A Historical Review of Ameloblastoma
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Abstract: Ameloblastoma is a true neoplasm of the enamel organ type tissue which does not undergo differentiation to the point of enamel formation. The term unicystic is derived from the macroscopic and microscopic appearance of the lesion.
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1. Introduction

Ameloblastoma is a true neoplasm of the enamel organ type tissue which does not undergo differentiation to the point of enamel formation. The term unicystic is derived from the macroscopic and microscopic appearance of the lesion. It is a well-defined, often large monocytic cavity with a lining focally, but rarely entirely composed of odontogenic (ameloblastomatous) epithelium. Predominant radiographical patterns for Unicystic Ameloblastoma are unilocular, scalloped, macromultilocular, pericoronal, intraradicular, or periapical expansile radiolucencies.

Many benign lesions cause mandibular swellings, whose origin can be, attributed to odontogenic or non-odontogenic causes. The most commonly encountered are ameloblastomas, radicular cysts, DCs, OKCs, central giant cell granulomas, fibro-osseous lesions and osteomas.[4] The most common tumor of odontogenic origin is ameloblastoma, which develops from epithelial cellular elements and dental tissues in their various phases of development.[2] The unicystic type of ameloblastoma is one of the least encountered variant of the ameloblastoma accounting for about 10-15% of all ameloblastomas.[4–6]

Historical Review Cusack JW (1827) first published a case, which was obviously an ameloblastoma. But, the detailed histopathological description was first made by Wedl (1853). He called the tumour,“Cystosarcoma or Cystosarcoma Adenoids”, but suggested that it could have arisen from a tooth bud or from the dental lamina. Broca (1868) gave the first detailed description of solid/multicystic ameloblastoma, whereas the first histological drawing of ameloblastoma was made by Wagstaffe (1871). The detailed description of ameloblastoma was made by Falksson (1879). Malassez (1885) suggested the name “Epithelioma Adamantin”. Derjinsky (1890) suggested the term “Adamantinoma”. Ivey and Churchill (1930) used the name “Ameloblastoma”. The first case of Peripheral Ameloblastoma, was made by Stanley and Krough (1959)[3],[7]. The concept of Unicystic Ameloblastoma (UA) was first introduced by Robinson and Martinez (1977), where they associated UA with dentigerous cysts, cytogenic ameloblastoma, extensive dentigerous cysts with intracystic ameloblastic papilloma, mural ameloblastoma, dentigerous cysts with ameloblastomatous proliferation and ameloblastoma developing in a radicular (or “globulomaxillary”) cyst [8],[9]. Gardner DG (1981) described a subtype of UA, plexiform UA, where the inner surface of the cyst may show one or several polypoid or papillomatous, pedunculated, exophytic masses, which in rare cases, fill the entire cyst lumen [10]. This subtype has also been called as intracystic, luminal or intraluminal ameloblastoma [9]. Ameloblastoma is a true neoplasm of the enamel organ type tissue which does not undergo differentiation to the point of enamel formation. Robinson (1937) described it as unicentric, nonfunctional, intermittent in growth, anatomically benign and clinically persistent [3],[4]. WHO (1992) has described Ameloblastoma as a benign, locally aggressive, polymorphic neoplasm, which is presumable derived from the intraosseous remnants of the odontogenic epithelium.[5],[6] Various synonyms which are used for ameloblastoma are Adamantinoma, Adamantoblastoma, Epithelioma Adamantin, Multilocular Cyst, Adontomes embryolastiques and Epithelial odontoma [3],[7]. A recently published biological profile based on 3,677 ameloblastoma cases, has clearly demonstrated that it is no longer appropriate in any scientific study to use the diagnosis of ameloblastoma without specifying the type. Hence, based on clinical and radiographical characteristics, histopathology, and behavioural and prognostic features, subtypes or variants of ameloblastomas can be presently distinguished as follows [7]: 1. The classic solid /
multicystic ameloblastoma (SMA) 2. The unicystic ameloblastoma (UA) 3. The peripheral ameloblastoma (PA) 4. The desmoplastic ameloblastoma (DA), including the so-called hybrid lesions.

2. Unicystic ameloblastoma

Unicystic type appears more frequently in a younger population (3rd decade) than its solid counterpart (4th decade). In our case, the patient was 35-year-old. UAs were most commonly encountered in posterior mandible, followed by the parasympysis region, anterior maxilla and the posterior maxilla. The ratio of the maxilla: Mandible is 1:7 for the dentigerous variant, versus 1:4.7 for the non-dentigerous type. Most of the UAs are associated with an impacted tooth, the mandibular third molar being involved most often. In our case, patient is a female, and the lesion is not associated with any unerupted/impacted teeth.

They are often asymptomatic until they are seen on a routine radiograph. As the lesion slowly enlarges, a slight, non-tender swelling becomes apparent on clinical examination. This swelling is the result of an expansion of the cortical plates of the jaw and can be identified by palpation as hard and bony. Our patient also showed a non-tender swelling with bicortical expansion.

Radiographically, UAs have been divided into two main patterns: Unilocular and multilocular. UAs have clear preponderance for the unilocular pattern. The radiological features of UA are typically unilocular and there is a round area of radiolucency. Therefore this lesion is often misdiagnosed as an OKC/a DC. It has been suggested that six radiographic patterns for UA can be identified ranging from well-defined unilocular to multilocular. Eversole et al., identified predominant radiographical patterns for UA: Unilocular, scalloped, macromultilocular, pericoronal, interradicular, or periapical expansile radiolucencies where as our case showed atypical multilocular appearance with some straight septa within the lesion.
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