 2021,15, 868–880. [CrossRef].
[13] Manikandan, T.; Devi, B.; Helanvidhya, T. A Computer-Aided Diagnosis System for Lung Cancer Detection with AutomaticRegion Growing, Multistage Feature Selection and Neural Network Classifier. Int. J. Innov. Technol. Explor. Eng.2019,9, 409–413.
[14] Sarkar, D., Bali, R., Ghosh, T.: Hands-On Transfer Learning with Python: Implement Advanced Deep Learning and Neural Network Models using TensorFlow and Keras. Packt Publishing Ltd, (2018).
[15] Huang, Y.-L., Chen, J.-H., Shen, W.-C.: Diagnosis of hepatic tumors with texture analysis in nonenhanced computed tomography images. Academicradiology 13(6), 713–720 (2006).
[16] Kim HE, Cosa-Linan A, Santhanam N, Jannesari M, Maros ME, Ganslandt T. Transfer learning for medical image classification: a literature review. BMC Med Imaging. 2022;22(1):1–13. Doi:10.1186/s12880-022-00793-7.
[17] Sun, C.; Xu, A.; Liu, D.; Xiong, Z.; Zhao, F.; Ding, W. Deep learning-based classification of liver cancer histopathology images using only global labels. IEEE J. Biomed. Health Inform. 2019, 24, 1643–1651. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
[18] Al-Haija, Q.A.; Adebanjo, A. Breast cancer diagnosis in histopathological images using ResNet-50 convolutional neural network. In Proceedings of the 2020 IEEE International IOT, Electronics and Mechatronics Conference (IEMTRONICS), Vancouver, BC, Canada, 9–12 September 2020; pp. 1–7. [Google Scholar]
[19] Tammina, S. Transfer learning using VGG-16 with deep convolutional neural network for classifying images. Int. J. Sci. Res. Publ. 2019, 9, 143–150. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
[20] Ghoniem, R.M. A novel bio-inspired deep learning approach for liver cancer diagnosis. Information 2020, 11, 80. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [Green Version]