International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064 **Impact Factor 2024: 7.101**

A Rare Case of Multiple Giant Gallbladder Calculi Successfully Treated with Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy

Dr. Jainam Mehta¹, Dr. Jignesh Dave²

¹Junior Resident Doctor, Department of General Surgery, PDU Government Medical College and Civil Hospital, Rajkot. Corresponding Author Email: merockingjanu[at]gmail.com

Abstract: Giant gallstone disease (>5 cm) is rare, and very few cases have been described in published scientific literature. Here, we present a case of a 26 - year - old female who presented with a history of left hypochondriac pain, which increased postprandially. Physical examination revealed mild tenderness on palpation in the left hypochondriac region. Ultrasound of the abdomen revealed multiple large gallstones, the largest being 1 cm in size. The patient underwent laparoscopic cholecystectomy, leading to the extraction of multiple gallstones, two measuring 1.5×1 cm and six measuring 0.5×1 cm. The postoperative course was uneventful, and the patient was discharged on the third postoperative day with a drain, which was removed on the fifth postoperative day. The histopathological report revealed acute cholecystitis with no evidence of malignancy. This is a rare case from India wherein a patient with multiple large gallstones underwent a successful laparoscopic cholecystectomy.

Keywords: Multiple Giant Gallstones; Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy; Gallbladder; Surgery

1. Introduction

Gallstones are a chronic, recurring hepatobiliary condition caused by poor metabolism of cholesterol, bilirubin, and bile acids. Gallstones are thought to be present in 6% of people in India and 10% of individuals in Western nations [1]. Over 80% of gallstones are asymptomatic, and 1% to 2% become symptomatic annually, with rare instances of complications. In asymptomatic individuals, gallstones are commonly detected incidentally during ultrasonography, computed tomography scans, abdominal radiography, or laparotomy. About 3% of asymptomatic individuals develop symptoms each year, and nearly two - thirds of them remain symptom free after 20 years [2].

Gallstones larger than 5 cm in diameter are referred to as "giant gallstones." These are uncommon, and only a few cases have been documented in the literature. Some authors favor open cholecystectomy for large gallstones, while others support a laparoscopic approach. A literature search revealed a lack of published data on giant gallstone disease from Indian hospitals. This case report details a multiple giant gallstone case successfully managed by laparoscopic cholecystectomy at a tertiary care Indian hospital.

2. Case Presentation

A 26 - year - old female presented to our surgical outpatient department with a history of left hypochondriac pain, which increased postprandially for one month. Liver Function Tests (LFTs) were within the normal range, including Complete Blood Count (CBC) and leucocyte count. The key physical examination finding was mild tenderness on palpation in the left hypochondriac region.

Abdominal ultrasound revealed multiple large gallstones, the largest being 1 cm in size, with diffuse posterior wall shadowing. The common bile duct was normal. The gallbladder showed a "wall echo - shadow" sign. No pericholecystic fluid collection or intra - or extrahepatic biliary duct dilatation was noted. The pancreas was normal in size and texture. The patient was counseled about laparoscopic cholecystectomy as the management option and was scheduled for surgery.

After the necessary preoperative workup, she was placed in the supine position, and pneumoperitoneum was created using a Veress needle at the supraumbilical site. A 10 - mm camera port was placed at the supraumbilical area, and additional ports were placed at the subxiphoid (10 - mm), right hypochondrium (5 - mm), and right lumbar (5 - mm). The gallbladder was visualized, and the fundus was retracted. Adhesions between the omentum and gallbladder (body and fundus) were noted and released using electrocautery. The gallbladder was filled with multiple gallstones.

A critical view of safety was obtained. The cystic duct and cystic artery were clipped and cut. The gallbladder was dissected from the cystic plate and extracted in a retrieval bag. The specimen measured $6 \times 3 \times 3.1$ cm, and on the cut section, multiple gallstones were found, with two measuring 1.5×1 cm and six measuring 0.5×1 cm.

The supraumbilical port site incision was extended for gallbladder extraction along with the stones. The incision was closed with Vicryl 1 - 0, and the skin was closed with Ethilon 2 - 0. Hemostasis was achieved, and pneumoperitoneum was deflated.

The postoperative course was uneventful. The patient was discharged on the third postoperative day with a drain, which was removed on the fifth postoperative day. The histopathological report revealed acute cholecystitis with no evidence of malignancy.

Volume 14 Issue 2, February 2025 Fully Refereed | Open Access | Double Blind Peer Reviewed Journal www.ijsr.net

Paper ID: MR25204085225

²Associate Professor, Department of General Surgery, PDU Government Medical College and Civil Hospital, Rajkot

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064 Impact Factor 2024: 7.101

3. Discussion

Demographic data indicate that women are more likely to develop gallstones than men, particularly in the reproductive age group. This is possibly due to increased estrogen levels, which can raise bile cholesterol levels and decrease gallbladder motility. The likelihood of gallstones rises with age, becoming 4 to 10 times more common after 40 years [7].

Between 60% and 80% of gallstones are asymptomatic [8] and are typically detected during routine abdominal ultrasonography. Symptomatic gallstones may present as biliary discomfort, cholecystitis, or biliary obstruction. The most common diagnostic tool for cholelithiasis and cholecystitis is ultrasonography (90 - 95% sensitivity and specificity), which can detect stones as small as 2 mm and reveal gallbladder wall thickening [9].

For our patient, abdominal ultrasound accurately identified the large gallstones before surgery, informing the surgeon of potential complications and the possibility of conversion to open cholecystectomy. Gallstones larger than 3 cm pose an increased risk for gallbladder cancer [10] and may lead to biliary - enteric fistula or gallstone ileus, which could necessitate surgical intervention for intestinal obstruction [11].

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy, performed by a skilled surgeon, is the preferred initial approach unless technical difficulties warrant conversion to open cholecystectomy [12]. A Cochrane review of 38 randomized controlled studies found that laparoscopic cholecystectomy was superior to open cholecystectomy in terms of complications, hospital stay, and recovery time [13].

Large gallstones are associated with a higher risk of conversion from laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy. Severe gallbladder wall thickening and inflammation can complicate the laparoscopic approach, making it difficult to grasp the gallbladder and expose Calot's triangle anatomy [14]. Factors influencing conversion to open surgery include the surgeon's expertise, inflammation severity, emergency status, comorbidities, advancing age, and male sex [15]. However, laparoscopic cholecystectomy remains a viable option before resorting to open cholecystectomy [16, 17]. This case represents a rare instance of successful laparoscopic cholecystectomy for multiple large gallstones in India.

4. Conclusion

Multiple giant gallstones are rare. Clinical evidence supports laparoscopic cholecystectomy as the preferred therapeutic approach. If anatomical exposure is inadequate or technical challenges arise during the procedure, conversion to open surgery should be considered.

References

- [1] API Textbook of Medicine.2019. Accessed on June 6, 2023.
- [2] Patel AM, Yeola M, Mahakalkar C. Demographic and risk factor profile in patients of gallstone disease in central India. Cureus.2022; 14 (5): e24993.

- [3] Al Zoubi M, El Ansari W, Al Moudaris AA, Abdelaal A. Largest case series of giant gallstones ever reported, and review of the literature. Int J Surg Case Rep.2020; 72: 454 59.
- [4] Shaffer EA. Epidemiology and risk factors for gallstone disease: has the paradigm changed in the 21st century? Curr Gastroenterol Rep.2005; 7 (2): 132 40.
- [5] Festi D, Sottili S, Colecchia A, Attili A, Mazzella G, Roda E, et al. Clinical manifestations of gallstone disease: evidence from the Multicenter Italian study on Cholelithiasis (MICOL). Hepatology.1999; 30 (4): 839 - 46.
- [6] Trotman BW, Petrella EJ, Soloway RD, Sanchez HM, Morris TA 3rd, Miller WT. Evaluation of radiographic lucency or opaqueness of gallstones as a means of identifying cholesterol or pigment stones. Correlation of lucency or opaqueness with calcium and mineral. Gastroenterology.1975; 68 (6): 1563 6.
- [7] Andrea C, Enzo A. Cholesterol gallstones larger than 3cm appear to be associated with gallbladder cancer: Identification of a high risk group of patients that could benefit from preventive cholecystectomy. Ann Surg.2016; 263 (3): e56.
- [8] Freeman MH, Mullen MG, Friel CM. The progression of cholelithiasis to gallstone ileus: Do large gallstones warrant surgery? J Gastrointest Surg.2016; 20 (6): 1278 - 80.
- [9] Igwe PO, Diri ON. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy for giant gall stone: Report of two cases. Int J Surg Case Rep.2020; 67: 207 - 10.
- [10] Keus F, de Jong JA, Gooszen HG, van Laarhoven CJ. Laparoscopic versus open cholecystectomy for patients with symptomatic cholecystolithiasis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev.2006; (4): CD006231.
- [11] Gholipour C, Fakhree MB, Shalchi RA, Abbasi M. Prediction of conversion of laparoscopic cholecystectomy to open surgery with artificial neural networks. BMC Surg.2009; 9: 13.
- [12] Kama NA, Doganay M, Dolapci M, Reis E, Atli M, Kologlu M. Risk factors resulting in conversion of laparoscopic cholecystectomy to open surgery. Surg Endosc.

Volume 14 Issue 2, February 2025
Fully Refereed | Open Access | Double Blind Peer Reviewed Journal
www.ijsr.net

Paper ID: MR25204085225 DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.21275/MR25204085225