Determinants of PAP Smear Testing among Women Attending Primary Health Care Centers. A study in Hilla City / Babil Governorate / Iraq 2015

Dr. Sawsan Hussein Hashim¹, Dr. Ali Hussein Imran²

Babylon Health Directorate

Abstract: This cross-sectional study assessed determinants of Pap smear uptake among 385 women aged 20–64 attending primary health centers in Hilla City, Iraq. Despite 86% awareness of cervical cancer, only 52% knew about Pap smears, with just 6% having ever undergone screening. Key barriers included fear (72.2%), embarrassment (70%), lack of provider recommendations (30.1%), and misconceptions (e.g., "no symptoms, no need"). Higher education and income correlated with better knowledge, while married and employed women had more positive attitudes. Most (97.4%) preferred female providers. Findings highlight critical gaps in knowledge, cultural barriers, and systemic weaknesses in healthcare promotion. Targeted education, provider engagement, and gender-sensitive services are urgently needed to improve screening rates in Iraq.

Keywords: cervical cancer, Pap smear, screening barriers, women's health, Iraq

1. Introduction

Cervical cancer is a significant global health concern, particularly for women over 30, yet it is preventable through regular screening and early detection (1). The primary cause, human papillomavirus (HPV), is a sexually transmitted infection, making cervical cancer highly preventable with timely interventions (1). Despite this, cervical cancer remains a "silent killer" in many developing countries, because symptoms typically manifest in advanced stages, leaving women unaware until treatment options are limited (2). Screening programs, such as Pap smears, can reduce cervical cancer incidence by up to 90% where coverage and quality are high (3). However, disparities persist: while 40-50% of women in developed countries undergo screening, only about 5% do so in developing nations (4). In Iraq, particularly Babil Governorate, determinants of screening participation remain understudied, necessitating this investigation.

The cervix, connecting the uterus to the vagina, is where most cervical cancers originate, typically at the junction of the endocervix and ectocervix (5). Cervical cancer develops from precancerous dysplasia, which may progress if untreated (5). Squamous cell carcinoma (80-90% of cases) and adenocarcinoma are the main types, with the former linked to HPV-induced cellular changes (5). Globally, cervical cancer is the fourth most common female cancer, with over 527,000 new cases in 2012, disproportionately affecting low-resource regions (6). Incidence peaks at ages 30-34 and 80-84, reflecting HPV exposure and reduced immune function in older age (7, 8). In Iraq, incidence is relatively low (1.7 per 100,000), yet late-stage diagnosis is common, with 43% of cases occurring in women under 45 (5, 9). A WHO pilot study in Iraq highlighted that combining colposcopy, cytology, and HPV testing improves detection, but screening uptake remains inadequate (10).

Risk factors include early sexual activity, multiple partners, high parity, smoking, and immunosuppression (11). Persistent HPV infection (especially strains 16 and 18) is the primary cause, present in nearly all cervical cancers (5, 12).

Vaccination against HPV, recommended before sexual debut, offers significant prevention potential (13, 14). Countries like Italy have implemented free HPV vaccination for girls aged 12, yet vaccine uptake in many regions remains low (115).

The Pap smear, developed by George Papanicolaou, revolutionized cervical cancer prevention by detecting precancerous changes (16, 17). Organized screening programs in countries like Canada and Sweden have drastically reduced cervical cancer rates (18, 19). However, in developing nations, programs often fail due to logistical, financial, and sociocultural barriers (14). For instance, in Africa and parts of Asia, low awareness, economic constraints, and lack of healthcare access hinder screening (20, 21). Studies in Tanzania, India, and South Africa reveal that knowledge gaps and misconceptions—such as fear of pain or embarrassment—deter women from screening (22, 23, 24). Even among healthcare workers, awareness is often insufficient (25).

In the Middle East, cultural and structural barriers further complicate screening efforts. In Qatar, while 76% of women knew about Pap smears, practice was low among younger, less-educated women (26). Similarly, in Kuwait and Jordan, lack of physician recommendations and fear of results were major deterrents (27, 28). Iraqi immigrant women in Malaysia exhibited poor awareness, underscoring the need for targeted education (29). In Iraq, a 2012 screening pilot in Hilla faced administrative challenges, limiting implementation to highrisk women in select hospitals.

This study aims to identify barriers to Pap smear screening among women in Hilla, assessing knowledge, attitudes, and practices while exploring sociodemographic influences. Findings will inform policymakers on expanding screening programs to reduce cervical cancer morbidity and mortality in Babil Governorate.

Volume 14 Issue 4, April 2025 Fully Refereed | Open Access | Double Blind Peer Reviewed Journal www.ijsr.net

2. Methodology

2.1 Study Design and Setting

This study employed a cross-sectional survey design to assess the determinants of Pap smear testing among women in Hilla City, Babil Governorate, Iraq. A total of 385 women aged 20– 64 years were recruited from five randomly selected Primary Health Care Centers (PHCCs)

2.2 Study Population

Women aged 20–64 years attending selected PHCCs were included if they met the criteria:

- Married or previously married.
- Hilla residents.
- No speech/hearing impairments or cancer history.

2.3 Data Collection

A structured **Questionnaire** tool adapted from prior studies [(24, 26,30, 31, 32–34)] included four sections:

- **Socio-demographics:** Age, education, income, marital status, parity, contraception, smoking, family cancer history.
- **Knowledge:** Cervical cancer/Pap smear awareness, risk factors, screening intervals.
- Attitude: Health Belief Model (HBM) constructs: *perceived susceptibility, severity, benefits, barriers* [(35, 36–38)].
- **Practice:** Screening participation and behaviors.

2.4 Operational Definitions

- Knowledge: Understanding of cervical cancer screening (<60=poor, 60−80=moderate, ≥80=good) [(33)].
- Attitude: HBM-based Likert scales. *Barriers* were inversely scored.
- **Practice:** Self-reported screening behaviors.
- Smoking Status: Defined per CDC criteria [(36)].

2.5 Statistical Analysis

The collected data were analyzed using SPSS version 21. Descriptive statistics, including frequencies and graphical representations were used. Independent samples *t*-tests and analysis of variance (ANOVA) were employed to compare mean differences between groups for continuous variables, while chi-square tests assessed associations between categorical variables. Statistical significance was set at $p \leq 0.05$ for all tests.

2.6 Ethical Considerations

Written informed consent was obtained from all participants, clarifying the study's purpose, voluntary participation, and the right to withdraw at any stage. all collected data were anonymized and used exclusively for research purposes.

3. Results

1) Descriptive Statistics

- a) Socio-Demographic Characteristics
- Education: Nearly half (47.8%) had primary education, while 23.9% had higher education.
- Marital Status: Most were married (92.7%).
- **Employment**: 76.1% were unemployed (housewives).
- **Income**: Only 16.4% reported sufficient monthly income.
- Age at Marriage: 72.5% married at ≥ 18 years.
- Smoking: 97.9% were non-smokers.

Table 1: Socio-Demographic Characteristics (n	n=385)
---	--------

Variable	Category	N	(%)
Education Level	Illiterate	65	16.9
	Primary	184	47.8
	Secondary	44	11.4
	Higher	92	23.9
Marital Status	Married	357	92.7
	Divorced/Widowed	28	7.3
Monthly Income (IQD)	Not enough	158	41.0
	Marginal	164	42.6
	Enough	63	16.4

b) Gynecological/Obstetric History

- **Parity**: 42.6% had ≥ 4 children.
- **Contraception**: 45.5% never used any method; 35.3% used hormonal contraception.
- **Family History of Cancer**: 24.9% reported a positive history.

 Table 2: Gynecological-Obstetric Characteristics (n=385)

Variable	Category	Ν	%
	0	19	4.9
Parity	1–3	202	52.5
	≥4	164	42.6
Contraception Use	None	175	45.5
	Hormonal	136	35.3

- c) Continuous Variables
- Mean age: 35.59 ± 10.53 years.
- Marriage duration: 14.03 ± 11.03 years.
- Last gynecologist visit: 2.09 ± 3.36 years.

Table 3: Continuous Variables (Mean \pm SD)

Variable	$Mean \pm SD$	Range
Age (years)	35.59 ± 10.53	20-62
Marriage duration (years)	14.03 ± 11.03	1-45

2) Knowledge About Cervical Cancer and Pap Smear

- Awareness: Only 52% had heard of Pap smear; 14% were unaware of cervical cancer.
- Source of Knowledge: 42.2% learned from friends/relatives; 26.6% from healthcare providers (Figure 1.
- Knowledge Score: Mean score: 41.9 ± 22.94 (range: 8.33–91.63). 72.4% had poor knowledge.

Volume 14 Issue 4, April 2025 Fully Refereed | Open Access | Double Blind Peer Reviewed Journal

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN: 2319-7064 Impact Factor 2024: 7.101



Figure 1: Distribution of the sample according to the participant's source of hearing about Pap smear

a) Key Knowledge Gaps

- Only 13.6% knew polygamy was a risk factor.
- 91% correctly linked early detection to better outcomes.
- 25.1% knew the recommended age for first Pap smear. **Table 4:** Correct Responses to Knowledge Questions

(n=199)	
Question	Correct Answer (%)
"Polygamy is a risk factor for cervical cancer."	13.6%
"Early detection improves treatment outcomes."	91.0%

b) Factors Associated with Knowledge

- Significant predictors: Higher education (p=0.004), sufficient income (p=0.000), marriage age $\geq 18 (p=0.04)$.
- Non-significant: Age (p=0.39), smoking (p=0.488).

Table 5: Knowledge Score by Education Level		
Education	Mean Score \pm SD	p-value
Illiterate	28.6 ± 20.71	0.004
Higher Education	48.8 ± 24.83	

c) Attitude Toward Pap Smear

- Mean Attitude Score: 64.25 ± 10.42 (range: 41.17 88.20).
- Positive Attitude: Only 9.6%.
- Perceived Barriers: 63.1% feared pain; 70.1% found the test embarrassing.
- Attitude Predictors
 - Higher scores: Married women (p=0.006), employed (p=0.04), higher education (p=0.013).
 - Lower scores: Women married to polygamous men (p=0.032).

d) Practice/Behavior

- Pap Smear Uptake: Only 6% had ever undergone testing.
- Reasons for Non-Uptake: "No symptoms" (44.9%), "No doctor's recommendation" (30.1%).



Figure 2: Reasons for Not Undergoing Pap Smear Test (n=362)

Volume 14 Issue 4, April 2025 Fully Refereed | Open Access | Double Blind Peer Reviewed Journal www.ijsr.net

Preferences

- Preferred Venue: PHCCs (46%).
- Doctor's Gender: 97.4% preferred female providers.

3) Regression Analysis

a) Predictors of Knowledge

- Significant: Age (*p*=0.004), education (*p*=0.009), miscarriages (*p*=0.007).
- Adjusted R²: 13% (*p*=0.000).

b) Predictors of Attitude

- Significant: Education (*p*=0.05), family cancer history (*p*=0.04).
- Adjusted R²: 41% (*p*=0.001).

Table 6: Multiple Linear Regression for Knowledge

Variable	Standardized β	p-value
Age	-0.271	0.004
Education Level	0.214	0.009

4. Discussion

High coverage of women at risk for cervical cancer is critical for successful screening programs (39). Despite the Pap smear's effectiveness, its utilization remains low, especially in primary care settings (28). Screening adherence depends on healthcare systems, providers, and women's awareness.

1) Socio-Demographic Characteristics

- a) Age and Education: Two-thirds of participants were illiterate or had primary education—higher than rates in Qatar (26), Turkey (40), and Iran (41) but lower than China (42). Unemployment was high (75%), potentially limiting exposure to health information.
- b) Employment: Unemployed women predominated, contrasting with higher employment rates in Qatar (26), Turkey (40), and Kuwait (28).

2) Knowledge

- a) Awareness: 86% knew about cervical cancer (higher than India (43) and Congo (44)), but only 52% knew about Pap smears (lower than India, Saudi Arabia (45), and Kuwait (64)).
- b) Information Sources: Friends/relatives (42.2%) were the primary source, followed by media (31.2%) and healthcare providers (26.6%), reflecting weak institutional health promotion.
- c) Risk Factors: Hormonal contraception (43.7%) and smoking (39.2%) were most recognized, but knowledge of polygamy (13.6%) and early marriage (21.6%) was poor.
- d) Screening Awareness: 54.3% knew Pap smears detect cancer early—lower than Qatar (26) but higher than Kuwait (28). Only 13.1% knew the correct screening frequency.

3) Determinants of Knowledge:

- Age/Education: Younger and more educated women scored higher (consistent with Qatar (26) and Brazil (46)).
- b) Income/Parity: Higher income and parity 1–2 correlated with better knowledge.

c) Healthcare Engagement: No association with marital/employment status, but miscarriage history improved knowledge.

4) Attitude

- a) Perceived Susceptibility/Severity: Low perceived risk (18.4% felt vulnerable; 12.5% acknowledged risk). Severity awareness was moderate (half believed cervical cancer was curable).
- b) Barriers: Fear (72.2%), embarrassment (70%), and pain (63%) deterred participation, aligning with Turkish (40) and Kuwaiti (28) studies.

5) Determinants of Attitude:

- a) Education/Marital Status: Higher education and marriage improved attitudes.
- b) Employment/Family History: Working women and those with cancer family history had better attitudes.

6) Practice/Behavior

- a) Screening Rates: Only 5.5% had ever undergone a Pap smear—far lower than Jordan (27), Kuwait (28), and Brazil (46).
- b) Barriers:
 - No symptoms (44.9%) was the top reason.
 - Lack of provider recommendation (30.1%) highlighted systemic gaps.
 - Fear of pain/embarrassment (12%) also contributed.
- c) Preferences: 83% were willing to screen nationally; 97.4% preferred female providers.

5. Limitations

- a) Cross-Sectional Design: Causality cannot be inferred.
- b) Self-Reported Data: Potential bias in attitude/knowledge measurements.

6. Conclusion

Low screening rates stem from poor knowledge, negative attitudes, and systemic barriers (e.g., lack of provider recommendations). Targeted education, provider engagement, and addressing cultural fears (e.g., embarrassment) are essential for improving screening uptake in Iraq.

References

- [1] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Publication, 99-9123, Revised July 2012.
- [2] Napolitano M, Schonman E, Mpango E, Isdori G. Case Study #3-14, "Cervical cancer and its impact on the burden of disease". In: Per Pinstrup-Andersen and Fuzhi Cheng (editors), "Food Policy for Developing Countries 2012: Case Studies."16pp. Downloaded from: http://cip.cornell.edu/dns.gfs/1343074824 accessed on July 20, 2015.
- [3] Alliance for Cervical Cancer Prevention (ACCP). Cervical Cancer Prevention. Key steps for meeting women's needs. Fact sheet; 2002.
- [4] Musmar S. Pattern and factors affecting Pap smear test in Nablus, a retrospective study. Middle East Journal of

Volume 14 Issue 4, April 2025

Fully Refereed | Open Access | Double Blind Peer Reviewed Journal

www.ijsr.net

Family Medicine, 2004, 4(4) downloaded from (http://mejfm.com/journal/MEJFM), accessed on September 2014.

- [5] USAID, Primary Health Care Project, Guidelines for Early Detection and Periodic Screening of Breast and Cervical Cancers in Primary Health Care Settings in Iraq, 2013.
- [6] Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Ervik M, Dikshit R, Eser S, Mathers C, et al.GLOBOCAN 2012 v1.0, Cancer Incidence and Mortality Worldwide: IARC Cancer Base No. 11 Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2013. Available from: http://globocan.iarc.fr. Accessed on June 2015.
- [7] Foley G, Alston R, Geraci M, Brabin L, Kitchener H, Birch J. Increasing rates of cervical cancer in young women in England: an analysis of national data 1982-2006. British journal of cancer. 2011; 105 (1):177-184.
- [8] Tripp J, Viner R. Sexual health, contraception, and teenage pregnancy (link is external). BMJ 2005; 330 (7491):590–593.
- [9] ICO Information Centre on HPV and Cancer. Human Papillomavirus and Related Cancers, Fact Sheet 2016, Iraq, Available at: http://hpvcentre.net/statistics/reports/IRQ_FS.pdf accessed on April 2016.
- [10] Alwan N, Al-Attar W, Eliessa R, Madfaie Z, Tawfeeq F. Knowledge, attitude and practice regarding breast cancer and breast self-examination among a sample of the educated population in Iraq. East Mediterr Health J, 2012; 18(4):337-45. Available at: http://www.bccru.uobaghdad.edu.iq Accessed on January 2015.
- [11] Kahn J, Goodman E, Slap G, Huang B, Emans S. Predictors of Pap smear return in a hospital-based adolescent and young adult clinic. Obstetrics and Gynecology 2003:101:490-9.
- [12] Gharoro EP, Ikeanyi EN. An appraisal of the level of awareness and utilization of the Pap smear as a cervical cancer screening test among female health workers in a tertiary health institution. International Journal of Gynecology Cancer 2006; 16:1063-1068.
- [13] Clifford G, Franceschi S, Diaz M, Muñoz N, Villa LL, HPV type-distribution in women with and without cervical neoplastic diseases, vaccine, 2006; 24(3): S26– S34.
- [14] Sankarnarayan R, Budukh AM, Rajkumar R. Effective screening program for cervical cancer in low- and middle- income developing countries. Bulletin of the World Health Organization, 2001, 79:954-962.
- [15] Giuseppe G, Abbate R, Liguori G, Albano L, Angelillo IF, Human papilloma virus and vaccination: knowledge, attitudes, and behavioral intention in adolescents and young women in Italy, British Journal of Cancer. 2008 Jul 22;99(2):225-9
- [16] Anand A, Singh V, Anand, K. Medical philately (medical personalities on stamps)- George Nicholas Papanicolaou. Journal of the Association of Physicians of India, 2005; 53, 126.
- [17] Vilos, G. The history of the Papanicolaou smear and the odyssey of George and Andromache Papanicolaou. Obstetrics and Gynecology, 1998; 91(3), 479-483.
- [18] Sigurdsson K. Effect of organized screening on the risk of cervical cancer. Evaluation of screening activity in

Iceland, 1964-1991. International Journal of Cancer, 1993; 54, 563-

- [19] 570(1993. Lyimo F, Beran T, Demographic, knowledge, attitudinal, and accessibility factors associated with uptake of cervical cancer screening among women in a rural district of Tanzania: Three public policy implications, BMC Public Health 2012, 12:22.
- [20] Saha A, Chaudhury AN, Bhowmik P, Chatterjee R. Awareness of cervical cancer Among Female Students of Premier Colleges in Kolkata, India. Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention, 2010; 11(4):1085-90.
- [21] Sigurdsson K. The Icelandic and Nordic cervical screening programs: trends in incidence and mortality through 1995.Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand, 1999; 78:478-485.
- [22] Chirenje ZM, Rusakaniko S, Kirumbi L Edward W. Tlebere P, Kaggwa S, et al. Situational analysis of cervical cancer diagnosis and treatment in East, central and South African countries. Bulletin of the World Health organization.2001; 79 (2):127-132.
- [23] Alliance for Cervical Cancer Prevention. Improving screening coverage rates of cervical cancer prevention programs: A focus on communities. Seattle: ACCP; 2004.Cervical Cancer Prevention issues in Depth, No.4.
- [24] Muhammad E. Cervical Cancer Awareness and Preventive Behavior among Female University Students in South Africa. Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention, 2010; 11, 127-130.
- [25] Tran NT, Taylor R, Choe SI, Pyo HS, Kim OS, So HC. Knowledge, Attitude and Practice (KAP) Concerning Cervical Cancer and Screening among Rural and Urban Female Healthcare Practitioners in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea. Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention, 2011; 12(11):3023-8.
- [26] Al-Meer F, Aseel M, Al-Khalaf J, Al-Kuwari M. Ismail M. Knowledge, attitude and practices regarding cervical cancer and screening among women visiting primary health care in Qatar, Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal. 2011; 17 (11) 855-861.
- [27] Amarin Z, Badria L, Obeidat B. Attitudes and beliefs about cervical smear testing in ever-married Jordanian women, Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal, 2008, 14, (2),389-397.
- [28] Al Sairafi M, Mohamed F. Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practice Related to Cervical Cancer Screening among Kuwaiti Women. Med Princ Pract 2009; 18:35–42. Downloaded from http://www.karger.com/article/pdf/163044, accessed on March 2015.
- [29] Osman M, Al-Naggar R, Taha B. Knowledge and awareness of cervical cancer screening among Iraqi immigrant women Living in Malaysia. World Journal of Medical Sciences 2013; 8 (2): 123-129.
- [30] Al-Naggar RA, Chen R. Practice and Barriers towards Pap smear Test from a Public Hospital in Malaysia. J Community Med Health Edu, 2012; 2 (3):1-7.
- [31] Aynur U, Aylin B. Knowledge about Cervical Cancer Risk Factors and Pap Testing Behaviour among Turkish Women. Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention, 2009; 10, 345-350.
- [32] Nakalevu S. The knowledge, attitude, practice and behavior of women towards cervical cancer and Pap smear screening: A Cross Sectional Study in the Rewa

Volume 14 Issue 4, April 2025

Fully Refereed | Open Access | Double Blind Peer Reviewed Journal

<u>www.ijsr.net</u>

Subdivision. Downloaded from http://www.pacifichealthvoices.org/files accessed on September 2014.

- [33] John J. The knowledge, Attitude, Practice and Perceived Barriers towards Screening for Premalignant Cervical Lesions among Women Aged 18 years and above, in Songea Urban, Ruvuma. Master's thesis, Muhimbili University of Health and Allied Sciences, 2011, Downloaded from http://ihi.eprints.org/962/ accessed on September 2014.
- [34] Michael A. Knowledge and utilization of cervical cancer screening amongst women of child bearing age in Ajah, itiosa local government area of Lagos state, 2012 downloaded from http://havilahhospital.com/mphthesis.pdf accessed on September 2014.
- [35] Strecher, V. Rosenstock, I. The health belief model. Cambridge handbook of psychology, health and medicine, 1997; 113-117.
- [36] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Statespecific secondhand smoke exposure and current cigarette smoking among adults—United States, 2008. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2009; 58:1232–5.
- [37] Rosenstock IM. Historical origins of the Health Belief Model. Health Educ Monogr 1974; 2: 328-335.
- [38] Gillam SJ. Understanding the uptake of cervical cancer screening: the contribution of the health belief model. Br J Gen Pract. 1991; 41(353): 510–513.
- [39] Amarin Z, Badria L, Obeidat B. Attitudes and beliefs about cervical smear testing in ever-married Jordanian women, Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal, 2008, 14, (2),389-397.
- [40] Reis N, Bebis H, Kose S, Sis A, Engin R, and Yavan T, Knowledge, Behavior, and Beliefs for Cervical Cancer and Screening in Turkey; Asian Pacific J Cancer Prev, , 2012, 13, 1463-1470.
- [41] Bahri N, Jajvandian R, Bolandhemmat M, Mirzaiinajmabadi KH, Knowledge, Attitude and Practice about Pap Smear Test among Women Living in Bojnourd, North East of Iran, Asian Pac J Cancer Prev, 2015; 16 (5), 2013-2018.
- [42] Jia Y, Li S, Yang R, Zhou H, Xiang Q, Hu T, et al. Knowledge about Cervical Cancer and Barriers of Screening Program among Women in Wufeng County, a High-Incidence Region of Cervical Cancer in China. journal.pone PLOS ONE 2013; 8 (7): e67005.
- [43] Dhivya B, Balakrishnan P. R."Cervical Cancer Screening: Knowledge, Attitude and Practices in a Primary Health Centres in Rural India". Journal of Evidence based Medicine and Healthcare 2015; 2 (31): 4530-4539.
- [44] Ali-Risasi C, Mulumba P, Verdonck K, Broeck DV Praet M. Knowledge, attitude and practice about cancer of the uterine cervix among women living in Kinshasa, the Democratic Republic of Congo. BMC Women's Health 2014; 14:30.
- [45] Khalid H. Attitudes, knowledge, and practices in relation to cervical cancer and its screening among women in Saudi Arabia. Saudi Med J 2009; 30 (9), 1208-1212.
- [46] Albuquerque C, Costa M, Nunes F, Freitas R, Azevedo p. Knowledge, attitudes and practices regarding the Pap test among women in northeastern Brazil, Sao Paulo

Volume 14 Issue 4, April 2025 Fully Refereed | Open Access | Double Blind Peer Reviewed Journal www.ijsr.net

Med J. 2014; 132(1):3-9.