Meromorphic Starlike Univalent Functions with **Positive Coefficients**

Adluru Narasimha Murthy¹, P. Thirupathi Reddy²

Department of Mathematics, Govt Aided AVV Junior College, Warangal-506002. T.S. India

Department of Mathematics, Kakatiya University Warangal, 506009. T.S. India

Abstract: In this paper we obtained sharp results concerning coefficient estimates, distortion theorem, radius of convexity and closure theorem for the class $\sigma_p(\alpha, \beta, \xi)$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45

Keywords: Meromorphic, Starlike, Coefficient inequality, Convexity

1. Introduction

Let Σ denote the class the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$
 (1.1)

which are regular in domain $E = \{z : 0 < |z| < 1\}$ with a simple pole at the origin with residue 1 there.

Let $\sum_{s}, \sum^{*} (\alpha)$ and $\sum_{k} (\alpha) (0 \le \alpha \le 1)$ denote the subclasses of Σ that are univalent, more morphically starlike of order α and meromorphically convex of order α respectively. Analytically f(z) of the form (1.1) is in $\sum^{k} (\alpha)$ if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{-\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right\} > \alpha, \ z \in \operatorname{E}$$
(1.2)

Similarly, $f \in \sum_{k} (\alpha)$ if and only if, f(z) is of the form (1.1) and satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{-\left(1+\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)\right\} > \alpha, \ z \in \operatorname{E}$$
(1.3)

It being understood that if $\alpha = 1$ then $f(z) = \frac{1}{2}$ is the only function which is $\sum^{k} (1)$ and $\sum_{k} (1)$.

The classes $\sum^{*} (\alpha)$ and $\sum_{k} (\alpha)$ have been extensively studied by Pommerenke [5], Clunie [1], Royster [6] and others.

Since to a certain extent the work in the meromorphic univalent case has paralleled that of regular univalent case, it is natural to search for a subclass of \sum_{s} that has properties analogous to those of T^* (α). Juneja and Reddy [3] introduced the class \sum_{p} of functions of the form (1.1) that are meromorphic and univalent in E. They showed that the class

$$\sum_{p}^{*}(\alpha) = \sum_{p} \cap \sum^{*}(\alpha).$$

Also, Mogra, Reddy and Juneja [4] introduced the class of meromorphically starlike function of order α and type β which is denoted by $\sum_{p}^{*} (\alpha, \beta)$ They showed that the class

$$\sum_{p}^{*}(\alpha,\beta) = \sum_{p} \cap \sum (\alpha,\beta)$$

and extended some of the results of Juneja and Reddy [3] to this class ..

The aim of the present paper is to introduce the class $\sigma_{p}(\alpha,\beta,\xi)$ consisting the functions of the form (1.1) which satisfies the condition

$$\left|\frac{\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} + 1}{2\xi\left(\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha\right) - \left(\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} + 1\right)}\right| < \beta \text{ for } |z| < 1.$$

where $0 \le \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \le 1$ and $\frac{1}{2} < \xi \le 1$.

We find a necessary and sufficient condition, coefficient inequality, distortion properties and radius of convexity and other properties. The results of this paper is generalize the results of Mogra, Reddy and Juneja [4].

2. Main Results

Definition 2.1: $\sigma_p(\alpha,\beta,\xi)$ denote the subclass of Σ of the functions consisting of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \text{ which satisfies}$$

$$\left| \frac{\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} + 1}{2\xi \left(\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha\right) - \left(\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} + 1\right)} \right| < \beta, |z| < 1.$$
where $0 \le \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \le 1$ and $\frac{1}{2} < \xi \le 1$.

Volume 6 Issue 3, March 2017 www.ijsr.net Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

3. Coefficient Estimates

The following theorem give a sufficient condition for a function to be in $\sum^{*} (\alpha, \beta, \xi)$.

Theorem 2.1: Let
$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$
 be regular in *E*.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\left(1 - \beta + 2\beta\xi \right) n + \left(2\alpha\xi - 1 \right)\beta + 1 \right] \frac{1}{(n+2)^{\sigma}} \left(1 - \alpha \right) \left| a_n \right| \le 2\beta\xi \quad (2.1)$$

 $0 \le \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \le 1, \sigma > 0 \text{ and } \frac{1}{2} < \xi \le 1, \text{ then } f \in \sum^* \left(\alpha, \beta, \xi, \sigma \right).$

Proof: Suppose (2.1) holds for all admissible values of α , β and ξ . Consider the expression

$$H(f,f') = |z(I^{\sigma}f(z))' + f(z)| - \beta |2\xi(zf'(z) + \alpha f(z)) - (zf'(z) + f(z))|$$
(2.2)

Replacing f(z) and f'(z) by their series expansions, we have for 0 < |z| = r < 1.

$$H(f,f') = \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1) a_n z^n \right| - \beta \left| 2 \xi(\alpha - 1) \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2 \xi n + 2 \xi \alpha - n - 1) a_n z^n \right|$$

or
$$rH(f,f') \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1) \left| a_n \right| r^{n+1} - \beta \left\{ 2 \xi(1-\alpha) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2 \xi n + 2 \xi \alpha - n - 1) \right| a_n \left| r^{n+1} \right\}$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [1 - \beta + 2\beta \xi] n + (2 \alpha \xi - 1) \beta + 1] \left| a_n \right| r^{n+1} - 2\beta \xi (1-\alpha)$$

Since the above inequality holds for all r, 0 < r < 1, letting $r \rightarrow 1$, we have

$$H(f,f') \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\left(1 - \beta + 2\beta \xi\right) n + \left(2\alpha\xi - 1\right)\beta + 1 \right] \left|a_n\right| - 2\beta \xi \left(1 - \alpha\right)$$

$$\leq 0.$$

by (2.1). Hence it follows that

$$\left|\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + 1\right| < \beta \left|2\xi \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha\right) - \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + 1\right)\right|$$

so that $f \in \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha, \beta, \xi)$. Hence the theorem

so that $f \in \sum (\alpha, \beta, \xi)$. Hence the theorem.

Theorem 2.2: Let $f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, $a_n \ge 0$, be regular in *E*. Then $f(z) \in \sigma_p(\alpha, \beta, \xi)$ if only if (2.1) is satisfied.

satisfied.

Proof: In view of theorem 2.1 it is sufficient to show that 'only if' part. Let us assume that

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z_n, a_n \ge 0 \text{ is in } \sigma_p(\alpha, \beta, \xi) \text{ .Then} \\ \frac{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + 1}{2\xi \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha\right) - \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + 1\right)} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1)a_n z^n}{2\xi (1-\alpha) \frac{1}{z} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2\xi n + 2\xi \alpha - n - 1)a_n z^n} < \beta$$

for all $z \in E$. Using the fact that $Re(z) \leq |z|$ for all z.

It follows that

$$Re\left\{\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1)a_n z^n}{2\xi(1-\alpha)\frac{1}{z} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2\xi n + 2\xi \alpha - n - 1)a_n z^n}\right\} < \beta, \ z \in E . (2.3)$$

Now choose the values of z on the real axis so that $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}$ is real. Upon clearing the denominator in (2.3) and letting $z \rightarrow 1$.

through positive values, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1)a_n \leq \beta \left\{ 2\xi(1-\alpha) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2\xi n + 2\xi \alpha - n - 1)a_n \right\}$$

or

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[(1-\beta+2\beta\xi)n + (2\alpha\xi-1)\beta + 1 \right] a_n \le 2\beta\xi(1-\alpha)$$

Hence the result follows.

Corollary 2.1: If $f(z) \in \sigma_p(\alpha, \beta, \xi)$ then

$$a_n \leq \frac{2\beta\xi(1-\alpha)}{(1-\beta+2\beta\xi)n+(2\alpha\xi-1)\beta+1}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

with equality for each n, for function of the form

$$f_{n}(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{2\beta\xi(1-\alpha)}{(1-\beta+2\beta\xi)n + (2\alpha\xi-1)\beta+1} z^{n}$$
(2.5)

Remark 2.1: If $f(z) \in \sigma_p(\alpha, \beta, 1)$ i.e., replacing $\xi = 1$, we obtain

$$a_n \leq \frac{2\beta(1-\alpha)}{(1+\beta)n + (2\alpha-1)\beta + 1}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Equality holds for

$$f_n(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{2\beta(1-\alpha)}{(1+\beta)n + (2\alpha-1)\beta + 1} z^n.$$

which is known result of Mogra, Reddy and Juneja [4].

Remark 2.2: If $f(z) \in \sigma_p(\alpha, 1, 1)$ i.e., replacing $\beta = 1$ and $\xi = 1$. We obtain

$$a_n \le \frac{(1-\alpha)}{n+\alpha}, \quad n=1, 2, 3,...$$

with equality, for each *n*, for functions of the form.

$$f_n(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{1-\alpha}{n+\alpha} z^n$$

which is known result of Jeneja and Reddy [3].

4. Distortion Property and Radius of Convexity **Estimates**

Theorem 2.3: If $f(z) \in \sigma_p(\alpha, \beta, \xi)$, then for 0 < |z| = r< 1

$$\frac{1}{r} - \frac{\beta \xi (1-\alpha)}{1-\beta [1-(1+\alpha)\xi]} r \le \left| f(z) \right| \le \frac{1}{r} + \frac{\beta \xi (1-\alpha)}{1-\beta [1-(1+\alpha)\xi]}$$
(2.6)

where equality holds for the funciton

$$f_1(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{\beta \xi (1-\alpha)}{1-\beta [1-(1+\alpha)\xi]} z \text{ . At } z = ir, r (2.7)$$

Proof: Suppose $f(z) \in \sigma_p(\alpha, \beta, \xi)$. In view of Theorem 2.2

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \leq \frac{\beta \xi (1-\alpha)}{1-\beta [1-(1+\alpha)\xi]}$$
(2.8)
Thus for $0 < |z| = r < 1$.

$$\left| f(z) \right| = \left| \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \right| \leq \left| \frac{1}{z} \right| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n |z|^n$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{r} + r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{r} + \frac{\beta \xi (1-\alpha)}{1-\beta [1-(1+\alpha)\xi]} r,$$

by (2.8). This gives the right hand inequality of (2.6). Also,

$$|f(z)| \ge \frac{1}{r} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n r \ge \frac{1}{r} - \frac{\beta \xi (1-\alpha)}{1-\beta [1-(1+\alpha)\xi]} r$$

which gives the left hand side of (2.6).

It can be easily seen that the function $f_1(z)$ defined by (2.7) is extremal for the theorem.

Theorem 2.4: If f(z) is $\operatorname{in} \sigma_p(\alpha, \beta, \xi)$, then f(z) is meromorphically convex of order $\delta(0 \le \delta < 1)$ in $|z| < r = r(\alpha, \beta, \xi, \delta)$, where

Volume 6 Issue 3, March 2017 www.ijsr.net Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

$$r(\alpha, \beta, \xi, \delta) = \inf_{n} \left\{ \frac{(1-\delta)[(1-\beta+2\beta\xi)n+(2\alpha\xi-1)\beta+1]}{2\beta\xi(1-\alpha)n(n+2-\delta)} \right\}^{(1/n+1)}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

The bound for |z| is sharp for each *n*, with the extremal function being of the form (2.5).

Proof: Let $f(z) \in \sigma_p(\alpha, \beta, \xi)$ Then, by Theorem 2.2

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\left(1-\beta+2\beta\xi\right)n+\left(2\alpha\xi-1\right)\beta+1\right]}{2\beta\xi(1-\alpha)}a_n \le 1$$

It is sufficient to show that

$$\left| 2 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \le 1 - \delta \text{ for } |z| < r(\alpha, \beta, \xi, \delta)$$

or equivalently, to show that

$$\left| \frac{f'(z) + (zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} \right| \le 1 - \delta$$
for $|z| < r(\alpha, \beta, \xi, \delta)$

$$(2.10)$$

where $r(\alpha, \beta, \xi, \delta)$ is as specified in the statement of the theorem.

2.2 Substituting the series expansions for f'(z) and $\leq 1.$ (2.9) $\left| \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(n+1)a_n z^{n-1}}{-\frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} na_n z^{n-1}} \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(n+1)a_n |z|^{n+1}}{1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} na_n |z|^{n+1}}$

This will be bounded by $(1-\delta)$ if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n(n+2-\delta)}{1-\delta} a_n |z|^{n+1} \le 1.$$
 (2.11)

In view of (2.9), it follows that (2.11) is true if

$$\frac{n(n+2-\delta)}{1-\delta}|z|^{n+1} \le \frac{(1-\beta+2\beta\xi)n+(2\alpha\xi-1)\beta+1}{2\beta\xi(1-\alpha)}, \quad n=1, 2, \dots, \text{or}$$
$$|z| \le \left\{\frac{(1-\delta)[1-\beta+2\beta\xi]n+(2\alpha\xi-1)\beta+1}{2\beta\xi(1-\alpha)n(n+2-\delta)}\right\}^{(1/n+1)} \quad n=1, 2, \dots, (2.12)$$

setting $|z| = r(\alpha, \beta, \xi, \delta)$ in (2.12), the result follows.

The result is sharp, the extremal function being of the form

$$f_n(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{2\beta\xi(1-\alpha)}{(1-\beta+2\beta\xi)n + (2\alpha\xi-1)\beta+1} z^n$$

Corollary 2.2: If $f \in \sigma_p(\alpha, \beta, l)$, then *f* is convex in the disk

$$0 < |z| < r(\alpha, \beta, \xi, \delta) = \inf_{n} \left\{ \frac{(1-\delta)[(1+\beta)n + (2\alpha-1)\beta + 1]}{2\beta(1-\alpha)n(n+2-\delta)} \right\}^{[1/(n+1)]} n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

The result is sharp for

 $f_n(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{2\beta(1-\alpha)}{(1+\beta)n + (2\alpha-1)\beta + 1} z^n \text{ for some } n.$ This is due to Magra Reddy and Jupais [4]

This is due to Mogra, Reddy and Juneja [4].

Corollary 2.3: If $f \in \sigma_p(\alpha, 1, 1)$ then f is meromorphically convex of order $\delta(0 \le \delta < 1)$ in $|z| < r = r(\alpha, \delta)$

$$= \inf_{n} \left[\frac{(n+\alpha)(1-\delta)}{n(n+2-\delta)(1-\alpha)} \right]^{n+1}, n = 1, 2, \dots$$

The result is sharp for

 $f_n(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{1 - \alpha}{n + \alpha} z^n \text{ for some n.}$ This is due to Juneja and Reddy [5].

5. Convex Linear Combinations

In this section we shall prove that the class $\sigma_p(\alpha, \beta, \xi)$ is closed under convex linear combinations.

Theorem 2.5: Let
$$f_0(z) = \frac{1}{z}$$
 and

Volume 6 Issue 3, March 2017 <u>www.ijsr.net</u> Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN (Online): 2319-7064 Index Copernicus Value (2015): 78.96 | Impact Factor (2015): 6.391

$$f_n(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{2\beta\xi(1-\alpha)}{(1-\beta+2\beta\xi)n + (2\alpha\xi-1)\beta+1} z^n \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then

Then $f(z) \in \sigma_p(\alpha, \beta, \xi)$ if and only if, it can be expressed in the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z)$$
 where $\lambda_n \ge 0$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n = 1$.

Proof: Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z)$ with $\lambda_n \ge 0$ and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n = 1$$

$$= \left[1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n\right] \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \left[\frac{1}{z} + \frac{2\beta\xi(1-\alpha)}{(1-\beta+2\beta\xi)n + (2\alpha\xi-1)\beta+1}z^n\right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \frac{2\beta\xi(1-\alpha)}{(1-\beta+2\beta\xi)n + (2\alpha\xi-1)\beta+1}z^n$$
since
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\beta+2\beta\xi)n + (2\alpha\xi-1)\beta+1}{2\beta\xi(1-\alpha)}\lambda_n \frac{2\beta\xi(1-\alpha)}{(1-\beta+2\beta\xi)n + (2\alpha\xi-1)\beta+1}$$

$$=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \ \lambda_n = 1 - \lambda_0 \leq 1$$

Therefore $f(z) \in \sigma_p(\alpha, \beta, \xi)$.

Conversely, suppose $f(z) \in \sigma_p(\alpha, \beta, \xi)$. Since

$$a_n \leq \frac{2\beta\xi(1-\alpha)}{(1-\beta+2\beta\xi)n+(2\alpha\xi-1)\beta+1}, \ n=1,2,\ldots$$

Setting

$$\lambda_n = \frac{(1-\beta+2\beta\xi)n+(2\alpha\xi-1)\beta+1}{2\beta\xi(1-\alpha)}a_n, n = 1, 2,$$

..... and
$$\lambda_0 = 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n$$

it follows that $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z)$.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

References

- J. Clunie, On meromorphic schlicht functions, J. London Math. Soc. (34) (1959), 215-216.
- [2] V.P Gupta and P.K. Jain, Certain Classes of univalent functions with negative coefficients, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 14(1976), 409-416.
- [3] O.P. Juneja and T. R. Reddy, Meromorphic starlike univalent functions with positive coefficients, Ann.Univ. Mariae Curie Sklodowska. Sect A 39, (1985), 65-76.

[4] M.L. Mogra, T.R. Reddy and O.P. Juneja Meromorphic univalent functions with positive coefficients' Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 32, (1985) 161-176.

 $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z) = \lambda_0 f_0(z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z)$

 $= \left| 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \right| f_0(z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z)$

- [5] Ch. Pommerenke, On meromorphic starlike functions, Pacific J. Math. 13 (1963) 221-235.
- [6] W.C Royster, Meromorphic starlike multivalent functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.107 (1963). 300-308.
- [7] H. Silverman, Univalent functions with negative coefficients, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 51(1975), 109-116.