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#### Abstract

In this paper we obtained sharp results concerning coefficient estimates, distortion theorem, radius of convexity and closure theorem for the class $\sigma_{p}(\alpha, \beta, \xi)$.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\sum$ denote the class the class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are regular in domain $\mathrm{E}=\{\mathrm{z}: 0<|\mathrm{z}|<1\}$ with a simple pole at the origin with residue 1 there.

Let $\sum_{s}, \Sigma^{*}(\alpha)$ and $\sum_{k}(\alpha)(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ denote the subclasses of $\sum$ that are univalent, moromorphically starlike of order $\alpha$ and meromorphically convex of order $\alpha$ respectively. Analytically $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) is in $\Sigma^{*}(\alpha)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>\alpha, \mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{E} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, $f \in \sum_{k}(\alpha)$ if and only if, $f(\mathrm{z})$ is of the form (1.1) and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{-\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right\}>\alpha, \text { z } \in \mathrm{E} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It being understood that if $\alpha=1$ then $f(z)=\frac{1}{z}$ is the only function which is $\Sigma^{*}(1)$ and $\Sigma_{k}(1)$.

The classes $\Sigma^{*}(\alpha)$ and $\Sigma_{k}(\alpha)$ have been extensively studied by Pommerenke [5], Clunie [1], Royster [6] and others.

Since to a certain extent the work in the meromorphic univalent case has paralleled that of regular univalent case, it is natural to search for a subclass of $\sum_{s}$ that has properties analogous to those of $T^{*}(\alpha)$. Juneja and Reddy [3] introduced the class $\sum_{p}$ of functions of the form (1.1) that are meromorphic and univalent in $E$. They showed that the class

$$
\sum_{p}^{*}(\alpha)=\sum_{p} \cap \sum^{*}(\alpha) .
$$

Also, Mogra, Reddy and Juneja [4] introduced the class of meromorphically starlike function of order $\alpha$ and type $\beta$ which is denoted by $\sum_{p}^{*}(\alpha, \beta)$ They showed that the class

$$
\sum_{p}^{*}(\alpha, \beta)=\sum_{p} \cap \sum(\alpha, \beta)
$$

and extended some of the results of Juneja and Reddy [3] to this class..

The aim of the present paper is to introduce the class $\sigma_{p}(\alpha, \beta, \xi)$ consisting the functions of the form (1.1) which satisfies the condition
$\left\lvert\, \frac{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+1}{2 \xi\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha\right)-\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+1\right)}<\beta\right.$ for $z \mid<1$.
where $0 \leq \alpha<1,0<\beta \leq 1$ and $\frac{1}{2}<\xi \leq 1$.
We find a necessary and sufficient condition, coefficient inequality, distortion properties and radius of convexity and other properties. The results of this paper is generalize the results of Mogra, Reddy and Juneja [4].

## 2. Main Results

Definition 2.1: $\sigma_{p}(\alpha, \beta, \xi)$ denote the subclass of $\Sigma$ consisting of the functions of the form $f(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ which satisfies

$$
\left|\frac{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+1}{2 \xi\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha\right)-\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+1\right)}<\beta,|z|<1 .\right.
$$

where $0 \leq \alpha<1,0<\beta \leq 1$ and $\frac{1}{2}<\xi \leq 1$.

## 3. Coefficient Estimates

The following theorem give a sufficient condition for a function to be in $\sum^{*}(\alpha, \beta, \xi)$.

Theorem 2.1: Let $f(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ be regular in $E$. if

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}[(1-\beta+2 \beta \xi) n+(2 \alpha \xi-1) \beta+1] \frac{1}{(n+2)^{\sigma}}(1-\alpha)\left|a_{n}\right| \leq 2 \beta \xi  \tag{2.1}\\
0 \leq \alpha<1,0<\beta \leq 1, \sigma>0 \text { and } \frac{1}{2}<\xi \leq 1, \text { then } f \in \sum^{*}(\alpha, \beta, \xi, \sigma)
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof: Suppose (2.1) holds for all admissible values of $\alpha, \beta$ and $\xi$. Consider the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(f, f^{\prime}\right)=\left|z\left(I^{\sigma} f(z)\right)^{\prime}+f(z)\right|-\beta\left|2 \xi\left(z f^{\prime}(z)+\alpha f(z)\right)-\left(z f^{\prime}(z)+f(z)\right)\right| \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $f(z)$ and $f^{\prime}(z)$ by their series expansions, we have for $0<|z|=r<1$.

$$
H\left(f, f^{\prime}\right)=\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n+1) a_{n} z^{n}\right|-\beta\left|2 \xi(\alpha-1) \frac{1}{z}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(2 \xi n+2 \xi \alpha-n-1) a_{n} z^{n}\right|
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
r H\left(f, f^{\prime}\right) & \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n+1)\left|a_{n}\right| r^{n+1}-\beta\left\{2 \xi(1-\alpha)-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(2 \xi n+2 \xi \alpha-n-1)\left|a_{n}\right| r^{n+1}\right\} \\
& \left.=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}[1-\beta+2 \beta \xi) n+(2 \alpha \xi-1) \beta+1\right]\left|a_{n}\right| r^{n+1}-2 \beta \xi(1-\alpha)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the above inequality holds for all $r, 0<r<1$, letting $\mathrm{r} \rightarrow 1$, we have
$H\left(f, f^{\prime}\right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}[(1-\beta+2 \beta \xi) n+(2 \alpha \xi-1) \beta+1]\left|a_{n}\right|-2 \beta \xi(1-\alpha)$
$\leq 0$,
by (2.1). Hence it follows that

$$
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+1\right|<\beta\left|2 \xi\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha\right)-\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+1\right)\right|
$$

so that $f \in \sum^{*}(\alpha, \beta, \xi)$. Hence the theorem.
Theorem 2.2: Let $f(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, a_{n} \geq 0$, be regular in $E$. Then $f(z) \in \sigma_{p}(\alpha, \beta, \xi)$ if only if (2.1) is satisfied.

Proof: In view of theorem 2.1 it is sufficient to show that 'only if' part. Let us assume that

$$
f(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} z_{n}, a_{n} \geq 0 \text { is in } \sigma_{p}(\alpha, \beta, \xi) . \text { Then }
$$

$\left|\frac{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+1}{2 \xi\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha\right)-\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+1\right)}\right|=\left|\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n+1) a_{n} z^{n}}{2 \xi(1-\alpha) \frac{1}{z}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(2 \xi n+2 \xi \alpha-n-1) a_{n} z^{n}}\right|<\beta$
for all $z \in E$. Using the fact that $\operatorname{Re}(z) \leq|z|$ for all z .

It follows that
$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n+1) a_{n} z^{n}}{2 \xi(1-\alpha) \frac{1}{z}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(2 \xi n+2 \xi \alpha-n-1) a_{n} z^{n}}\right\}<\beta, z \in E$.
Now choose the values of $z$ on the real axis so that $\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}$ is real. Upon clearing the denominator in (2.3) and letting $z \rightarrow 1$. through positive values, we obtain

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n+1) a_{n} \leq \beta\left\{2 \xi(1-\alpha)-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(2 \xi n+2 \xi \alpha-n-1) a_{n}\right\}
$$

or

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}[(1-\beta+2 \beta \xi) n+(2 \alpha \xi-1) \beta+1] a_{n} \leq 2 \beta \xi(1-\alpha)
$$

Hence the result follows.
Corollary 2.1: If $f(z) \in \sigma_{p}(\alpha, \beta, \xi)$ then
$a_{n} \leq \frac{2 \beta \xi(1-\alpha)}{(1-\beta+2 \beta \xi) n+(2 \alpha \xi-1) \beta+1}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots \ldots$.
(2.4)
with equality for each n , for function of the form

$$
f_{n}(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\frac{2 \beta \xi(1-\alpha)}{(1-\beta+2 \beta \xi) n+(2 \alpha \xi-1) \beta+1} z^{n}
$$

(2.5)

Remark 2.1: If $f(z) \in \sigma_{p}(\alpha, \beta, 1)$ i.e., replacing $\xi=1$, we obtain

$$
a_{n} \leq \frac{2 \beta(1-\alpha)}{(1+\beta) n+(2 \alpha-1) \beta+1}, \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots \ldots
$$

Equality holds for

$$
f_{n}(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\frac{2 \beta(1-\alpha)}{(1+\beta) n+(2 \alpha-1) \beta+1} z^{n}
$$

which is known result of Mogra, Reddy and Juneja [4].
Remark 2.2: If $f(z) \in \sigma_{p}(\alpha, 1,1)$ i.e., replacing $\beta=1$ and $\xi=1$. We obtain

$$
a_{n} \leq \frac{(1-\alpha)}{n+\alpha}, \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots \ldots
$$

with equality, for each $n$, for functions of the form.

$$
f_{n}(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\frac{1-\alpha}{n+\alpha} z^{n}
$$

which is known result of Jeneja and Reddy [3].

## 4. Distortion Property and Radius of Convexity Estimates

$\frac{1}{r}-\frac{\beta \xi(1-\alpha)}{1-\beta[1-(1+\alpha) \xi]} r \leq|f(z)| \leq \frac{1}{r}+\frac{\beta \xi(1-\alpha)}{1-\beta[1-(1+\alpha) \xi]}$ (2.6)
where equality holds for the funciton

$$
f_{1}(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\frac{\beta \xi(1-\alpha)}{1-\beta[1-(1+\alpha) \xi]} z . \text { At } z=i r, r(2.7)
$$

Proof: Suppose $f(z) \in \sigma_{p}(\alpha, \beta, \xi)$. In view of Theorem 2.2
We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \leq \frac{\beta \xi(1-\alpha)}{1-\beta[1-(1+\alpha) \xi]} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus for $0<|z|=r<1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |f(z)|=\left|\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}\right| \leq\left|\frac{1}{z}\right|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}|z|^{n} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{r}+r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{r}+\frac{\beta \xi(1-\alpha)}{1-\beta[1-(1+\alpha) \xi]} r,
\end{aligned}
$$

by (2.8). This gives the right hand inequality of (2.6).
Also,

$$
|f(z)| \geq \frac{1}{r}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} r \geq \frac{1}{r}-\frac{\beta \xi(1-\alpha)}{1-\beta[1-(1+\alpha) \xi]} r
$$

which gives the left hand side of (2.6).
It can be easily seen that the function $f_{1}(z)$ defined by (2.7) is extremal for the theorem.

Theorem 2.4: If $f(z)$ is in $\sigma_{p}(\alpha, \beta, \xi)$, then $f(z)$ is meromorphically convex of order $\delta(0 \leq \delta<1)$ in $|z|<r=r(\alpha, \beta, \xi, \delta)$, where

Theorem 2.3: If $f(z) \in \sigma_{p}(\alpha, \beta, \xi)$, then for $0<|z|=r$ $<1$
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$$
r(\alpha, \beta, \xi, \delta)=\inf _{n}\left\{\frac{(1-\delta)[(1-\beta+2 \beta \xi) n+(2 \alpha \xi-1) \beta+1]}{2 \beta \xi(1-\alpha) n(n+2-\delta)}\right\}^{(1 / n+1)}, n=1,2, \ldots \ldots
$$

The bound for $|z|$ is sharp for each $n$, with the extremal function being of the form (2.5).

Proof: Let $f(z) \in \sigma_{p}(\alpha, \beta, \xi)$ Then, by Theorem 2.2

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[(1-\beta+2 \beta \xi) n+(2 \alpha \xi-1) \beta+1]}{2 \beta \xi(1-\alpha)} a_{n} \leq 1 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is sufficient to show that
$\left|2+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right| \leq 1-\delta$ for $|z|<r(\alpha, \beta, \xi, \delta)$
or equivalently, to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{f^{\prime}(z)+\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right| \leq 1-\delta \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|z|<r(\alpha, \beta, \xi, \delta)$
In view of (2.9), it follows that (2.11) is true if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{n(n+2-\delta)}{1-\delta}|z|^{n+1} \leq \frac{(1-\beta+2 \beta \xi) n+(2 \alpha \xi-1) \beta+1}{2 \beta \xi(1-\alpha)}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots \ldots . \text { or } \\
& |z| \leq\left\{\frac{(1-\delta)[1-\beta+2 \beta \xi] n+(2 \alpha \xi-1) \beta+1}{2 \beta \xi(1-\alpha) n(n+2-\delta)}\right\}^{(1 / n+1)} \quad n=1,2, \ldots . .(2.12)
\end{aligned}
$$

setting $|z|=r(\alpha, \beta, \xi, \delta)$ in (2.12), the result follows.

$$
f_{n}(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\frac{2 \beta \xi(1-\alpha)}{(1-\beta+2 \beta \xi) n+(2 \alpha \xi-1) \beta+1} z^{n}
$$

The result is sharp, the extremal function being of the form
Corollary 2.2: If $f \in \sigma_{p}(\alpha, \beta, 1)$, then $f$ is convex in the disk

$$
0<|z|<r(\alpha, \beta, \xi, \delta)=\inf _{n}\left\{\frac{(1-\delta)[(1+\beta) n+(2 \alpha-1) \beta+1]}{2 \beta(1-\alpha) n(n+2-\delta)}\right\}^{[1 /(n+1)]} n=1,2,3, \ldots \ldots
$$

The result is sharp for

$$
f_{n}(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\frac{2 \beta(1-\alpha)}{(1+\beta) n+(2 \alpha-1) \beta+1} z^{n} \text { for some } n
$$

This is due to Mogra, Reddy and Juneja [4].
Corollary 2.3: If $f \in \sigma_{p}(\alpha, 1,1)$ then $f$ is meromorphically convex of order

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta(0 \leq \delta<1) \text { in }|z|<r=r(\alpha, \delta) \\
& =\inf _{n}\left[\frac{(n+\alpha)(1-\delta)}{n(n+2-\delta)(1-\alpha)}\right]^{1 / n+1}, n=1,2, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

$f_{n}(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\frac{1-\alpha}{n+\alpha} z^{n}$ for some n.
This is due to Juneja and Reddy [5].

## 5. Convex Linear Combinations

In this section we shall prove that the class $\sigma_{p}(\alpha, \beta, \xi)$ is closed under convex linear combinations.
Theorem 2.5: Let $f_{0}(z)=\frac{1}{z}$ and

The result is sharp for

$$
f_{n}(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\frac{2 \beta \xi(1-\alpha)}{(1-\beta+2 \beta \xi) n+(2 \alpha \xi-1) \beta+1} z^{n} \quad \mathrm{n}=1,2, \ldots \ldots
$$

Then
Then $f(z) \in \sigma_{p}(\alpha, \beta, \xi)$ if and only if, it can be expressed in the form

$$
f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} f_{n}(z) \text { where } \lambda_{n} \geq 0 \text { and } \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}=1
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} f_{n}(z)=\lambda_{0} f_{0}(z)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} f_{n}(z) \\
& =\left\lfloor 1-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}\right\rfloor f_{0}(z)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} f_{n}(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: Let $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} f_{n}(z)$ with $\lambda_{n} \geq 0$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}=1$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
=\left[1-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}\right] \frac{1}{z}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}\left[\frac{1}{z}+\frac{2 \beta \xi(1-\alpha)}{(1-\beta+2 \beta \xi) n+(2 \alpha \xi-1) \beta+1} z^{n}\right] \\
=\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} \frac{2 \beta \xi(1-\alpha)}{(1-\beta+2 \beta \xi) n+(2 \alpha \xi-1) \beta+1} z^{n} \\
\text { since }
\end{gathered} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\beta+2 \beta \xi) n+(2 \alpha \xi-1) \beta+1}{2 \beta \xi(1-\alpha)} \lambda_{n} \frac{2 \beta \xi(1-\alpha)}{(1-\beta+2 \beta \xi) n+(2 \alpha \xi-1) \beta+1} .
$$

$$
=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}=1-\lambda_{0} \leq 1
$$

Therefore $f(z) \in \sigma_{p}(\alpha, \beta, \xi)$.
Conversely, suppose $f(z) \in \sigma_{p}(\alpha, \beta, \xi)$. Since
$a_{n} \leq \frac{2 \beta \xi(1-\alpha)}{(1-\beta+2 \beta \xi) n+(2 \alpha \xi-1) \beta+1}, n=1,2, \ldots \ldots$
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Setting

$$
\lambda_{n}=\frac{(1-\beta+2 \beta \xi) n+(2 \alpha \xi-1) \beta+1}{2 \beta \xi(1-\alpha)} a_{n}, \mathrm{n}=1,2
$$

and $\lambda_{0}=1-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}$.
it follows that $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} f_{n}(z)$.
This completes the proof of the theorem.
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