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Abstract: The rate of births delivered by cesarean section (CS) has gone up substantially all over the world. Post-cesarean surgical site infection (SSI) is a common cause of maternal morbidity and mortality that results in prolonged period of hospitalization with increased cost and direct health implications, especially in low socioeconomic population, resource- restricted settings, and war- related conditions with internal forced movement. This study was aimed to find incidence of post cesarean section surgical site infection with the accompanying risk factors. Pregnant ladies admitted to department of obstetrics and gynecology at Medical City Hospital in Baghdad who had undergone CS were followed up prospectively from first of January 2017 till end of June 2017. Full medical evaluation was done to assess all clinical, laboratory, and sociodemographic characteristics of both; patients and controls. Statistical comparison was measured to appraise the important risk factors. A total of 3036 pregnant ladies were recruited, 191 patients had SSI with an incidence rate of 6.3%. The above-mentioned 191 patients constituted of 93 (48.7%) internally moved patients due to the war, while they were 97 patients (25.4%) out of 382 control- group. Obesity, diabetes mellitus, emergency CS, vertical incision, interrupted suturing, and rupture of membranes before CS, were significant risk factors of post CS SSI. Rate and several risk factors of post CS SSI were studied to increase the public attention, and to take full steps to decrease it prophylactically.
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1. Introduction

Surgical site infection (SSI) is considered as one of the most common healthcare-associated infections, especially in low and middle-income countries. [1-3]

However; surgical site infection (SSI) after cesarean section is one of the major infections that can affect patients with a cesarean section (C-section) procedure. [4]

Caesarean section (CS) is among the most frequent surgical interventions in women all over the world. [5,6]

Despite recent surgical parameters and antibiotic prophylaxis, SSI is still standing significantly behind morbidity, mortality and healthcare-associated costs, especially if we know that CS increases the risk of postpartum infections by five to even twenty-fold compared to usual or vaginal delivery. [7-9]

Due to the worldwide ongoing rise in the incidence of cesarean deliveries, the number of women with postpartum infection is expected to increase. The SSI following CS causes physical, psychological and financial burden to the lady, her family and community. [10-12]

Difficulties to the mother and her family are exaggerated when SSI develops, especially in today’s climate of early hospital discharge, which leaves women to stay at home, sometimes with little practical and/or emotional support. [13]

Obstetrical surgery involves some degree of contamination, and is classified as “clean- contaminated” cases, even when the patient has no preoperative signs and symptoms of active infection. So; pregnant women are at risk of infection during delivery, which may be enhanced by the relative immunocompromised state of pregnancy. [14]

Risk factors contributing to an increase in SSI post CS are widely variable such as gross contamination of the operative site, prolonged and premature rupture of membranes, prolonged operative time, obstructed labor, obesity, chorioamnionitis, emergency operation and decreased immune status, which are especially common in poor countries where women may be malnourished and chronically anemic. [15-18]

Aim

To find out the incidence and associated risk factors of SSI after CS which may have an impact on increasing alertness among obstetricians aiming to prevent such a problem.

2. Methods

This prospective study was conducted in the department of obstetrics and gynecology, Medical City Hospital, Baghdad, Iraq, from the first of January 2017 till end of June of the same year.

A total number of 3036 women who had undergone CS as a mode of delivery were enrolled, with ladies who had exploratory laparotomy after lower segment CS were excluded.

Medical history and examination were done for all patients by the attending obstetrician, with wound examination for the development of SSI when women got back for stitch removal around ten days post-operatively or at any other visit during puerperium.

For each one confirmed case of SSI, two randomly selected cases with no SSI (from the same total sample of patients within study period) were considered as a control to compare and identify any associated risk factor with SSI. Also; for each patient, either in diseased or control group; there had been some specific information collected such as: age, parity, address (to document if woman was native or not) and other characteristics of study patients.

In Baghdad, there had been some specific information collected such as: age, parity, address (to document if woman was native or not) and other characteristics of study patients.

internally moved), CS type (emergency or elective), academic level (according to literacy), history of smoking, occupation, and level of hematocrit (as anemia was considered when hematocrit (PCV) was less than 30% immediately before surgery. [19]

Fasting blood sugar measurements were documented at time of CS, and diabetes mellitus was diagnosed when it exceeded 105 mg/dl. [20]

Body mass index (BMI) was calculated for all patients depending on their height and weight. We divided BMI readings into two categories; either < 30 (non-obese) or ≥30 (obese). [1,21,22]

Regarding SSI, all types were involved in this study which included: [23]

Superficial SSI: infection involves just skin or underlying subcutaneous tissue that was managed in a conservative way by daily dressing and appropriate antibiotics.

Deep incisional SSI: infection involves deep tissue (like fascial and muscle layers) or presence of wound dehiscence which needed secondary suturing.

Organ/ space SSI: infection involves any part of the anatomy (e.g. organs or spaces), apart from the incision, which was manipulated during an operation that required exploration and suturing. Statistical analysis was accomplished using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), version 24, with P value of < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

3. Results

Out of 3036 women involved, 191 (6.3%) of them had SSI, which represents the incidence rate of SSI post CS in our setting. Ladies whom < 20 years old were 392 (12.9%), while they constituted 1582 patients (52.1%) when they aged 20 – 30 years old, and 917 (30.2%) women were within an age range of 30 – 40 years old, and finally when they were > 40 years old, 145 (4.8%) women were found in our sample.

Table (1) shows general characteristics of case- group (patients with SSI) and control- group (with no SSI), where we had no statistically significant differences for all variables except for obesity (body mass index ≥30). These risk factors (variables) included age of the pregnant mothers, parity, smoking behavior, education status (literate or non-literate), and main work or duty that these ladies whom entered into this study had for living or daily practice.

Emergency CS, membrane rupture before delivery, and sort of abdominal skin incision and / or suture, played an important statistically significant role being risk factors to develop SSI, as detailed in table (2).

Anemia and diabetes were more common with SSI patients, but only diabetes readings reached the significant level (P value < 0.05), as mentioned in table (3).

4. Discussion

Cesarean section (CS) is one of the commonest and most famous surgeries in obstetrics. Surgical site infections (SSI) rise maternal morbidity, elongate hospital admission, and have a direct effect on healthcare cost. [10]

Usually most data and articles come from modern world, with high quality medical services and an effective health system, but their findings, conclusions, and recommendations would not always fit other parts of the world with restricted resources and limited facilities.

Herein this prospective study, in addition to the above point, another important aspect could be added regarding patients whom internally moved due to a war waged in the country, after terrorism caused by appearance of what was called [Islamic State in Iraq and Syria (ISIS)] in some regions of Iraq.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter (disease)</th>
<th>Case (n=191)</th>
<th>Control (n=382)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>37 (19.4%)</td>
<td>16 (8.4%)</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anemia</td>
<td>74 (38.7%)</td>
<td>127 (33.2%)</td>
<td>0.6483</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor</th>
<th>Case (n=191)</th>
<th>Control (n=382)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Organ/space SSI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of CS, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency</td>
<td>170 (89.0%)</td>
<td>197 (51.6%)</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elective</td>
<td>21 (11.0%)</td>
<td>185 (48.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Types of incision, n (%):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical</td>
<td>74 (38.7%)</td>
<td>60 (15.7%)</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal</td>
<td>117 (61.3%)</td>
<td>322 (84.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of skin suturing:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interrupted</td>
<td>132 (69.1%)</td>
<td>91 (23.8%)</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcuticular</td>
<td>59 (30.9%)</td>
<td>291 (76.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rupture of membranes before CS:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>119 (62.3%)</td>
<td>117 (30.6%)</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>72 (37.7%)</td>
<td>265 (69.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
According to our knowledge, no data were published up to date dealing with such difficult circumstances.

The current study was performed in a university-affiliated tertiary obstetrics and gynecology center which received patients’ referrals from different parts of the state. Many of pregnant ladies visiting this center were part of families forced to leave their local regions and moved to safer areas within the country. Precisely, 93 patients (48.7%) out of 191 patients with SSI after CS, and 97 patients (25.4%) out of 382 control-patients.

Reporters at different parts of the world gave a wide range of readings for rates of SSI after CS, from 0.3%- 48%. [1,24,25]

The rate of SSI related to CS in our data was 6.3%, which is considered low in comparison to other parts of the globe, like in Norway (8.3%) [26], UK (9.6%) [27], and (17%) in Australia [28]. Going to Africa; 9.1% was in Nigeria [19], 11.4% in Ethiopia [29], and an extremely high rate (48%) in Tanzania [1]. While in India; it was 7.4% which was slightly higher than this study. [24]

Lower rates were found in United States (5%), and New Zealand (5%) [30], while in Rwanda it was slightly lower (4.9%). [11]

Interestingly, the lowest rates were noticed in the Middle East, as (0.3%) in Turkey [31], (2.66%) in Oman [32], and an old data from a remote town in Iraq (2.9%). [33]

Most of collected patients with SSI were detected usually after returning back to hospital for suture removal as a scheduled visit in harmony with hospital policy, so that; this rate may be lower than the real, as not all patients came back to the same hospital when they had SSI, especially if we add the difficult situations of internally moved patients when they could not reach the hospital easily, in spite of limited availability of medical care at their camps or even private interim houses, which in turn, may increase the risk of SSI within this group of patients.

Lower SSI rates in the Middle East may be due to the approach of detection method (during hospital stay or after discharge), accuracy of registration system, and/or antibiotics abuse, when patients usually take antibiotics even without prescription before CS incision.

However; our incidence of SSI was even higher than other neighbors. About half of SSI cases (48.7%) were internally moved people with all their background deficient capabilities to take prophylactic measures regularly, or seek medical help at the right time when SSI developed.

Cesarean sections performed by trainees or insufficiently experienced obstetricians (usually available at a university hospital), may be blamed for a more delay in operation time and add another justification point about our high incidence of SSI. [10,34]

Variable risk factors of post cesarean section surgical site infection were investigated within this study, revealing the following significant risk factors; Obesity, emergency CS, vertical incision, interrupted sutures, rupture of membranes before CS, and diabetes mellitus.

Obesity was a well-known cause for many workers and may be related to hypo-vascular thick subcutaneous tissue which results in lower immunity, larger and / or longer than usual CS incision with wider wound, and less availability of penetrated antibiotics into adipose tissues. [22,35]

Emergency CS has been linked to SSI through more frequent vaginal examinations with greater opportunity for membranes to rupture before delivery, highly urgent operation, less concerns about sterility, and absence of prophylactic antibiotics on time. [36-38]

Some authors found Type of CS (either emergency or elective) was not a significant risk factor of SSI. [19,25]

A vertical incision of CS was mentioned by many papers to increase the risk of SSI and may lead to formation of a hematoma due to less vascular tissues, while a transverse incision was associated with less wound dehiscence. [39-41] Suturing techniques played an important role in SSI development after CS, interrupted suturing was a good predictor of SSI, when compared to a subcuticular technique which had lower infection events. [23,42]

The rupture of membranes before CS was attributed to SSI risk factors. An infected amniotic fluid may transfer pathogens into CS incisions with chorioamnionitis could be a final result. [40,43]

There was a strong relation between post CS SSI and diabetic mothers. The idea of improper white blood cells function, and the metabolic abnormalities of diabetes lead to impaired migration of neutrophils and macrophages to the infected wound, in line with chemotaxis reduction, may explain the above link. [23,24,27]

Some reporters showed no real relation with diabetes in spite of some increase in incidence of SSI after CS. [22,45]

Anemia at time of CS in these series did not significantly affect SSI rates, in favor of other publishers. [24]

Other researchers documented anemia after CS as a significant risk factor due to intraoperative blood loss, which was not measured by our data due to lack of information. [19,35]

Non-significant risk factors found in this data were supported by others, when there was a trend towards a younger age group, and less parity or even nulliparity. [10,19,35,46]

This study has some strong aspects; such as its large sample size of patients, it may be the first to involve internally moved patients with low potentials of medical and social facilities, and the setting where this research had been performed, which was considered the largest and best obstetrics center in the whole country (in spite of the above mentioned limited potentials).
We could not follow up all patients completely due to lack of an effective follow up screening method for SSI after CS, and difficult or abnormal circumstances that some of our patients might had (forceful internal movement). Other potential risk factors such as amount of blood loss, number of vaginal examinations before CS, duration of cesarean operation, and an elapsed time before surgery since rupture of membranes, were not measured during this study because of unavailability of sufficient resources within a medical facility. All these could be considered as weak aspects of this study.

5. Conclusion

Standing on the most important points to identify risk factors of SSI after CS, with its incidence rate, would lead obstetricians to pay more attention during daily practice, and paving a roadmap to prevent or decrease the rate of these infections, especially in low socioeconomic societies where it is hard to identify post CS SSI and even to treat it.
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