ISSN (Online): 2319-7064

Index Copernicus Value (2015): 78.96 | Impact Factor (2015): 6.391

# A Study on Stress Management among College Teachers in Andhra Pradesh

Ch. Lakshmi Narahari<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Kalpana Koneru<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>MBA. (PhD). Research Scholar, Vignan's University, Vadlamudi, Guntur (Dt.), AP.

<sup>2</sup>Research Supervisor, Associate Professor, School of Management Studies, Vignan's University, Vadlamudi, Guntur (Dt.), AP.

Abstract: Stress at work can be a real tricky to the organization as well as for its workers. Good management and good work organization are the best forms of stress prevention. If employees are already stressed, their managers should be aware of it and know to help. Work related stress is the retort people may have when present with work demands and pressures that are not matched to their knowledge and abilities and which encounter their ability to cope. Stress occurs in a wide range of work situations but is often made worse when employees feel they have little support from supervisors and colleagues and where they can cope with its demands and pressures. There is often confusion between pressure or challenge and stress and sometimes it is used to excuse bad management exercise. In the workplace and at home, stress and other difficult situation are at an all-time high. Factors such as job insecurity, long hours, continuous change and impractical deadlines can cause serious problem for workers. The aim and goal of the paper is to know the various factors to stimulate stress level among teachers in college level. Workplace stress occurs when there is an imbalance the demands and perceived pressures of the work environment and a specific ability to cope. An individual's experience of stress at work is to a large extent affected by the level of control they have over their working condition / densities, the degree of support they receive from others in the workplace and the strategies they use to respond to work pressures.

Keywords: Stress, college teachers, Causes and consequences

#### 1. Introduction

Nowadays stress becomes universal phenomenon. Abrol (1990) discussed about, Every person wants more and more for the attainment of pleasure, due to this competition is increased in every field of life and this competition generates stress among people no doubt the competition is must but we don't ignore its result in the recent years as more and more are coming to take on many jobs.

But these college teachers facing various Challenges, one is stress and stress is one cause of coronary heart disease. Stress is common among the career at Workplace. Nowadays the percentage of coronary heart disease is increased among College teachers the main causes are work related stress, value conflict, type of work, standard of living, nutrition, lack of physical exercise. Aditi and Kumari (2005) discussed in their research teachers facing lot of problems like overweight, body ache, and psychosomatic effect etc. These working in under stress because of they have to perform various roles. The expectation are high from's if they working as college teachers. They have the pressure of balancing work and family. All these factors influence in health-William (1991) and weib (1991) suggest about the health problem of career. Blue menthol (1995) also investigated the job stress effect on health. Sudan (1998) remarks that psychometric disorders are increasing rapidly.

It is a general belief in many cultures that the role of is to build and maintain the homely affairs like task of fetching water, cooking and rearing children. Since the turn of the century, the status of in India has been changing due to growing industrialization, urbanisation, spatial mobility and social legislation Anitha Devi (2007). With the spread of education and awareness, have shifted from kitchen to higher level of professional activities.

### 2. Review of Literature

Els Clays, Francoise Leynen, Dirk De Bacquer, Marcel Kornitzer, France Kittel, Robert Karasek, Guy De Backer, (2007) The aim of their study was to assess whether job strain is associated with 21-hour ambulatory blood pressure measurements within a subsample of the Belgian Job Stress Project (BELSTRESS) population. Methods: A group of 89 middle-aged male and female workers perceiving high job strain and an equally large group of workers perceiving no high job strain wore an ambulatory blood pressure monitor for 21 hours on a regular working day. Results: Mean ambulatory blood pressure at work, at home, and while asleep was significantly higher in workers with job strain as compared with others. The associations between job strain and ambulatory blood pressure were independent from the covariates. Conclusions: Within this study, high job strain was an important independent risk factor for higher ambulatory blood pressure at work, at home, and during sleep in a group of men and Chantal Guimont, Chantal Brisson, Gilles R. Dagenais, Alain Milot, Michel Vézina, Benoît Mâsse, Jocelyne Moisan, Nathalie Laflamme, and Caty Blanchette, (2006) have evaluated whether cumulative exposure to job strain increases blood pressure through A prospective study of 8395 white-collar workers was initiated during 1991 to 1993. At follow-up, 7.5 years later, 81% of the participants were reassessed to estimate cumulative exposure to job strain. Results. Compared with men who had never been exposed, men with cumulative exposure and those who became exposed during follow-up showed significant systolic blood pressure increments of 1.8 mm Hg (95% confidence interval [CI] =0.1, 3.5) and 1.5 mm Hg (95% CI=0.2, 2.8), respectively, and relative risks of blood pressure increases in the highest quintile group of 1.33 (95%) CI = 1.01, 1.76) and 1.10 (95% CI = 1.11, 1.73). Effect magnitudes were smaller among. Effects tended to be more

Volume 6 Issue 6, June 2017

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

ISSN (Online): 2319-7064

Index Copernicus Value (2015): 78.96 | Impact Factor (2015): 6.391

pronounced among men and with low levels of social support at work. Results showed that among these white-collar workers, exposure to cumulative job strain had a modest but significant effect on systolic blood pressure among men. The risk was of comparable magnitude to that observed for age and sedentary behaviour. Men and with low levels of social support at work appeared to be at higher risk for increases in blood pressure.

Christopher Gilbers., (2003), reviews evidenced that normalizing breathing patterns may offer help in some cases of essential hypertension, angina, functional chest disorder, Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD), and cardiac rehabilitation. Hyperventilation and hypoventilation. His article states that inhibited breathing, and breathing volume is closely matched to metabolic needs. Such disordered breathing has varying effects on acid base balance, arterial diameter, and sodium retention by the kidneys. Therefore, a chronic breathing imbalance can contribute to path physiology, which may be remediable to an extent by altering habitual breathing patterns.

Hodson, R. and Chamberlain, L.J,(2003) Job stress is a problem for both workers and organizations. It undercuts meaning and joy in work, has negative health consequences, and reduces organizational effectiveness. Understanding the full range of determinants of job stress has been difficult, however, because in-depth information on both jobs and organizations is difficult to acquire. The current article makes use of a new data set based on content coding job, organizational, and job stress information from the full population of published book-length organizational ethnographies (N=125). This new data set allows the simultaneous exploration of both organizational and joblevel determinants of job stress. The analysis confirms the long-established importance of job autonomy as a positive factor in reducing stress. New findings include positive roles for organizational coherence and local ownership. Finally, the effects of some job stressors are mediated by social involvement in the workplace, both through informal coworker relations and through more formal participation programs. Overall, organizational effects on stress are as significant as or more significant than job effects suggesting the importance of giving further attention to organizational characteristics as a less examined set of determinants of job

Susan Gill, Marilyn J Davidson., (2001), investigated a large sample of German and British managers selected from the private and public sectors completed the Pressure Manage Indicator (PMI), through a 12- item self-report questionnaire developed from the Occupational Stress Indicator (OSI). The PMI provides a global measure as well as differentiated profiles of occupational stress. Outcome measures include work satisfaction, organizational security, organizational satisfaction, and commitment, as well as physical well-being (physical symptoms and exhaustion) and psychological health (anxiety depression, worry and resilience). In additional moderator variables are assessed including type a behaviour, internal focus of Control and coping strategies. The data from the PMI show that, when compared with British managers, the German managers reported greater job satisfaction and lower levels of resilience. The German managers displayed substantially higher pressure from the homework interface but less pressure from the need to have their achievements recognized. German managers reported higher levels of impatience (a subscale of type of behaviour), coupled with high internal control (extent to which individual feels able to influence and control events) and made more use of coping strategies especially problem-focused measures.

Sheppard (1997), identified the effects of a stress-management programme in a high security government agency. 11 employees of a regional branch of a federal government agency volunteered to participate in a 3-mo stress management programme. After a series of protests, the SS were randomly assigned to one of 2 groups. Transcendental Meditation (TM) or an education control designated "Corporate Stress Management" (CSM). After the 12 - week intervention period, and again after 32 years, SS were are administered same test battery. The 3- mo result reveled a reduction in anxiety and depression in the TM group. The 3- yr result suggested a reduction in anxiety, depression, and improved self-concept in the TM group.

### **Objectives of the Study**

- 1) To identify the various causes for stresses that affects the teachers in the college atmosphere
- To assess the perception of the personnel towards their Job Stress.
- To know the consequences of stress among college teachers.
- 4) To identify the different ways in which the teachers manage stress
- 5) To suggest ways to manage stress

#### Area of the Study

The study is confined to Andhra Pradesh only.

### Scope of the Study

This study of the stress management depends on the college teachers and then management. Because the stress related to work, family, decision, your future, and more. Stress is both physical and mental. It is caused by major life events such as illness, the death of a loved one, a change in responsibilities or expectation at work and increase job promotion, avoids loss, or changes in the organization. Changing worker demographics (race/ethnicity, gender, and age) and worker safety and health

#### Importance of the Study

Stress underlies such diverse conditions as psychosomatic, heart diseases and can be a major contributor to disturbances in one's emotional, social, company and family life. It inhibits creativity and personal effectiveness and exhibits itself in a general dissatisfaction; there is great impact in college teachers that end up with stress. Thus an attempt is made to assess the various dimensions of stress among college teachers

## 3. Methodology Research Design

### **Exploratory Research Design**

It is being used for clear and precise investigation and information is gathered about practical problems on a

Volume 6 Issue 6, June 2017

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

ISSN (Online): 2319-7064

Index Copernicus Value (2015): 78.96 | Impact Factor (2015): 6.391

particular conjectural statements. The sampling used in this study is 'Simple random sampling' because the sample is selected with equal probability.

### Sample Size

Since the population for the survey is very large, and due to time limitation a sample size of 50 is taken for the survey with help of questionnaire

#### **Data Collection**

### **Primary Data**

Survey method is employed to collect the data from the respondents and the data are collected with the help of questionnaires.

### **Research Tools**

- Percentage analysis.
- Chi-square test.
- ANOVA

**Limitations** As the research is restricted within Andhra Pradesh, results are not applicable to other areas of India; Limited number of respondents has been chosen due to time constraint and this could affect the accuracy of result to certain extent;

### **Data Analysis and Interpretations**

### Percentage Analysis

**Table 1:** Respondents Age

S.No	Particulars	Frequency	Percentage
1.	20-25	21	12
2.	26-30	19	38
3.	31-35	8	16
1.	35 and Above	2	1
Total		50	100

Source: Primary Data

From the above table, it is clear that 12% of the respondents are in 20-25 age group, 38% of the respondents are in 26-30 age group, 12% of the respondents are in 31-35 age group, 1% of the respondents are in 35 & above age group.

 Table 2: Respondents Designation

Sl.No	Particulars	Fre.	Per. (%)
1.	Head of the dept.	2	1
2.	Professor	3	6
3.	Associate professor	3	6
4.	Assistant Professor	12	81
	Total	50	100

Source: Primary Data

From the above table, it is clear that, 1% of the respondents fall under the category of head of the dept.,6% of the respondents belongs to the category of professor,6% respondents belongs to the category of Associate professor,81% respondents belongs to the category of Assistant professor.

**Table 3:** Respondents Opinion Regarding Insufficient Challenging Work

Sl.No	Particulars	Fre.	Per. (%)
1.	Always	0	0
2.	Often	0	0
3.	Sometimes	5	10
4.	Rarely	10	20
5.	Never	35	70
_	Total	50	100

Source: Primary Data

From the above table, it is clear that, 10% respondents

Are said (sometimes) insufficient challenging work, 20%? Respondents are said (Rarely) insufficient challenging

Work and then remaining 70% respondents are said

(Never) insufficient challenging work.

**Table 4:** Respondents Opinion Regarding Heavy Work

Load					
Sl.No	Particulars	Fre.	Per. (%)		
1.	Always	11	82		
2.	Often	9	18		
3.	Sometimes	0	0		
4.	Rarely	0	0		
5.	Never	0	0		
	Total	50	100		

Source: Primary Data

From the above table, it is clear that, 82% respondents are said (Always) heavy work load, and then remaining 18% respondents are said (Often) heavy work load.

**Table 5:** Respondents Opinion Regarding Much Pressure To Target

141800					
Sl.No	Particulars	Fre.	Per. (%)		
1.	Always	11	82		
2.	Often	7	11		
3.	Sometimes	0	0		
4.	Rarely	2	1		
5.	Never	0	0		
	Total	50	100		

Source: Primary Data

From the above table, it is clear that, 82% respondents are said (Always) much pressure to target, 11% respondents are said (Often) much pressure to target and then remaining 1% respondents are said (Rarely) much pressure to target.

**Table 6:** Respondents Opinion Regarding Lack of Involvement in Decision Making

	III ( OI ( CIII CIII I	Decision in	ming
Sl.No	Particulars	Fre.	Per. (%)
1.	Always	0	0
2.	Often	0	0
3.	Sometimes	2	1
1.	Rarely	11	28
5.	Never	31	68
	Total	50	100

Source: Primary Data

From the above table, it is clear that, 1% respondents are said (Sometimes) lack of involvement in decision making,

Volume 6 Issue 6, June 2017

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

ISSN (Online): 2319-7064

Index Copernicus Value (2015): 78.96 | Impact Factor (2015): 6.391

28% respondents are said (Rarely) lack of involvement in decision making and then remaining 68% respondents are said (Never) involvement in decision making.

Table 7: Respondents Opinion Regarding Sexual Problems

Sl.No	Particulars	Fre.	Per. (%)
1.	Always	38	76
2.	Often	7	11
3.	Sometimes	0	0
1.	Rarely	2	1
5.	Never	3	6
	Total	50	100

Source: Primary Data

From the above table, it is clear that, 76% respondents are said (Always) sexual problems, 11% respondents are said (Often) sexual problems, 1% respondents are said (Rarely) sexual problems and then remaining 6% respondents are said (Never) sexual problems.

# CHI - Square Test

To Test Association between Salary And

## **Insufficient Challenging Work**

## Null Hypothesis (Ho)

There is no significant association between salary andinadequateexciting work.

### Alternative Hypothesis (H1)

There is significant association between salaries

And insufficient challenging work.

**Table 8:** (Salary \* insufficient challenging work)

	Insufficient Challenging Work				
Salary	Some Times Rarely Neve				
> 10,000	5	10	25		
Rs. 11,000 to 20,000	0	0	8		
Rs 21,000 to 30,000	0 0 2		2		

Total 5 10 35

Degrees of freedom: 1; Chi-square = 5.35

For significance at the .05 level, chi-square should be greater than or equal to 9.19.

The distribution is not significant.

#### Inference

Hence the x2 value is less than the table value we accept the null hypothesis and conclude that there is no significant association between salary and insufficient challenging work.

# To Test Association between Qualification and Heavy Work Load

### **Null Hypothesis (Ho):**

There is no significant association between qualifications and Heavy work load.

## **Alternative Hypothesis (H1):**

There is significant association between qualifications and Heavy work load.

**Table 9:** (Qualification \* Heavy work load)

Qualification	Heavy W	Total		
Qualification	Always	Often	Total	
Ph.D	7	0	7	
M.Phil.	9	9	18	
P.G	25	0	25	
Total	11	9	50	

Degrees of freedom: 2; Chi-square = 19.51

Table Value = 5.99; The distribution is significant.

### Inference

Hence the x2 value is greater than the table value we reject the null hypothesis and conclude that there is significant association between qualification and Heavy work load

ANOVA Analysing Factor: Insufficient Challenging Work

	Analysing Fact	or: msume	ient Chane	inging work	<u> </u>	
		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
TT 337 1	Between Groups	3.391	2	1.697	20.013	
Heavy Work Load	Within Groups	3.986	17	0.085		.000 **
Loau	Total	7.38	19			
D 1	Between Groups	2.519	2	1.271	3.11	
Pressure to take	Within Groups	19.071	17	0.106		.052 *
up the results	Total	21.62	19			
Ticc .	Between Groups	17.977	2	8.989	31.901	
Efforts are not	Within Groups	13.213	17	0.282		.000 **
Recognized	Total	31.22	19			
T 1 (C) 1:	Between Groups	3.977	2	1.989	31.075	.000 **
Lack of Clarity	Within Groups	2.713	17	0.058		
about Role	Total	6.72	19			
Lack of Clarity	Between Groups	3.711	2	1.857	20.367	
about Role	Within Groups	1.286	17	0.091		.000 **
Autonomy	Total	8	19			
T 1 C	Between Groups	3.52	2	1.76	6.893	
Lack of	Within Groups	12	17	0.255		.002 **
Involvement	Total	15.52	19			1
	Between Groups	1.119	2	0.721	2.172	
Organizational	Within Groups	13.771	17	0.293		.095 *
Change	Total	15.22	19			1

Volume 6 Issue 6, June 2017

www.ijsr.net

<u>Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY</u>

ISSN (Online): 2319-7064

Index Copernicus Value (2015): 78.96 | Impact Factor (2015): 6.391

C IW I'	Between Groups	0.137	2	0.069	0.91	
Good Working Environment	Within Groups	3.513	17	0.075		0.11
Environment	Total	3.68	19			1
Good	Between Groups	1.931	2	2.167	2.768	
Relationship With	Within Groups	11.886	17	0.891		.073 *
Head of the department	Total	16.82	19			.073
Good	Between Groups	0.511	2	0.257	3.032	
Relationship with	Within Groups	3.986	17	0.085		.058 *
Colleagues	Total	1.5	19			1
II	Between Groups	3.231	2	1.617	11.902	
Unsatisfactory Work	Within Groups	6.386	17	0.136		.000 **
WOIK	Total	9.62	19			
G1 :	Between Groups	8.309	2	1.151	9.091	.000 **
Sleeping Problems	Within Groups	21.171	17	0.157		
Problems	Total	29.78	19			
	Between Groups	29.129	2	11.711	22.257	
Sexual Problems	Within Groups	31.071	17	0.661		.000 **
	Total	60.5	19			
TO: 1	Between Groups	12.331	2	6.167	55.895	
Financial	Within Groups	5.186	17	0.11		.000 **
Problems	Total	17.52	19			
	Between Groups	0.511	2	0.257	3.032	
Increase absence	Within Groups	3.986	17	0.085		.058 *
in Job	Total	1.5	19			1
	Between Groups	0.991	2	0.197	2.572	
Look for	Within Groups	9.086	17	0.193		.087 *
Promotion	Total	10.08	19			1

<sup>\*\*</sup> Significant at 0.01 percent level

### **Inference**

From the above ANOVA table it is inferred that 16 factors are considered as depending variable for the analysing variable insufficient challenging work. Out of 16 factors 15 factors are significant with the analysing variable. The factor good working environment is not significant with the analysing factor.

## 4. Findings

- It was found that 82 % of respondents always have heavy work load within the organization.
- It has been found that 82 % of respondents have much pressure to take up the result
- It has been found that Majority of the respondents have opinion that their efforts are always not recognized in the Institution.
- It has been found that 76 % of respondents have always sexual problems within the organization.
- Majority of 92% the respondents are always satisfied with good working environment.
- According to the chi-square analysis, it is found that, there
  is no significant association between the salary of the
  respondents and insufficient challenging work.
- According to the chi-square analysis, it is found that, there
  is no significant association between the qualification of
  the respondents and heavy work load.
- According to the chi-square analysis, it is found that, there
  is no significant association between the qualification of
  the respondents and look for promotion.

- According to the chi-square analysis, it is found that, there
  is no significant association between the designation of
  the respondents and efforts are not recovered or
  recognized.
- Above According to the chi-square analysis, it is found that, there is no significant association between the salary of the respondents and financial problem.

# 5. Suggestions

- If the institution concentrate and give more importance to financial problems, Unsatisfactory Work, working environment the level of depression rate will be reduced comparing with the present level.
- Since the individual often get into stress due to organization changes, proper communication should be given to reduce such stress. Seek professional help when appropriate.
- Employee can exercise regularly and get enough sleep. Make time to enjoy an activity outside the work place.
- If you dislike something at home or work, try to change those things that trouble you. "Griping" doesn't solve much.
- Maintain a positive attitude; this will make it easier to live and work with others. Learn about the various relaxation methods available to help you ease your daily tensions.
- Do activities that help you feel relaxed and content (e.g., taking a brisk walk, stretching, or imagining you are in a favourite place).

### 6. Conclusion

Volume 6 Issue 6, June 2017

www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY

<sup>\*</sup> Significant at 0.05 percent level.

ISSN (Online): 2319-7064

Index Copernicus Value (2015): 78.96 | Impact Factor (2015): 6.391

- Work stress is a real challenge for college teachers and their employing institution. As institution and their working environment transform, so do the kinds of stress problems that employees may face. It is important that your workplace is being continuously monitored for stress problems.
- Further, it is not only important to identify stress problems and to deal with them but to promote healthy work and reduced harmful aspects of work. Work in itself can be a self-promoting activity as long as it takes place in a safe, development and health- promoting environment.

Successful employers and managers provide leadership in dealing with the challenge of work stress.

### References

- Abrol, K.K., 1990, A study of Language Strain and Coping behaviours of Teachers, Psycholingua, 20: 173-178.
- [2] Aditi, N. and Kumari, B., 2005, Impact of personality patterns and employment status on psychological stress tolerance of in Kerala. Indian Psy.Rev., 61(2): 103-108.
- [3] Anitha Devi, S., 2007, Occupational stress: A comparative study of indifferent occupations. Prajnan, 35(1):61-71.
- [4] Els Clays, Francoise Leynen, Dirk De Bacquer, Marcel Kornitzer, France Kittel, Robert Karasek, Guy De Backer, (2007) High Job Strain and Ambulatory Blood Pressure in Middle-Aged Men and From the BelgianJob StressStudyChantal Guimont, Chantal Brisson, Gilles R. Dagenais,
- [5] Alain Milot, Michel Vézina, Benoît Mâsse, Jocelyne Moisan, Nathalie Laflamme, and Caty Blanchette, (2006), Effects of Job Strain on BloodPressure: A ProspectiveStudy of Male andFemale White-Collar WorkersChristopher Gilbers., (2003), "Reviews EvidenceNormalizing Breathing Patterns" All India Instituteof Medical Science, New Delhi.
- [6] Hodson, R. and Chamberlain, L. J, 2003 "Sources ofReduced Job Stres Organizational Coherence, Local Ownership and Worker Involvement"Susan Gill, Marilyn J Davidson., (2001), "Organizational
- [7] Job Satisfaction", University of FloridaSheppard., (1997), "Stress Management", Human Relations, New Delhi.
- [8] Mujtaba and McCartney, (2007), "Research over the situations begins stress" New Book, Publication, New Delhi. "The Icfaian Journal management", Research Vol VII No-12 Dec 2008.

Volume 6 Issue 6, June 2017 www.ijsr.net

Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY