International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)
ISSN: 2319-7064
Impact Factor 2024: 7.101

Sustainable Mining Practices and Environmental Geology: A Review of Current Methodologies, Impacts, and Innovative Strategies for Balancing Resource Extraction and Environmental Protection

Rajiv Khalkho

Department of Applied Geology, Dr. Harisingh Gour Vishwavidyalaya, Sagar, Madhya Pradesh India - 470003
Email: *rajivkhalkho[at]gmail.com*

Abstract: ***The mining industry is very important for the economy around the world because it supplies key materials. Yet, it faces big environmental and social issues that require attention to sustainable methods. This paper, called Sustainable Mining Practices and Environmental Geology, gives a detailed look at current techniques and their effects on resource extraction and environmental care. It looks closely at new ideas created to meet the high needs of mineral production while also working to reduce harm to nature. By considering various aspects like new technologies, laws, and how much communities are involved, the paper aims to find the best ways to ensure both resource use and fairness in society as well as care for the environment. Through thorough examination, this study highlights the pressing need for the mining industry to use sustainable methods that match global sustainability goals. It raises key questions about how well current policies and methods are working and ultimately calls for a shift towards responsible resource management that responds to the increasing environmental challenges we face today.***

Keywords: Sustainable Mining Practices, Environmental Geology, Resource Management, Circular Economy, Community Engagement, Waste and Water Management

1. Introduction

The growing need for sustainable mining practices comes from the complicated relationship between resource extraction and protecting the environment. This balance is becoming more important today due to fast industrial growth and environmental harm. We must look closely at the factors that lead to this problem, as various studies show that mining can have serious socio - environmental effects, like land damage, water pollution, and pushing communities out of their homes. These results point to the urgent need for strong measures to reduce these effects effectively (Qarahasanlou et al., 2022). Plus, applying sustainability principles is not just a small change; it creates a comprehensive approach that understands the deep connections between economic growth and caring for the environment (Alves et al., 2021). Nowadays, methods increasingly highlight the significance of using new technologies and enhancing community involvement as crucial parts in reaching sustainable results (Kokko et al., 2015). By following best practices in waste management, recycling resources, and monitoring the environment (Mesquita et al., 2017), the mining sector can align its work with broader sustainability objectives. Therefore, reviewing existing methods and their effects is a key step toward creating a more sustainable and responsible mining industry, pushing us to rethink the frameworks that have shaped this field (Zou and Lin, 2017).

Sustainable mining practices are about looking at environmental, social, and economic factors during the entire mining process. This means not just reducing harm to nature, but also figuring out how to support local communities and use resources wisely. Techniques that fit into sustainable mining include better waste management, using cleaner technologies, and following strict environmental rules, which help lower carbon emissions and damage to the environment (Kokko et al., 2015) (Jose et al., 2024). It's also important to show how much stakeholder involvement and openness matter, making sure that mining work meets the needs of nearby communities and complies with governance guidelines (Onifade et al., 2024) (Nurmi, 2017). Research shows that a well - rounded approach is needed to effectively balance these aspects, which helps the mineral industry and promotes sustainable development goals (Laurence et al., 2011) (Gorman and Dzombak, 2018). By evaluating and adopting these ideas, the mining industry can move toward responsible practices that protect natural resources for the future and create lasting environmental and social benefits, leading to a stronger and fairer system for both present and future stakeholders (Qarahasanlou et al., 2022) (Georgieva, 2015).

Environmental geology is important for getting minerals in a way that is good for the planet. It helps us understand how geological formations and mining activities interact. This field helps predict environmental effects caused by getting resources, like managing waste and restoring land, and encourages us to find ways to reduce these effects. For example, using geological studies in mining helps with land use and reclamation, while also promoting a closer look at how mining impacts local ecosystems over time, leading to better resource management (Mesquita et al., 2017). Moreover, research shows that there is a need to place sustainability principles in the mining industry, pointing out gaps in following environmental rules and prompting discussions about new technologies that can help reduce negative impacts (Kokko et al., 2015). Also, focusing on things like waste management, energy use, and conserving resources—key parts of environmental geology—makes the industry more responsive to both societal and ecological needs, leading to a review of current practices and

encouraging responsible methods in the extraction process (Vidal et al., 2013) (Battaia et al., 2024).

2. Current Methodologies in Sustainable Mining

In modern sustainable mining, methods aim to reduce environmental harm and look closely at how resources are used and how to engage communities properly. It is necessary to look into the use of circular economy ideas, as pointed out by (Mukhopadhyay and Tyagi, 2016), which supports turning waste into useful materials and recovering resources from mining leftovers. Still, it is crucial to think about the wider effects on local ecosystems and economic health. Also, the Sustainable Development Framework mentioned in (Jose et al., 2024) not only highlights the need for better rules and involvement from different groups but also looks at the challenges these groups might encounter in addressing social and environmental issues connected to mining. This framework promotes careful land reclamation efforts that connect with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals, as shown in (Mesquita et al., 2017), but it also raises questions about how well these goals can be achieved in real life. New technologies, such as green mining methods noted in (Nurmi, 2017), support sustainable practices but should be examined to see if they really reduce environmental impacts or just move the sustainability issues elsewhere. Together, these methods showcase a thorough approach that aims to balance resource extraction and environmental care, while also encouraging constant evaluation of how closely these efforts follow the missions outlined in (Qarahasanlou et al., 2022) and (Vidal et al., 2013).

Best practices in mining operations are very important not just for encouraging sustainability but also for reducing environmental damage and supporting ethical practices. These methods include various strategies, such as thorough environmental assessments, community engagement, and using modern technologies to ensure efficient resource extraction (Kokko et al., 2015). It is important to understand that sustainability principles need to be applied throughout all mining stages—from exploration to closure—so that decision - making aligns with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (Jose et al., 2024). Additionally, it is necessary to carefully consider using a circular economy framework, which focuses on recycling, waste reuse, and recovering resources, as these methods can help decrease the environmental impact of mining activities (Zou and Lin, 2017). Moreover, collaboration with stakeholders should not be seen as just a formal process; it is crucial for tackling complex socio - economic challenges and ensuring transparency in operations, which helps gain the social license to operate (Chatterjee et al., 2018). Ongoing monitoring and adaptive management are also essential, as they improve reclamation efforts and work toward restoring mined areas (Ganguly and Pandit, 2021). Together, these best practices create a strong basis for responsible mining that seeks to balance resource extraction with environmental care, underscoring the need for constant evaluation and enhancement of these practices (Qarahasanlou et al., 2022) (Vidal et al., 2013).

Good water and waste management is very important in mining to protect the environment and keep communities healthy. It is also important to look closely at how these management plans are carried out and what long - term effects they have. Using methods like water recycling and treatment can help reduce too much water use and pollution from mining, but this raises questions about how effective and sustainable they are over time, especially in different geographical areas. This idea goes along with the circular economy principles (Kokko et al., 2015), but it needs constant review to prove its worth. Sustainable methods also highlight the importance of monitoring and controlling wastewater to stop acid mine drainage, which is a major issue noted in several studies (Jose et al., 2024) (Zou and Lin, 2017). It is essential to analyze data about wastewater management strategies to grasp their real effects on local ecosystems. Moreover, properly reclaiming mining sites through good waste management can boost biodiversity and engage the community, which can improve how the public views mining operations (Ganguly and Pandit, 2021); however, it is crucial to consider how communities can be involved for the long term in these efforts. There are still issues to tackle, especially with the differences in resource use between developed and developing countries, making it harder to put sustainable practices into place (Gorman and Dzombak, 2018). Thus, it is key for global efforts and frameworks to enhance collaboration among relevant parties and also to thoroughly evaluate the success of suggested strategies to encourage responsible water use and waste reduction. This will help follow environmental laws while also improving community well - being (Battaia et al., 2024) (Baldassarre and Marini, 2024).

Good reclamation and rehab methods are very important for reducing the harm from mining while also helping to keep the industry sustainable. It is important to look closely at how well these methods work, which include various strategies like planting new vegetation, fixing soil, and using waste materials again. These activities are not just steps to follow; they are necessary for bringing back ecosystems to what they were before mining happened. Studies show that getting local communities involved in making reclamation plans helps a lot because it builds trust and matches with what the community wants (Jose et al., 2024). This brings up the question of whether top - down methods are as good as those that include local people. Also, new technologies like monitored natural recovery and bioremediation have been shown to work well for fixing contamination and handling tailings (Kokko et al., 2015) (Chatterjee et al., 2018). Still, it is important to keep checking these methods to make sure they fit different situations and consider the complex ecosystems that might be affected. Furthermore, ideas from a circular economy are becoming more important in rehab methods, stressing the need for using resources wisely and encouraging us to think about how we can apply these ideas in various projects (Zou and Lin, 2017) (Gorman and Dzombak, 2018). All in all, these reclamation and rehab methods not only show the chance to turn post - mining areas into thriving ecosystems, but they also raise significant questions about their long - term effects on local communities and biodiversity (Musando and Cáceres, 2023). Therefore, putting in continuous efforts in these areas is critical for promoting a good balance between extracting

resources and protecting the environment, calling for ongoing thought about the practices we choose to use.

Advanced monitoring and remote sensing technologies have become important tools for sustainable mining and reducing environmental damage, but their effectiveness needs careful review. These technologies allow for detailed data gathering and immediate analysis, which are key for proper resource management and in - depth environmental evaluations. For example, satellite imaging and Geographic Information Systems (GIS) can track land - use changes, identify deforestation, and evaluate the ecological effects of mining operations, yet it is important to be aware of the limitations and possible biases present in these data sources. These methods aid in meeting environmental rules, as shown in studies of Finland's regulations that stress the importance of strong environmental impact assessments and community involvement in decision - making (Kokko et al., 2015). However, it is vital to question whether current frameworks are inclusive enough and if they truly represent the opinions of affected communities. Additionally, these technologies help to meet the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) by promoting accountability and teamwork among involved parties (Jose et al., 2024), but the actual execution of these efforts can vary greatly depending on the context. In Canada, advanced methods improve operational sustainability by incorporating environmental measures into mining activities, thus enabling a shift toward responsible mineral extraction and site rehabilitation (Onifade et al., 2024). This raises the issue of whether such approaches work as effectively in different geographical and socio - economic settings. Ultimately, successfully using these technologies marks a major step toward balancing resource extraction with environmental conservation, as pointed out in various industry reports that highlight the need for ongoing evaluation and sustainable practices in mining (Ganguly and Pandit, 2021) (Mesquita et al., 2017). Moreover, local best practices and frameworks that support sustainability in mining highlight the need to combine advanced monitoring with community participation to tackle socio - environmental issues effectively (Chatterjee et al., 2018). Nonetheless, it is necessary to critically evaluate whether these community engagements are superficial or truly empower local stakeholders. The use of these technologies not only improves resource efficiency but also makes sure that mining activities are conducted in a manner that honors ecological integrity (Zou and Lin, 2017) (Basu and Mishra, 2024), thereby strengthening the vital link between technological advancement and sustainable development in the mining industry (Björn et al., 2014) (Ababio et al., 2023). Understanding and addressing these complexities is key to promoting both the use of technology and effective sustainability efforts in the mining sector.

Environmental Impact Assessments (EIA) and management systems are very important for dealing with the complex problems related to sustainable mining practices. They offer a structured way to look at possible environmental effects before starting a project. It is important to understand that good EIAs do not just focus on environmental issues alone; they also encourage cooperation and involvement from various stakeholders, including local communities. This participation is vital for promoting inclusive decision - making, as pointed out in (Zou and Lin, 2017). Moreover, having a thorough grasp of the whole mining operation life cycle is crucial, as it helps decision - makers see not just the harmful impacts on the environment but also on social systems and community interactions (Kokko et al., 2015). By incorporating sustainability concepts into these assessments, we can more effectively tackle existing inequalities in resource use and environmental effects, ensuring all situations are taken into account. This method requires strict frameworks that emphasize the importance of protecting the ecosystem and promoting economic fairness (Mesquita et al., 2017) (Ganguly and Pandit, 2021). Also, new recycling and waste management techniques are becoming key practices to reduce environmental harm while also meeting operational needs (Chatterjee et al., 2018) (Jose et al., 2024) (Onifade et al., 2024). In the end, effectively integrating EIAs in mining operations not only supports wider efforts to reduce environmental threats but also greatly improves community well - being, as shown by different case studies that highlight successful practices and results (Basu and Mishra, 2024) (Laurence et al., 2011).

Technological innovations are key to promoting sustainability in mining, addressing environmental issues and meeting society's changing expectations for responsible resource extraction. It is important to examine how new technologies, like better waste management systems and circular economy practices, help reduce the ecological impact of resource extraction while considering their long - term effectiveness and possible negative effects (Onifade et al., 2024) (Jose et al., 2024). For example, using hydrometallurgical processes can recover metals more efficiently while producing less waste; however, we must evaluate the total environmental effects of these methods to confirm they are indeed better than traditional ones, as is increasingly required in modern mining practices focused on lowering carbon emissions (Gorman and Dzombak, 2018). Additionally, using renewable energy sources, such as solar and wind, in mining significantly decreases dependence on fossil fuels and enhances energy efficiency. Still, it is crucial to explore the lifecycle impacts of renewable energy technologies and how they fit with various mining operations to fully assess their sustainability (Zou and Lin, 2017). Countries prioritizing sustainable mining, like Finland with its Green Mining initiative, provide examples of how community engagement and responsible practices can promote a more sustainable mineral industry, leading to questions about how these models can fit different cultural and regulatory environments (Nurmi, 2017). By encouraging technology and regulatory innovation, the mining industry can align with global sustainability goals, but it must be careful to balance economic interests with environmental care, ensuring this balance reflects true sustainability instead of being just a reaction to regulations or market pressures (Qarahasanlou et al., 2022) (Battaia et al., 2024).

Environmental Impacts of Mining Activities

Mining is important for economy and getting resources, but it also causes big environmental problems that make us question if it can keep going in the long run. The way we extract minerals often destroys habitats, harms soil, and pollutes water with harmful chemicals, affecting not only nearby communities but also global ecosystems. A review of

literature on sustainable mining shows a worrying trend: environmental issues often take priority over social and economic factors, indicating a need for fairer evaluations of mining's impact ((Zou and Lin, 2017)). Successful examples from Canada and India show that including sustainability rules and involving local communities can significantly reduce these negative effects, promoting a balance between ecological health and economic interests ((Mesquita et al., 2017), (Chatterjee et al., 2018)). Nonetheless, using restorative methods is crucial; programs like planting trees and developing eco - parks can be good ways to restore mined areas and revive nature, countering the idea that making money has to harm the environment ((Ganguly and Pandit, 2021)). Therefore, it is essential to adopt new approaches that focus on caring for the environment while still meeting the needs of resource extraction, which is vital for the future of mining operations ((Kokko et al., 2015)). This highlights the need for ongoing consideration of the trade - offs and the values we have concerning our natural resources.

The socio - economic effects of mining are complicated, affecting local communities, economies, and environmental conditions in ways that need careful study. While mining can bring important economic advantages like job creation and infrastructure improvement that raise living standards in areas with resources, it is important to see that these benefits often come with environmental harm and social conflict. This struggle is particularly visible in places where local residents feel left out and poorly compensated for the resource extraction on their lands. Studies indicate that good governance, which includes strong regulatory systems and real community involvement, is key to lessening harmful effects and ensuring fair wealth sharing (Kokko et al., 2015). Additionally, incorporating sustainability practices into mining operations, as pointed out in recent studies, is vital for balancing economic growth with environmental conservation goals (Jose et al., 2024). Also, looking into the shift toward circular economy models can help tackle these problems by improving resource use and minimizing waste. This strategy seeks not just to build a more sustainable mining sector but also to push for a serious look at the long - term social and economic benefits compared to the short - term economic profits from mining activities (Mesquita et al., 2017) (Blasenbauer et al., 2020) (Björn et al., 2014).

Mining activities cause land damage and harm ecosystems, which create long - lasting environmental and social problems and raise important issues about current methods. The bad practices found in mining lead to soil erosion, tree loss, and decreased animal and plant variety, mostly through habitat destruction and pollution (Onifade et al., 2024). It is important to examine if these methods are mainly based on economic rewards that ignore environmental issues. In addition, poor environmental rules and a lack of community involvement worsen these problems, as shown in many studies (Kokko et al., 2015), (Ganguly and Pandit, 2021). This brings up how local communities can be more included in decision - making to protect their needs. Tackling these problems requires a broad approach that focuses on adopting sustainable methods and strong regulations to lessen environmental harm (Gerner et al., 2023). For example, creating community - driven projects and including ecological recovery in mining plans can reduce negative impacts. Still, it is crucial to evaluate how well these methods work and improve them as needed to keep a real balance between resource extraction and environmental conservation (Chatterjee et al., 2018). Thus, understanding the links between social and environmental issues in mining is key to supporting sustainable growth and increasing ecosystem strength (Mesquita et al., 2017). Finally, advancing mining should not just look at new technologies but also think about the wider effects of these changes, leading to more sustainable results that fit into larger sustainability aims (Battaia et al., 2024) (Qarahasanlou et al., 2022).

Acid mine drainage (AMD) is a big environmental issue tied to mining work, especially with water pollution, and needs a closer look at its causes and effects. This problem happens when sulfide minerals in exposed rocks interact with oxygen and water, producing sulfuric acid that leaches heavy metals into nearby water supplies. This pollution can greatly harm aquatic life and human health, raising concerns about how sustainable current mining methods are. To effectively handle and reduce AMD, it is important to investigate new technologies and eco - friendly practices that support environmental goals. For example, using waste management strategies that encourage recycling and recovery, as noted in (Gorman and Dzombak, 2018), could greatly lower the creation of harmful acidic waste. Additionally, better regulatory systems that require detailed environmental assessments, along with the solid implementation of the 7QS framework and active community involvement in decision - making, as mentioned in (Jose et al., 2024), are crucial for tackling the complex challenges posed by AMD. In the end, taking a comprehensive approach to mining that includes advanced methods and thorough analysis can help protect water resources while ensuring responsible mineral extraction practices (Battaia et al., 2024) (Qarahasanlou et al., 2022).

Airborne emissions and greenhouse gases (GHGs) are big problems in the mining industry. They harm the environment and worsen climate change. Resource extraction mostly depends on fossil fuels, which produce lots of greenhouse gases like carbon dioxide and methane. This raises concerns about how sustainable current methods are. For instance, emissions from making bentonite and perlite are noted at 1.52% and 2.18% of CO2 per ton of product, respectively, making it necessary to review these numbers and their environmental effects (Goudouva et al., 2018). To reduce these emissions effectively, the industry needs to adopt new practices such as better waste management, energy efficiency programs, and using alternative energy sources (Jose et al., 2024) (Laurence et al., 2011). Moreover, it's important to implement strategies that consider lifecycle assessments and sustainable land reclamation to reduce air pollution (Kokko et al., 2015) (Ganguly and Pandit, 2021). By using advanced technologies and following environmental laws, the mining sector can significantly lessen its carbon footprint while ensuring resource extraction goes hand in hand with environmental protection (Gerner et al., 2023) (Mukhopadhyay and Tyagi, 2016). This not only protects the health of the environment but also encourages wider

conversations about the mining industry's responsibility in the fight against climate change.

Mining practices have a big impact on biodiversity and ecosystems, and this impact is complex. There is a strong need for a change to sustainable methods that can protect and improve ecological health. The mining industry is important for economic growth and essential materials, yet it often causes habitat destruction, moves species, and harms important ecological processes ((Kokko et al., 2015)). For example, traditional mining methods can disturb local plants and animals, leading to reduced biodiversity, which negatively affects ecosystem services and people's livelihoods. This destruction disrupts the fragile balance of local ecosystems and decreases the resources that communities depend on for food, clean water, and shelter. Moreover, the mining lifecycle—which includes exploration, operation, and closure—creates serious risks, particularly for water quality, soil health, and ecosystem resilience ((Jose et al., 2024)). Water bodies can become polluted from runoff and toxins used during mining, harming aquatic life and the plants and animals that rely on these water sources. New approaches, like using circular economy principles, can help lessen these negative impacts by encouraging recycling, reducing waste, and reconsidering product life spans ((Mesquita et al., 2017)). Good reclamation plans and strong community involvement are vital for building resilience in ecosystems while complying with strict environmental rules ((Nurmi, 2017)). These approaches not only help fix the damage from mining but also allow local communities to engage in restoration efforts. In the end, using a comprehensive approach that considers the ecological effects of all mining activities is crucial for balancing resource extraction with biodiversity conservation and meeting the needs of people while protecting environmental health ((Battaia et al., 2024), (Besiktepe et al., 2019)).

Soil and water pollution issues are important in talks about sustainable mining practices, showing a strong need for good management plans that can reduce environmental damage. Heavy metals, which often come from mining, pose big risks to ecosystems and human health; studies show a concerning link between city soil pollution and negative health effects on people (Monib et al., 2024). Also, a thorough sustainable mining plan should focus on managing tailings and waste, which are often ignored, leading to severe soil and water quality problems (Kokko et al., 2015). The link between mining practices and pollution is complex, requiring a combined approach that includes community input and strong legal frameworks aimed at cleanup and restoration efforts (Mesquita et al., 2017). To create new plans that properly balance resource extraction and environmental care, it is crucial to develop a broad understanding of how contamination occurs. This understanding will help ensure a sustainable future for local communities and the health of ecosystems, urging us to rethink the long - term effects of existing mining practices and their sustainability (Zou and Lin, 2017).

Innovative Strategies for Balancing Extraction and Protection

New ways to balance mining extraction with environmental protection are really important to keep mining sustainable while also protecting nature. It's important to look closely at which strategies work best and examine the basic ideas behind the wide - ranging frameworks discussed in (Kokko et al., 2015) and (Jose et al., 2024). We need to consider strong regulations and active community involvement throughout the mining process and see how these work in different situations. Also, using new technologies for handling waste and recovering resources, as shown in (Chatterjee et al., 2018) and (Gorman and Dzombak, 2018), can improve efficiency, but we have to think about their long - term effects on the environment to avoid just moving problems around. A complete approach, suggested in (Ganguly and Pandit, 2021) and (Mesquita et al., 2017), needs good cooperation among all parties; however, it's critical to recognize and deal with any power differences that could disrupt true community involvement in decisions. By applying circular economy ideas and better transparency, mining companies can meet global sustainability goals, but they also need strict monitoring and responsibility to ensure these goals lead to real results. The push for new solutions mentioned in (Basu and Mishra, 2024) and (Nurmi, 2017) shows the mining industry's capability for managing resources responsibly and achieving sustainable growth, yet we must keep assessing these solutions against changing social and environmental standards and align them with criteria found in (Qarahasanlou et al., 2022) and (Battaia et al., 2024).

Using renewable energy in mining is very important for being sustainable and less damaging to the environment, but it also brings up big questions about how long these energy sources can last and how well they work. This change not only meets energy needs but also tackles the big problem of reducing greenhouse gas emissions, thus encouraging responsible ways to extract resources. For example, new technologies like solar panels, shown in successful case studies, demonstrate the ability to use renewable energy in remote mining areas, which helps lessen the negative effects on local environments (Zeid, 2024). Still, it's crucial to evaluate how well these technologies can scale and be dependable in different situations. Moreover, projects that promote cleaner energy use, along with getting the community involved and following environmental rules, support a more sustainable mining model (Zou and Lin, 2017) (Mesquita et al., 2017) (Kokko et al., 2015). While the mining sector faces difficulties in balancing energy efficiency with protecting the environment, it is necessary to thoroughly examine these issues to find a balance between making money and being environmentally responsible. Moving to renewable energy truly provides a way to work toward achieving Sustainable Development Goals, highlighting the importance of ongoing innovation and teamwork among all parties to ensure that these actions are fair and effective (Ganguly and Pandit, 2021) (Chatterjee et al., 2018).

Using a circular economy (CE) model in mining gives a new way to deal with waste and aims to improve sustainability through better resource use. Still, this model brings up key questions about how it can be applied in practice and if it will work well in the long run. It promotes reducing waste and recovering materials from mining but we need to think about how these actions meet global sustainability aims and

if they really create environmentally friendly results. Some research shows that CE ideas can help reduce the negative impacts of mining, but we must closely look at how effective these ideas are in real life, especially regarding resource use, new recycling methods, and including communities in decision - making (Baldassarre et al., 2024), (Serpe et al., 2024). For example, managing waste properly throughout the mining process can cut down greenhouse gas emissions and help restore ecosystems (Kokko et al., 2015), but we need to review the methods that lead to these improvements. Additionally, having strong guidelines for mine reclamation that look at both social and environmental factors is vital to gaining public support and promoting local growth (Zou and Lin, 2017), (Mesquita et al., 2017); however, we should check if these guidelines take into account the views of all involved parties. Involving stakeholders in these efforts not only improves accountability but also creates sustainable economic opportunities, showing a need for new ideas in the mining sector (Basu and Mishra, 2024), (Gorman and Dzombak, 2018). Still, it is important to assess how well stakeholder engagement strategies work to make sure they result in real participation and fair outcomes.

The use of automation and digital tools in mining is very important for improving sustainability and reducing environmental harm, but it is important to look at both the good and bad sides of these technologies. By using new technologies like artificial intelligence, data analysis, and robots, mining companies can make resource extraction better, which helps use less material and energy. Still, while using automated systems can lower greenhouse gas emissions and waste, it is vital to evaluate how these reductions are calculated and if they truly show an overall improvement in environmental sustainability. Furthermore, technologies that monitor environments in real time help manage environmental impacts better, allowing for quick responses to potential damage; however, this relies a lot on how accurate and dependable the data is. Research shows that these new methods not only improve efficiency but also support sustainability goals by encouraging resource reuse and reducing environmental effects (Creutzig et al., 2022). Yet, even with these improvements, the industry must stay alert to challenges like regulatory issues and public acceptance of automated methods, which can slow down their ability to achieve sustainable mining practices (Costa, 2022). Therefore, a careful approach that assesses the effects of these technologies is crucial for the future of the mining field.

Bioremediation and green chemistry are key parts of mining that are sustainable and help deal with rising worries about environmental damage from mining activities. Using biological agents like bacteria and plants in bioremediation is a good way to clean polluted soil and water, aiding in the recovery and health of ecosystems. It is important to look closely at how well these biological agents work in different situations, as the success of bioremediation often relies on specific environmental factors. This method supports green chemistry principles, which focus on creating processes that reduce hazardous materials and lessen environmental impact throughout mining activities. For example, using bioremediation can greatly reduce heavy metal pollution that is often seen in urban mining, as mentioned by (Monib et al., 2024). Furthermore, looking into how circular economy ideas are used in mining shows their ability to promote waste recycling and resource recovery, which can lower ecological impacts and improve sustainability efforts (Jose et al., 2024). As the mining sector faces increased demands for environmental responsibility, it is crucial to investigate how bioremediation and green chemistry work together as a promising approach to balance resource extraction with environmental care, supporting sustainable development goals in a thoughtful way (Chatterjee et al., 2018) (Ganguly and Pandit, 2021).

Community engagement and stakeholder involvement are very important for promoting good mining practices and for dealing with difficult environmental issues we see today. Good stakeholder engagement builds trust, makes things more open, and helps in making better decisions. It is important to closely look at how these processes work and how they affect different groups of stakeholders. For example, the SUMILCERE project highlights the importance of ongoing community involvement in Finland, Norway, Russia, and Sweden, aiming for a balance between growing the economy and protecting the environment (Gerner et al., 2023). However, we must ask if the current methods of participation truly meet community needs or just check regulatory boxes. Likewise, studying mining practices in the Democratic Republic of the Congo shows the need for working together to address social and economic gaps and environmental issues (Kokko et al., 2015). Including local communities in decision - making helps manage natural resources better, reduces social conflicts, and ensures fair benefits from mining operations (Chatterjee et al., 2018). Additionally, successful examples, like those mentioned in the Mining Association of Canada's guidelines, show that regular communication with communities improves compliance and operational success (Laurence et al., 2011). This highlights the need to assess how well these conversations work to reach goals. Therefore, including community engagement in mining plans is key for long - term viability and acceptance of mining projects, making sure future practices are regularly reviewed and improved based on community input and changing environmental standards (Mishr, 2023) (Śmieszek et al., 2014).

The success of policy rules and regulatory methods is important for promoting sustainable mining that balances resource extraction and environmental care; nevertheless, it is necessary to closely examine how these rules are created and carried out. Different countries have put in place various regulatory methods to improve sustainability in mining, but how well these methods work often relies on the dedication to real enforcement and continuous review of their effects. For example, in Finland, strong environmental rules and public involvement are not just formalities; they are crucial for achieving overall economic, social, and environmental sustainability, as shown by studies on the SUMILCERE projects (Zou and Lin, 2017). It is also important to assess whether these rules are applied equally and adjusted to local situations. In the same way, including the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in mining activities shows big gaps in current practices, mainly concerning socio - economic factors that go beyond just environmental issues

(Mesquita et al., 2017). Canada's regulations that require thorough Environmental Impact Assessments underline the need for careful mine planning that follows legal rules and also thoughtfully addresses ecological restoration and real community development (Kokko et al., 2015). In summary, improving governance rules and boosting local involvement are key not only for tackling environmental issues in the mining sector but also for making sure these frameworks are strong, flexible, and truly helpful for all parties involved (Ganguly and Pandit, 2021) (Chatterjee et al., 2018) (Jose et al., 2024).

3. Discussion

Studying sustainable mining practices shows a complex relationship between getting resources and protecting the environment, making it clear that a mixed approach to mining management is needed. It is important to remember, as stated by (Zou and Lin, 2017), that just encouraging cooperation among stakeholders, engaging communities, and having strong regulations is not enough by itself; we must also look at how well these methods actually work in real - life situations, particularly their impacts on the environment and local communities. Additionally, research mentioned in (Kokko et al., 2015) points out that while there is more focus on the environmental effects of mining, there is also a notable lack of understanding regarding the socio - economic aspects of sustainability. This gap makes a strong case for exploring how mining truly affects local people and ecosystems, especially the issues highlighted in (Mesquita et al., 2017). To tackle these complex challenges, new strategies should be explored, as suggested in (Ganguly and Pandit, 2021), which might not only help the mining industry align with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals but also support fair development. In the end, (Barbhuiya and Das, 2023) and (Björn et al., 2014) stress the need to weave sustainability practices throughout all stages of mining, requiring careful examination to create a more resilient and responsible resource extraction approach.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, sustainable mining practices are very important for both economic growth and caring for the environment in the mining sector. This requires a careful look at how current operations affect the environment. This review emphasizes the need to incorporate sustainability at every stage of mining, from looking for resources to after mining is finished, to reduce environmental harm while efficiently using resources (Kokko et al., 2015). Achieving this balance needs not only understanding the principles but also a commitment to seriously assess how they are applied. The literature shows that there is agreement on the need for new strategies, like the circular economy, better waste management, and involving communities, to deal with the complex effects of mining (Jose et al., 2024) (Zou and Lin, 2017). However, it is important to reflect on whether these strategies are being effectively practiced and any challenges that might arise. Additionally, rules and practices that support transparency and responsibility are necessary for achieving lasting sustainability and obtaining public support to operate (Mesquita et al., 2017) (Chatterjee et al., 2018). It has been emphasized that working together with stakeholders—including local communities, industry leaders, and government officials—is crucial for successfully applying these sustainable methods (Gorman and Dzombak, 2018). This teamwork must include ongoing discussions and flexibility to ensure that all viewpoints are included, leading to solutions that help everyone involved. Ultimately, the future of mining depends on creating thorough strategies that balance extracting resources with protecting the environment, ensuring that mineral wealth benefits society as a whole and not only provides short - term economic benefits (Qarahasanlou et al., 2022) (Alves et al., 2021).

The summary of findings on sustainable mining practices shows important information about the industry's impact on the environment and the effectiveness of rules in place. This discussion brings up doubts about current methods of sustainability and asks professionals to think about whether following existing rules is enough or if more creative solutions are needed. New strategies stress the importance of applying sustainability ideas throughout the mining process, from exploration to the restoration after operations end. This leads us to consider how these ideas can be put into practice. Research that looks at different regulations in Finland, Norway, and Sweden shows that strong environmental policies can improve community support and promote economic fairness (Kokko et al., 2015). However, we also need to think about whether these rules can be adjusted to fit other cultural and economic settings. Additionally, the analysis points out the gap between environmental and social sustainability in mining, especially in less developed countries. This observation is particularly significant when connected to literature that links mining to the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (Jose et al., 2024), raising deeper questions about how mining can align with these international aims. Innovations in technology, especially regarding resource use and waste management, are vital for modern mining operations to reduce negative effects, as shown by successful examples in Canada (Mesquita et al., 2017). This leads us to evaluate not just the technologies, but also the obstacles to their wider use. Also, adaptive management and ongoing involvement of stakeholders are crucial for achieving lasting sustainability, as noted in different reports (Ganguly and Pandit, 2021) (Chatterjee et al., 2018) (Zou and Lin, 2017). This continuous conversation raises important questions about who is being listened to and how much all stakeholders are truly part of the process. The overall results highlight a strong need for a coordinated effort to align mining practices with larger environmental protection initiatives (Blasenbauer et al., 2020) (Franks et al., 2020), pushing us to think critically about how these initiatives can be structured and applied effectively in various regions and situations.

As the mining industry faces more scrutiny about its environmental effects, future sustainable practices must focus on broad frameworks that combine social, economic, and ecological aspects. This change is not just a reaction to outside pressures; it shows an increasing awareness of the connection between different stakeholder needs and the long - term success of mining activities. The rise of various regulations and stakeholder involvement methods around the world does not only seek to balance resource extraction with

the needs of communities and ecological recovery (Zou and Lin, 2017); it also emphasizes the need for mining companies to tackle public concerns head - on and adjust to changing expectations. Additionally, while principles of the circular economy, like waste reuse and resource recovery, can notably lessen the environmental impact of the mining sector (Kokko et al., 2015), it is crucial to carefully evaluate how effective and practical these methods are in different situations. Advanced technologies and practices, such as real - time tracking of environmental effects and better management of tailings, are critical for supporting sustainable practices (Mesquita et al., 2017), but their use needs to be paired with thorough assessments of their long - term effects and possible trade - offs. Moreover, cooperation among industries, government entities, and local communities is vital not just for applying effective sustainability measurements (Ganguly and Pandit, 2021) but also for ensuring that these measurements show real progress and not just surface - level success. The ongoing development of these practices is key to aligning mining actions with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals, leading to a fairer and more environmentally responsible future that carefully weighs the benefits and challenges of sustainable mining (Qarahasanlou et al., 2022) (Vidal et al., 2013).

5. Future Research Directions

Looking at future research directions, it is very important for the mining industry to carefully look into and adopt new methods that not only improve sustainability but also address various environmental and social issues it faces. There is a strong need to explore how circular economy ideas can fit into mining practices; existing literature shows that there is a serious lack of attention to the social and economic factors that go along with environmental impacts (Onifade et al., 2024). Additionally, it is crucial to examine how different regulatory systems in various countries work, which will allow for a detailed review of best practices that can support sustainable development in different cultural settings, particularly in areas like the Kolarctic, where good governance is very important (Kokko et al., 2015). Moreover, advanced technologies—like automated waste management systems and community engagement strategies—should be seen as key research topics. This requires a careful look at how collaboration between stakeholders can help reduce the harmful effects of mining activities (Mesquita et al., 2017). Future research should focus on interdisciplinary methods to fully assess sustainability in mining operations, which can greatly aid in improving resource management (Gorman and Dzombak, 2018), while also fitting with broader global sustainability targets (Qarahasanlou et al., 2022) (Vidal et al., 2013).

Long - term monitoring is very important for better mining practices. It helps keep track of how mining affects the environment and society over time. This brings up the issue of how well these assessments lead to real changes in the mining industry. Using continuous monitoring systems can improve rehabilitation efforts, as shown by several studies that highlight the need for flexible management in mining (Kokko et al., 2015) (Jose et al., 2024). Yet, we should closely examine whether current monitoring methods truly reflect the complicated nature of ecological changes and socio - economic conditions. Long - term monitoring looks at both ecological changes and the benefits to local communities, especially when it involves engaging stakeholders and gaining community support for mining activities (Mukhopadhyay and Tyagi, 2016) (Ganguly and Pandit, 2021). To ensure effective long - term monitoring, it is important for various stakeholders—including government entities and local populations—to work together to promote transparency and responsibility in decisions that affect sustainability (Mesquita et al., 2017) (Nurmi, 2017). Additionally, research indicates that using advanced technologies like remote sensing and real - time data analysis can enhance monitoring efforts, but we must also consider any biases these technologies might create and how they affect the resilience of the environment after mining ends (Chatterjee et al., 2018) (Gorman and Dzombak, 2018) (Drake et al., 2015) (Foster et al., 2015). By encouraging ongoing communication among stakeholders, we can evaluate how well long - term monitoring efforts are working and adjust them to better meet the needs of both the environment and the community.

Economic analysis is important for checking if sustainable mining practices can work, especially where social and environmental issues are present, along with regulations. This analysis looks not just at possible economic gains but also at whether mining can really protect the environment, especially in line with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals. Studies highlight the need for involving stakeholders and strong governance to improve sustainability, as seen in the SUMILCERE project in the Kolarctic region, which addresses local community acceptance and cultural rights (Mesquita et al., 2017). Moreover, it is crucial to assess how mining affects socio - economic development while also weighing the risks of harming the environment. Research shows there are serious gaps in how we look at sustainability, stressing that we should think beyond just environmental impacts to include social and economic factors (Jose et al., 2024) (Ganguly and Pandit, 2021). By using economic frameworks that consider the entire lifecycle of minerals and circular economy principles, the mining industry can better achieve a balance between extracting resources and caring for the environment, allowing for new practices that support long - term sustainability (Zou and Lin, 2017) (Chatterjee et al., 2018). Additionally, findings from Canada indicate that careful reclamation and responsible actions can improve ecosystems and community well - being, showing the important link between economic and environmental goals (Kokko et al., 2015) (Nurmi, 2017). This connected approach is essential since it pushes us to rethink traditional economic measures and to embrace a broader view that covers all aspects of sustainability in the mining sector.

Good policy impact is important not just for improving sustainable mining practices but also for making sure environmental protection is considered as important as resource extraction. When we look at how regulations match up with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals, it becomes clear that this alignment is key for creating accountability and encouraging new ideas in the mining industry. As noted in (Chatterjee et al., 2018), combining

thorough environmental rules with strong community involvement greatly enhances mining sustainability, particularly in areas where local approval is necessary. Additionally, (Kokko et al., 2015) points out the need to deal with socio - economic aspects within the mining structure; this approach helps balance environmental sustainability and economic growth. The current changes in mining governance show the need for strong policies that enable stakeholder participation and focus on using cleaner technologies, as shown by results in (Ganguly and Pandit, 2021) and (Mesquita et al., 2017). In the end, clear and inclusive policies will be essential for promoting sustainable mining practices, lessening harmful impacts on ecosystems and local communities, while also ensuring economic feasibility and regulatory adherence, as highlighted by (Qarahasanlou et al., 2022) and (Battaia et al., 2024). This important look at policy impact helps to understand how environmental protection, community involvement, and economic strategy are connected in creating a sustainable future for mining.

Technological progress is important in changing mining practices to be more sustainable, helping with environmental issues from resource extraction. However, it is vital to carefully assess if these new technologies truly bring long - lasting sustainability or if they just provide short - term fixes. Innovations like automation, artificial intelligence, and remote sensing have greatly improved mining efficiency, cutting down on waste and reducing environmental harm; still, we must think about the possible trade - offs and negative effects of using these technologies. For example, even though self - operating machines can make resource extraction better in tough areas, we need to evaluate how this technology affects workers and local communities, in addition to its advantages of saving energy and reducing greenhouse gas emissions. Furthermore, new tools in data analysis and machine learning help with tracking environmental conditions in real - time, allowing for quick reactions to dangers and better adherence to regulations; however, we should question how effective and precise these systems are across various environments. Also, methods like hydrometallurgy and bioremediation show how mining can change to use less harmful extraction techniques, supporting a circular economy in the field, but we must seriously consider their scalability and environmental effects. As these technologies become more common, they not only lead to better operational efficiency but also align mining activities with wider goals of sustainable development and environmental responsibility, raising important questions about whether these advancements can be maintained both economically and ecologically over time.

References

[1] Ababio, B., 2023. Forest cover monitoring in Southwestern Ghana with remote sensing and GIS. doi: https: //core. ac. uk/download/560745260. pdf

[2] Creutzig, F., Acemoglu, D., Bai, X., Edwards, P. N., Hintz, M. J., Kaack, L. H., Kilkis, S., Kunkel, S., Luers, A., Dupont, N. M., Rejeski, D., Renn, J., Rolnick, D., Rosol, C., Russ, D., Turnbull, T., Verdolini, E., Wagner, F., Wilson, C., Zekar, A., Zumwald, M., 2022. Annual Review of Environmentand Resources Digitalization and the Anthropocene. doi: https: //core. ac. uk/download/541700775. pdf

[3] Alves, W., Ferreira, P., Araújo, M., 2021. Challenges and pathways for Brazilian mining sustainability. doi: https: //core. ac. uk/download/389347267. pdf

[4] Jose, S. A., Calhoun, J., Renteria, O. B., Mercado, P., Nakajima, S., Hope, C. N., Sotelo, M., & Menezes, P. L., 2024. Promoting a Circular Economy in Mining Practices. Sustainability, 16 (24), 11016. https: //doi. org/10.3390/su162411016

[5] Vidal, O., Weihed, P., Hageluken, C., Bol, D., Christmann, P., Arndt, N., 2013. ERA - MIN Research Agenda. doi: https: //core. ac. uk/download/47281455. pdf

[6] Monib, A. W., Niazi, P., Azizi, A., Sediqi, S & Baseer, A. Q., 2024. Heavy Metal Contamination in Urban Soils: Health Impacts on Humans and Plants: A Review. European Journal of Theoretical and Applied Sciences, 2 (1), 546 - 565. DOI: 10.59324/ejtas.2024.2 (1).48 https: //core. ac. uk/download/47281455. pdf

[7] Baldassarre, G., Marini, P., 2024. Mine Waste as a possible source of Strategic and Critical Raw Materials: Advancing the Knowledge for some Case Studies in Italy. doi: https: //core. ac. uk/download/616993802. pdf

[8] Śmieszek, M., Banul, K., Stępień, A., Kankaanpää, P., Koivurova, T., Lesser, P., 2014. Assessments in Policy - Making: Case Studies from the Arctic Council. doi: https: //core. ac. uk/download/30084145. pdf

[9] Barbhuiyaa, S., Das, B. B., 2023. Life Cycle Assessment of construction materials: Methodologies, applications and future directions for sustainable decision - making. doi: https: //core. ac. uk/download/578728588. pdf

[10] Qarahasanlou, A. N., Khanzadeh, D., Shahabi, R. S., Basiri, M. H., 2022. Introducing sustainable development and reviewing environmental sustainability in the mining industry. The Mining - Geology - Petroleum Engineering Bulletin, doi: 10.17794/rgn.2022.4.8 https: //core. ac. uk/download/541412290. pdf

[11] Foster, S., Tyson, G., Ferguson, G. A. G., Younger, P. L., Bath, A., Evans, R., Scanlon, B. R., Lakshmanan, E.,

[12] 2015. The Energy Sector and Groundwater. doi: https: //core. ac. uk/download/42364785. pdf and (PDF) The Energy Sector and Groundwater

[13] Battaia, O., Oloruntoba, R., Guillaume, R., 2024. Preventing Adverse Environmental And Social Outcomes In Sustainable Value Chains In Nickel Extraction And Refining: Possible Approaches From The Literature. doi: https: //core. ac. uk/download/614388039. pdf

[14] Besiktepe, S., Boeuf, G., Coll, M., Soto, C. G., Horsburgh, K., Kopp, H., Malfatti, F., Mariani, P., Lück, N. M., Mees, J., Pinheiro, L. M., Lacroix, D., Tissier, M. L., Paterson, D. M., Schernewski, G., Thébaud, O., Vandegehuchte, M. B., Villasante, S., Visbeck, M., Węsławski, J. M., 2019. Navigating the Future V: Marine Science for a Sustainable Future. doi: https: //core. ac. uk/download/237686004. pdf

[15] Chatterjee, B., Mishra, A., Ganguly, M., 2018. Sustainable Mining in India. doi: https: //cuts - citee.

org/pdf/Sustainable_Mining_in_India - Overview_of_legal_and_regulatory_framework_technologies_and_best_process_practices. pdf

[16] Serpe, A., Garelick, H., Purchase, D., Kumar, A., Bisschop, L., Chatterjee, D., Gioannis, G. D., Peijnenburg, W. J. G. M., Piro, V. M. I., Cera, M., Shevahi, Y., Verbeekd, S., 2024.2002–2022: 20 years of e - waste regulation in the European Union and the worldwide trends in legislation and innovation technologies for a circular economy. doi: https: //core. ac. uk/download/628414338. pdf

[17] Blasenbauer, D., Bogush, A., Carvalho, T., Cleall, P., Cormio, C., Guglietta, D., Fellner, J., Fernández - Alonso, M., Aßbichler, S. H., Huber, F., Kral, U., Kriipsalu, M., Krook, J., Laner, D., Lederer, J., Lemière, B., Liu, G., Mao, R., Mueller, S., Quina, M., Sinnett, D., Stegemann, J., Syc, M., Szabó, K., Werner, T. T., Wille, E., Winterstetter, A., Žibret, G., 2020. Knowledge base to facilitate anthropogenic resource assessment. Deliverable of COST Action Mining the European Anthroposphere. DOI: http: //dx. doi. org/10.5281/zenodo.3739164 and https: //core. ac. uk/download/323060449. pdf

[18] Musando, A. A., Cáceres, F., 2023. Best Practices in Mine Closure: A Case Study of Cultural Ecosystem Services in the Kenyan Mining Sector. doi: https: //core. ac. uk/download/595383120. pdf

[19] Costa, F. D. S., 2022. Data science for industry 4.0 and sustainability: a survey and analysis based on open data. doi: https: //core. ac. uk/download/541042155. pdf

[20] Zou, D. H. S., Lin, C., 2017. Sustainable Mining - a Case Study in Canadian Practice. Geo - Resources Environment and Engineering. doi: https: //www.researchgate. net/publication/317967431_Sustainable_Mining_ - _a_Case_Study_in_Canadian_Practice

[21] Björn, D., Watt, L. M. V. D., Jagodziński, K., Kankaanpää, P., 2014. European Arctic Initiatives Compendium. Preparatory Action, Strategic Environmental Impact Assessment of development of the Arctic. Arctic Centre, University of Lapland doi: https: //core. ac. uk/download/30084143. pdf

[22] Laurence, D., Stamford, C., Barry, S., Oosting, N., Andryszczak, H., Fawcett, M., McCombe, C., McLean, R., Jones, D., 2011. A Guide to Leading Practice Sustainable Development in Mining. doi: https: //www.industry. gov. au/sites/default/files/2019 - 04/lpsdp - a - guide - to - leading - practice - sustainable - development - in - mining - handbook - english. pdf

[23] Basu, D., Mishra, S., 2024. Mine reclamation practices and effects of stakeholder perception — a case study of Saoner mines, Maharashtra, India. J. Eng. Appl. Sci.71, 62 (2024). https: //doi. org/10.1186/s44147 - 024 - 00393 - y

[24] Drake, J. A., Greene, R. S. B., Macdonald, B. C. T., Field, J. B., Pearson, G. L., 2015. A review of landscape rehabilitation frameworks in ecosystem engineering for mine closure. doi: https: //core. ac. uk/download/156620836. pdf

[25] Franks, D. M., Tonda, E., Kariuki, A., Keenan, J., 2020. Discussion Paper for Regional Consultations on the Implementation of the United Nations Environment Assembly Resolution on Mineral Resource Governance (UNEP/EA.4/Res.19). doi: https: //core. ac. uk/download/328926432. pdf

[26] Goudouva, G., Loizia, P., Inglezakis, V., Zorpas, A. A., 2018. Quarries environmental footprint in the framework of sustainable development: the case study of Milos Island, Desalination and Water Treatment, Volume 133, 2018, Pages 307 - 314, ISSN 1944 - 3986, https: //doi. org/10.5004/dwt.2018.23087.

[27] Kokko, K., Buanes, A., Koivurova, T., Masloboev, V., Pettersson, M., 2015. Sustainable mining, local communities and environmental regulation. Volume (Vol 2, Issue 1), 50 - 81. Barents Studies: Peoples, Economies and Politics. doi: (PDF) Sustainable mining, local communities and environmental regulation

[28] Mukhopadhyay, M. K., Tyagi, A. K., 2016. Sustainable Development Framework for Mining Industry in India: A Pragmatic Approach. Volume (Vol 46). Advances in Environmental Research. doi:

[29] (PDF) Sustainable Development Framework for Mining Industry in India - A Pragmatic Approach

[30] Ganguly, M., Pandit, A., 2021. Sustainable Development in Mining Industry in India. doi: (PDF) SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT IN MINING INDUSTRY IN INDIA

[31] Gorman, M. R., Dzombak, D. A., 2018. A review of sustainable mining and resource management: Transitioning from the life cycle of the mine to the life cycle of the mineral, Resources, Conservation and Recycling, Volume 137, 2018,

[32] Pages 281 - 291, ISSN 0921 - 3449, https: //doi. org/10.1016/j. resconrec.2018.06.001.

[33] Mishr, R. S., 2023. Assessing policy and governance aspects for the implementation of Nature - based Solutions (NBS) to manage the Water - Energy - Food - Ecosystem (WEFE) nexus in a Mediterranean watershed. doi: https: //core. ac. uk/download/596365482. pdf

[34] Onifade, M., Zvarivadza, T., Adebisi, J. A., Said, K. O., Olupona, O. D., Lawal, A. I., Khandelwal, M., Advancing toward sustainability: The emergence of green mining technologies and practices, Green and Smart Mining Engineering, Volume 1, Issue 2, 2024, Pages 157 - 174, ISSN 2950 - 5550, https: //doi. org/10.1016/j. gsme.2024.05.005.

[35] Gerner, N. V., Jessen, G. L., Barbosa, P. M., Pujoni, D., Nagabhatla, N., Atibu, E. K., Deberdt, R., Kayumba, D., Lombaerde, P. D., Martin, K. W., 2023. Supporting Sustainable Mining Practices and Pathways in the Congo Region. doi: (PDF) Supporting Sustainable Mining Practices and Pathways in the Congo Region

[36] Nurmi, P. A., 2017. Green Mining - A Holistic Concept for Sustainable and Acceptable Mineral Production. Volume (Vol 60, Fast Track 7). Annals of Geophysics. doi: (PDF) Green Mining - A Holistic Concept for Sustainable and Acceptable Mineral Production

[37] Mesquita, R. F. D., Xavier, A., Klein, B., Matos, F. R. N., 2017. Mining and the Sustainable Development Goals: A Systematic Literature Review. Geo - Resources Environment and Engineering. doi: (PDF)

Mining and the Sustainable Development Goals: A Systematic Literature Review
[38] Georgieva, I. S., 2015. The competitiveness of cluster “Srednogorie med”: preconditions and limitations. doi: (PDF) THE COMPETIVMESS OF CLUSTER "SREDNOGORIE MED": PRECONDITIONS AND LIMITATIONS
[39] Zeid, N. T., 2024. Challenges of Integrating Renewable Energy in Land Use. doi: https: //core. ac. uk/download/617932018. pdf