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Abstract: The study was carried out to investigate the effect of teaching through Concept mapping on the achievement in Chemistry in relation to gender. Sample of the study consisted of 236 (118 experimental group and 118 controlled group) IX class students from four Government schools of Ludhiana city. Experimental group was exposed to Concept mapping method and the controlled group was exposed to Conventional method (lecture and discussion). Mixed group intelligence test (MGTI) by Mehrotra (2008) was used to match the groups. Achievement test in Chemistry (developed and standardized by the investigator) was used as tool for data collection. The results of the study showed that achievement in Chemistry of the group taught by Concept mapping was significantly more as compared to group taught by Conventional method. It was also found that boys and girls of experimental group do not differ in their achievement in Chemistry.
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1. Introduction

As the 21st century is the era of change as everything is changing at the faster pace. To satisfy the needs of students of the 21st century new experiments, creative innovations, and appropriate strategies are being developed and tried out to improve education at all levels. Concept mapping is also the new emerging strategy.

Originally the Concept mapping method was developed by Novak at Cornell University in the 1960s. This concept emerges in the field of learning after new school of constructivism, which accepts active participation of the learner in construction of knowledge. The constructivist learning theory, with its roots in the learning theory of Ausubel, Novak and Hanesian (1978) clearly states that every learner actively builds or constructs her or his own private understanding of the world.

In order to be successful in learning, students have to take possession of knowledge actively by seeking expert conceptual linkages between new concepts and those, they already possess. More simply, knowledge is constructed in the mind of the learner (Bodner, 1986). The task of knowledge representation has two parts: the first is to analyze body of knowledge and identify the relevant concepts, relations, and assumptions; the second is to translate the result of the analysis into some notation that can be processed. Neither part is easy, but the first is far more difficult (Sowa, 2006).

Concept mapping is the unique way of representing information. There are three features used in creating concept maps: (a) a list of concepts, (b) lines that represent the relational links between these concepts, and (c) labels for these linking relationships. Concept maps are frequently employed in the classroom because they offer a "complementary alternative to natural language as a means to communicate knowledge" (Gaines & Shaw, 1995). This visual approach has proven to be of great benefit to diverse student groups.

The procedure of Concept mapping starts with the generation of a list of concepts through brainstorming. Connecting lines are drawn between these concepts to indicate the flow of interrelationship between concepts which may result in a knowledge structure. These maps can be refined by many rethinking and redrawing processes as more knowledge is accumulated form a search (Novak, 1995).

According to Random House Webster’s College Thesaurus (1997) Academic Achievement means those qualities or attributes or characteristics or traits of an individual which contribute to or have a learning or effect or influence or accomplishment or proficient of performance pertaining to any activity scholastic in nature. According to Dictionary of Education (2008), "Academic achievement is a measure of knowledge gained through formal education usually indicated by test scores, grade point average and degree."

2. Review of Related Literature

Teaching through Concept mapping and Achievement:


Achievement and Gender: Bilesanmi-Awoderu (2006) investigated the relative effectiveness of Concept mapping and lecture methods on the academic achievement of...
Nigerian High School Studies in Biology using gender and locus of control as intervening variables. The results showed that the concept mapping strategy is more effective in enhancing students' achievement in Biology than the lecture method. However, there was no significant main effect of gender on the treatment. The results of Okoye and Okechukwu (2010) showed that the concept-mapping strategy is more effective in enhancing students' achievement in Biology than the lecture method. However, there was no significant main effect of gender on the treatment. Thus, it seems fully justified as it checks the effect of teaching strategies undertaken only in foreign countries. As compared to supporting studies were of Simon (2007), Ezeudu (2013), Abdulkarim and Hassan (2013) which revealed that there was no gender influence on students' concept-mapping ability and their achievement in the subject.

**Justification of the Problem:** Most of the studies had been undertaken only in foreign countries. As compared to advance countries very less research work has been done in India. Only five studies had been found in Panjab University covering the population of Chandigarh (Kumar, 2009; Sharma, 2010; Rani, 2011; and Sood, 2012), Amritsar (Aggarwal, 2012), Kapurthal (Jena, 2014) on effectiveness of Concept mapping. But, no study has been found specifically done on the population of Punjab Government school students on subject of Chemistry. The proposed study thus seems fully justified as it checks the effect of teaching through Concept Mapping on achievement in Chemistry of grade IX on Punjab Government school students in relation to gender.

3. **Objectives**

1) To investigate the significance of difference in Achievement in Chemistry of the group taught through Concept mapping and Conventional teaching.

2) To investigate the significance of difference in Achievement in Chemistry of the boys and girls taught through Concept mapping.

4. **Hypotheses**

**H0 1** There will be no significant difference in Achievement in Chemistry of the group taught through Concept mapping and Conventional teaching.

**H0 2** There will be no significant difference in Achievement in Chemistry of the boys and girls taught through Concept mapping.

5. **Method and Procedure**

To study the effectiveness of Concept mapping strategy on the Achievement in Chemistry in relation to gender, randomized two group pretest- posttest design was used. Here in the first phase Chemistry achievement test was constructed and standardized; concept maps were also constructed. Two groups A1 and A2 Experimental and Controlled groups were matched with the test of intelligence. In the second phase Chemistry achievement test was applied as a pre test. In the third phase students of Experimental group and Controlled were exposed to Concept mapping method and Conventional teaching respectively. In the last phase Chemistry achievement test was applied again as a post test. The difference of scores of pre and post test which is termed as mean gain scores is index with which effectiveness of two methods could be compared.

**Variables of the Present Study**

The investigators had taken two strategies, traditional (Lecture and discussion) method and Concept mapping strategy as an independent variable. Gender was taken as classificatory variable. This variable classified the sample of students in two categories on the basis of gender i.e. boys and girls while acquisition of Chemistry concepts (Achievement) was considered as dependent variable. This was evaluated by the mean gain scores i.e. the difference of Post-test scores and Pre-test scores of students in the Achievement test in Chemistry.

**Control:** Various steps were taken to control the extraneous variables. Control was applied with regard to Selection of sample by adopting method of randomization, students were matched on the basis of intelligence, age group of the students was also considered along with their socio-economic status, nature and subject mastery of the teacher was controlled as investigator herself taught both the groups, thus teacher was the other factor wherein control was applied. The analysis was done on the gain scores in order to eliminate the effect of the prior knowledge about the subject. Only Government schools were taken for the sampling, so the effect of environmental factor was nullified. Investigators made students comfortable with respect to medium. For this purpose Chemistry achievement test was translated and revalidated in all the three languages-English, Hindi and Punjabi.

**Sample of the study:** For the study, sample of 236 students of 9th class were selected through the randomization technique from four Government schools of Ludhiana city. Here 118 students were taken as experimental group and other 118 students were taken as controlled group.

**Tools**

(a) Mixed group intelligence test by Mehrotra (2008)

(b) Achievement test in Chemistry (developed and standardized by the investigator)

6. **Results and Discussion**

The result is discussed in Part I and Part II given below:

**Part I- Matching of the groups:** The Experimental and Controlled groups were matched on the basis of Intelligence. The t-ratios were worked out and the values are given in the table 1:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>t-ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>32.59</td>
<td>8.481</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>32.29</td>
<td>9.646</td>
<td>(N.S.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N.S. means non-significant (value of t-ratio significant at 0.05 level = 1.96)
Table 1 reveals that mean scores of the Experimental group (group taught through Concept mapping method) for intelligence is 32.59 and Standard deviation for the same is 8.481 while as mean scores of the Controlled group (group taught through Conventional method) for intelligence is 32.29 and Standard deviation for the same is 9.646. The value of t-ratio came out to be 0.24 which is non-significant (p>0.05). Thus there exists no significant difference in both the groups with respect to intelligence, i.e. groups are matched on intelligence.

Part II (a) Hypothesis1: Significance of difference in Achievement in Chemistry of the group taught through Concept mapping and Conventional teaching:
To investigate the significance of difference in Achievement in Chemistry of the group taught through Concept mapping and Conventional teaching t-test was applied between mean gain scores of students of Experimental group and Controlled group. The values are given in table 2(a) and table 2(b) below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>Standard Error of Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>19.18</td>
<td>7.193</td>
<td>0.662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>10.36</td>
<td>6.002</td>
<td>0.553</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2(a): Group Statistics

Table 2(b): Independent Samples Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gain Scores</th>
<th>Equal variances assumed</th>
<th>Equal variances not assumed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>3.617</td>
<td>3.617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig.</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>-10.574</td>
<td>-10.574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>df</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>226.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Difference</td>
<td>-9.119</td>
<td>-9.119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std. Error of Difference</td>
<td>0.862</td>
<td>0.862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% Confidence Interval of the Difference</td>
<td>-10.82</td>
<td>-10.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>-7.420</td>
<td>-7.419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper</td>
<td>-7.420</td>
<td>-7.419</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table reveals that mean achievement in Chemistry of the Experimental group is 19.18 whereas mean achievement in Chemistry of the Controlled group is 10.36 respectively. On application of F-test through SPSS Table 2(b) table of independent sample test is obtained, this table reveals results of two tests-Levene’s Test for equality of variances and t-test for equality of means. The table contains two sets of analysis, the first one assuming equal variances in the two groups and the second one assuming unequal variances. Above table reveals that F value is 3.617 and P=0.71 which is non-significant at 0.05 level of significance. It indicates that the two groups have equal variances. Therefore, the statistics associated with equal variances assumed should be used for the t-test for equality of means. The t-test results (with equal variances assumed) show t value is 10.574 with 234 degrees of freedom the corresponding two tailed p-value is 0.00, which is less than 0.01. Therefore the null hypothesis is rejected at 1% level of significance, which means that the students of Experimental and Controlled groups significantly differ in their achievement in Chemistry i.e. students of Experimental group (Mean = 19.18) are significantly better in achievement in Chemistry than the students of Controlled group (Mean = 10.36).

Part II (b) Hypothesis 2: Significance of difference in Achievement in Chemistry of the boys and girls taught through Concept mapping.
In order to check significance of difference in achievement in Chemistry of the boys and girls taught through Concept mapping, t-test was applied. The obtained values are shown in table 3

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>t-ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>18.80</td>
<td>6.144</td>
<td>0.64*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>19.56</td>
<td>8.144</td>
<td>(N.S.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N.S. means non-significant (value of t-ratio significant at 0.05 level = 1.96)

7. Result and Discussion
It can be concluded that Concept mapping method of teaching has a significant effect on the achievement in Chemistry over Conventional teaching but this method has no significant effect on the gender with regard to achievement in Chemistry.

In other words we can say the students who were taught with Concept mapping method gained significantly better than the other group who were taught with traditional teaching method, hence the importance of Concept mapping method can be established (Qarareh, 2010) but the method is beneficial irrespective of gender. Apart from this there is empirical support for the use of mapping in enhancing, retaining and improving knowledge (Davis, 2010). Cognitive Science shows that visual display enhances learning (Winn, 1991; Vekiri, 2002). For many people maps are much easier to follow, it promotes deep and not surface approaches to learning (Biggs, 1987; Ramsden, 1992).

8. Educational Implications of the Study
Results of present study supported that Concept mapping is useful for teaching Chemistry concepts. As there was no significant difference between the achievement of Chemistry...
of boys and girls of experimental group so it’s clear that the strategy is equally beneficial for both the sexes hence it could be applied in the classroom to increase the achievement of all students. Hence Concept mapping technique is thus strongly recommended for teaching Chemistry in Government Schools of Punjab.
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