Arrow Shot Injuries: Experience in a Referral Centre in North Eastern Nigeria
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Abstract: Background: Arrows are one of the most common weapons in sub-Saharan Africa readily deployed in conflicts. Injuries from arrow shots are underreported though conflicts are being seen increasingly. Aim: To review the incidence and propose guiding principles for arrow retrieval procedures. Patients and Methods: The study is a prospective review of all patients with arrow shot injuries managed at the General Sani Abacha Specialist Hospital Damaturu, Yobe State, north eastern Nigeria between January 2012 and December 2013. Result: Thirty-three patients comprising 31 males and 2 females were studied. The male to female ratio was 15:1 and the mean age was 27.3 ±SD 14.8 (range 3-60 years). The peak age of incidence was 10-19 (27.3%). Twenty-seven (81.8%) patients were aged less than 40 years. The main reasons for attacks were conflicts between farmers and herdsmen 17 (51.5%) and cattle rustling 7 (21.2%). A total of 59 arrows were removed all of which were non-poisonous. Seventeen patients had multiple arrow shots with 4 arrow shots as the maximum in one patient. The trunk (21.21%), limbs 17 (28.8%) and head and neck 9 (15.3%) were the main sites affected. Arrow extraction and wound debridement, extraction with thoracostomy tube drainage and laparotomy with visceral repair were the main operative procedures performed. There was no mortality and the mean hospital stay was 13 days. Conclusion: Arrow shot injuries still exist in developing societies and pose considerable challenges in their management; however with adequate resuscitation and adherence to the proposed principles for extraction the outcome is good.
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1. Introduction

Arrow injuries are generally rare globally but there are reports of such injuries in the highlands of Papua New Guinea, South Africa and India1-3. Arrows are low velocity projectiles and from a close proximity can cause penetrating trauma similar to a low powered handgun. The arrow is made up of a metallic tip which is edged and barbed, mounted on a wooden shaft to be propelled from a bow when fired. Poisons are usually applied to the metallic portion in the hunting arrows, and the barbs keep them in the tissue after penetration. Injuries may range from non fatal soft tissue, to life threatening when vital organs are involved. This study reviewed arrow shot injuries in a referral centre and proposes principles for the extraction of arrows.

2. Patiences and Methods

The study was a prospective review of all patients with arrow shot injuries managed at the General Sani Abacha Specialist Hospital Damaturu, Yobe State, north eastern Nigeria between January 2012 and December 2013. Data were extracted from clinical notes and analysed using SPSS version 16. Informed consents were obtained from the patients and permission for the study was granted by the Hospital management. The initial management involved resuscitation with intravenous fluids, antibiotics (ceftriaxone and metronidazole), tetanus toxoid, blood transfusion where indicated, and analgesics. Investigations done included packed cell volume, urinalysis, blood chemistry, x –ray and ultrasound scan where applicable. Prophylactic antibiotics (ceftriaxone and metronidazole) were given at induction of anaesthesia and anaesthetic techniques were local, regional,or general as the case may be. The operative techniques were guided by the following principles: A. Never pull out arrows. B. Adopt ante grade or retrograde approach in arrow retrieval (ante grade means arrow barbs first, while retrograde means arrow tip first). C. Good illumination under vision.

3. Results

A total of 37 patients were found, 4 excluded for incomplete data, 33 were studied, comprising of 31 males and 2 females, giving a male to female ratio of 15:1. Age ranged from 3 to 60 years, with a mean of 27.33 years and SD 14.78. The 10-19 year age group accounted for most of the patients (N=9; (27.27%), while 27 (81.8%) were under 40 years. The main reasons for attack were conflicts, between farmers and herdsmen 17 (51.51%), cattle rustling 7 (21.21 %) and head and neck 9 (15.3%) were the main sites affected. Arrow extraction and wound debridement, extraction with thoracostomy tube drainage and laparotomy with visceral repair were the main operative procedures performed. Three patients stayed more than 3 weeks because of associated co-morbidities, 1 being diabetic, and 2 hypertensive.
studies found the injuries to be exclusively affecting males 6-7 relatively common as found in this study where 37 cases countries 5, however in the developing countries they are Arrow shot injuries are uncommon in the developed 4. female ratio of 15: 1 probably because they were actively accounted for 9 cases (27.27%) as opposed to a similar activities. The peak age group affected was 10 – 19 years involved in previously male dominated socioeconomic mobile and economically active.Various reasons were attributed for arrows shot attacks in different societies ranging from inter-tribal wars, socio-political and economic conflicts 1-3. Madziga et al 6 found armed banditry, communal clashes, and herdsmen/ farmer clashes over grazing land as the predominant causes accounting for 41.0, 20.5, and 17.8% respectively. Na’aya et al 7 found herdsmen/ farmers clash, armed banditry, and fighting over women accounting for 43.9, 29.8, and 17.5% respectively as the main causes. In this study the main causes for arrow shot injuries were herdsmen/farmers clash, cattle rustling (theft), and communal clash accounting for 51.51, 21.21, and 15.15% respectively. This signifies the persistent of conflicts between herdsmen and farmers over grazing land as a main source of arrow shot injuries.

Arrow shots are low velocity missiles. Therefore they mostly cause superficial soft tissue injuries. The commonest sites affected in this study were in keeping with previous studies with the trunk, limbs, and head and neck bearing the brunt in that order 6-7. Though most of these injuries are superficial, they may occasionally be fatal with major vessels or vital organs involvement especially when shots are multiple and/or at close range 6. This study did not find brain, heart, nor major vessels of the head and neck injured. There was one arrow that traversed the soft tissue of the supraciliary ridge of the left orbit and another through the lower retrobulbar space without visual loss, as opposed to a similar case reported by Lawan et al 6 that resulted in loss of vision. We found multiple visceral injuries in keeping with findings in similar studies by Madziga et al 7. All the visceral injuries were repaired primarily, including colonic injuries without diverting colostomy because there was minimal peritoneal soilage, as the arrows were in-situ reducing visceral leak. The primary repair without colostomy was in keeping with the principles of primary colonic repair without colostomy when there is minimal peritoneal soilage 6. Renal and bladder injuries were repaired, living perinephric drains, and continuous bladder drainage respectively. There was no mortality or morbidities were limited to surgical site infections which resolved with wound dressing. The study had better outcome compared to findings by Mnguni et al 12 in penetrating abdominal trauma. The overall hospital stay was short though longer than laparoscopic procedures for penetrating thoracoabdominal injuries 13.

4. Discussion

Arrow shot injuries are uncommon in the developed countries 2, however in the developing countries they are relatively common as found in this study where 37 cases were treated in 2 years, an average of 1 monthly. Previous studies found the injuries to be exclusively affecting males 6-7 however, in this study female were affected with male to female ratio of 15:1 probably because they were actively involved in previously male dominated socioeconomic activities. The peak age group affected was 10 – 19 years accounting for 9 cases (27.27%) as opposed to a similar study by Na’aya et al 7 who found the 21 – 30 year age group accounting for (36.8%) of the cases. This study revealed 27(81.81%) of the patients were under the age of 40 years buttressing the fact that this age group are the most 5.

5. Conclusion

Arrow shot injuries still exist in developing societies and pose considerable challenges in their management, however with adequate resuscitation and adherence to the proposed principles for extraction the outcome is good.
Figure 1: Arrow in the left lumber region

Figure 2: Arrow in the right hypochondrium.
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