# Dynamic Investigation of β-Hydroxy Amide Derivatives by 400 MHz <sup>1</sup>H-NMR

## **Mehmet GÜVEN<sup>1</sup> , Mehmet Zafer KÖYLÜ<sup>2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>PhD. Science Faculty, Department of Physics, Dicle University, 21280-Diyarbakir / Turkey

<sup>2</sup>Science Faculty, Department of Physics, Dicle University, 21280-Diyarbakir / Turkey

**Abstract:** *In this study,*  $T_1$  *and*  $T_2$  *relaxation times of some β-hydroxy amide derivatives was measured at room temperature (25 <sup><i>0*</sup>C) *by* 400 MHz <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrometer. The motion of molecules was investigated by finding  $\tau_c$  correlation time from the rate of  $R_1/R_2 = (1/T_1)/2(1/T_2)$   $T_1$  times of Ar-OH, Amide-NH and Alcohol-OH groups in β-hydroxy amide 1 appears greater than the  $T_1$  times of Ar-OH, Amide -NH and Alcohol groups in β-hydroxy amide 2 and 3. This result shows that  $\pi-\pi$  interactions as well *as non-covalent intramolecular interactions in ligand 2 and 3 which carry more bulky groups.*  $\tau_c$  values of all molecules are found in ns *range. This indicates that the motion is based on dipolar interactions.*

**Keywords:** β-hydroxy amide,  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ , molecular dynamic, correlation time

#### **1. Introduction**

Hydroxy amides are anti-convulsants [1], thrombin inhibitors [2], and RAR- $\gamma$ -specific retinoid agonists [3]. They are also intermediates for the synthesis of oxazolidinediones [4], oxindoles [5],  $\beta$ -lactams [6], and antidepressant drugs, for example, (R)- fluoxetine, and building blocks for the synthesis of biologically active compounds.

Molecular recognition determines the binding of two molecules. Thus, understanding molecular recognition is of interest in both fundamental studies and has practical applications in chemical industries and drug discovery. βhydroxy amides have been widely studied for molecular recognition. Therefore, the relaxation studies of β-hydroxy amides give useful information about molecular dynamics in free states [7,8].

The study of viscous solutions by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) relaxation methods can provide useful information concerning rotational motion, transport properties, molecular structure, and molecular interactions. In fact, it is often more useful to investigate the region of reduced molecular motion (where correlation times are typically in the nanosecond to millisecond range) described by the phrase ''outside of the region of extreme narrowing'' than to investigate the region of ''extreme narrowing.'' The term ''extreme narrowing'' is used to describe rapid molecular rotation (picoseconds correlation times) which simplifies the rotational correlation equations [9-25].

In this study, firstly,  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  relaxation times were measured for dynamic studies of β-hydroxy amides. Secondly, an equation was derived for  $\tau_c$  from the ratio of  $R = (1/T_1)/2(1/T_2)$  using the relaxation rate formulas derived for homonuclear dipolar interactions. Then the  $\tau_c$ 

values were calculated for each peak of the β-hydroxy amide derivatives in solution. Finally,  $\tau_c$  values were analyzed.

### **2. Material and Method**

The three β-hydroxy amide derivatives used in this study are shown in Fig.1 [26-27].



**β-hydroxy amide 2**

**Volume 7 Issue 10, October 2018 [www.ijsr.net](file:///D:\IJSR%20Website\www.ijsr.net)** [Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)



#### **β-hydroxy amide 3**

**Figure 1:**Schemes of β-hydroxy amide derivatives 1, 2, and 3.

#### **3. Experimental**

#### **3.1 Preparation of Samples**

10 mg of each β-hydroxy amide derivatives were taken to measure  $T_1$  spin-lattice and  $T_2$  spin-spin relaxation times. It was then dissolved in 5 mL of DMSO-d6 to prepare three different stock solutions of each hydroxy amide derivatives. 600 μL of each sample was transferred into 5 mm-NMR tubes and degassed three times by the freeze-thaw method and sealed for measurements.

## **3.2. Measurements of**  $T_1$  **Spin-Lattice and**  $T_2$  **Spin-Spin Relaxation Times**

 $T_1$  was measured using the Inversion Recovery technique

 $(\pi - \tau - \pi/2)$  at room temperature by AVANCE BRUKER 400 MHz <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrometer.  $\tau$  delay times were taken 0.2 s, 0.4 s, 0.8 s, 1.2 s, 1.5 s, 2 s, 3 s, 4 s and 5 s. Pulse repetition time was taken 10 s. Single magnetization decay was found to be exponential for each peak.  $T_2$ measurements were performed using CPMG (Carr-Purcell-Meibomm-Gill) pulse technique.  $\tau$  delay times were taken 2 ms, 4 ms, 8 ms, 16 ms, 32 ms, 64 ms, 128 ms, 256 ms, 512 ms, 1024 ms and 2048 ms. Pulse repetition time was taken 10 s. Single magnetization decay was found to be exponential for each peak.

#### **3.3. Arrangement of R Ratio for Calculation of Correlation Time**

Equation 1 was obtained for a homonuclear spin system from the ratio of  $1/T_1$  and  $1/T_2$  equations.

$$
\frac{R_1}{R_2} = \frac{[3\gamma^4 h^2 / 10r^6] [(\tau_c / (1 + \omega^2 \tau_c^2) + 4\tau_c / (1 + 4\omega^2 \tau_c^2)]}{[3\gamma^4 h^2 / 20r^6] [3\tau_c + 5\tau_c / (1 + \omega^2 \tau_c^2) + 2\tau_c / (1 + 4\omega^2 \tau_c^2)]}
$$

$$
12R(\omega\tau_c)^4 + (37R - 8)(\omega\tau_c)^2 + (10R - 5) = 0
$$
  
(1)

where,  $R = (1/T_1)/2(1/T_2)$  ratio and can be found experimentally.  $\tau_c$  values were calculated from Equ. 1.

#### **4. Results and Discussion**

The proton spectrums of the  $\beta$ -hydroxy amide derivatives  $(1, \alpha)$ 2 and 3) are given in Figures 2, 3 and 4.



**Figure 2:** 400 MHz <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of β-hydroxy amide derivative **1** at room temperature. Chemical shift values of

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-*d*6): δ (ppm) 3.76-3.79 (m, 2H), 5.1 (t, 1H, *J*= 5.2 Hz ), 5.17 (q, 1H, *J*=6.8 Hz), 7.25- 7.53(m, 8H), 7.75 (d, 1H, *J*= 8.3 Hz), 7.90 (d, 1H, *J*= 9.5 Hz), 8.64 (s, 1H ), 9.35 (d, 1H, J=7.8 Hz), 11.87 (s, 1H).



**Figure 3:** 400 MHz <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of β-hydroxy amide derivative **2** at room temperature. Chemical shift values of <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-*d*6): δ (ppm) 0.84 (d, 3H, *J*=6.8 Hz), 1.02 (d, 3H, *J*= 6.8 Hz) , 1.83-1.89 (m, 1H), 5.22(dd, 1H, *J*= 1.8 ve 10.0 Hz), 6.04 (s, 1H), 7.05-7.62(m, 13H), 7.71( d, 1H, *J*= 8.2 Hz), 7.86 (d, 1H, *J*=8.2 Hz), 8.31( s, 1H), 8.62(d, 1H, *J*=10.0 Hz), 11.37(s, 1H).



**Figure 4:** 400 MHz <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of β-hydroxy amide derivative **3** at room temperature. Chemical shift values of <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-*d*6): δ (ppm) 6.15 (d, 1H, *J*=9.2 Hz),

6.34(s, 1H ), 7.05-7.86 (m, 20H), 8.34(s, 1H, ), 9.45 (d, 1H, *J*=9.2 Hz), 11.34(s, 1H).

#### **Volume 7 Issue 10, October 2018**

**[www.ijsr.net](file:///D:\IJSR%20Website\www.ijsr.net)**

#### [Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Paper ID: ART20192166 DOI: 10.21275/ART20192166 1131

 $T_1$ ,  $T_2$  and  $\tau_c$  values for each peak of spectrums shown in figs. **2-4** are given in Table 1, 2, and 3.



uvii vaa vuonn				
	$T_1(s)$	$T_2(s)$	$\tau_c$ (ns)	
Ar-OH	1.374	0.11	1.50	
NΗ	0.456	0.073	0.97	
$Ar-H(a)$	0.912	0.192	0.80	
$Ar-H(b)$	1.232	0.237	0.86	
$Ar-H(c)$	1.327	0.305	0.75	
$Ar-H(d)$	1.375	0.299	0.78	
<b>CH</b>	1.060	0.292	0.64	
OН	1.290	0.074	0.19	
CH <sub>2</sub>	0.353	0.071	0.44	

**Table 2.**  $T_1$ **,**  $T_2$  **and**  $\tau_c$  **values of β-hydroxy amide** 

derivatives 2				
	2			
	$T_1(s)$	$T_2(s)$	$\tau_c$ (ns)	
$Ar-OH$	1.259	0.100	1.51	
<b>NH</b>	0.372	0.044	1.19	
$Ar-H(a)$	1.138	0.204	0.90	
$Ar-H(b)$	1.255	0.172	1.08	
$Ar-H(c)$	1.247	0.194	0.93	
<b>OH</b>	0.673	0.096	1.05	
CН	0.811	0.084	0.84	
<b>CH</b>	0.430	0.132	0.96	
CH <sub>3</sub>	0.478	0.087	0.89	
CH <sub>3</sub>	0.402	0.078	0.85	

**Table 3:**  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$  and  $\tau_c$  values of β-hydroxy amide derivatives **3**



 $T_1$  times of protons of Ar-OH, Amide-NH, Alcoholic-OH and -CH-groups at the stereo center were compared. The following results were obtained.  $T_1$  values of Ar-OH, Amide –NH ve Alcoholic–OH groups in β-hydroxy amide 1 are bigger than the groups in β-hydroxy amide 2 (containing two phenyl and isopropyl groups) and β-hydroxy amide 3 (containing three phenyl groups).

This result indicates that  $\pi - \pi$  interactions are effective as well as intramolecular non-covalent interactions of βhydroxy amide 2 and 3.

The  $\tau_c$  correlation times of all molecules belonging to βhydroxy amide derivatives are shown in Table 1. These  $\tau_{\rm c}$ values were calculated from the equation 1 which obtained

from the ratio of  $R_1/R_2$ . All  $\tau_c$  values are in the order of ns.

## **5. Conclusion**

Although the origins of spin-lattice and spin-spin relaxation mechanisms are very different,  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  relaxation times are equivalent in fast molecular motions  $(\omega^2 \tau_c^2 \ll 1)$ . At the same time, there is an inequality between  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  in the slow molecular motions  $(\omega^2 \tau_c^2 \gg 1)$ .  $R_1 = 1/T_1$  and  $R_2 = 1/T_2$  relaxation rates measured under these conditions can be used to find the all unknown parameters. In our study,  $\tau_c$  correlation times were found in the extreme narrowing condition  $(\omega^2 \tau_c^2 \ll 1)$  from Equation 1. The best line range of correlation time in the dipolar mechanism is  $10^{-7}$ - $10^{-9}$  seconds. We found correlation time values in order of ns for all peaks. This indicates that the  $\tau_c$  correlation time, which modulates the motion, is based on dipolar interaction. Therefore, we can say that the dominant mechanism is molecular tumbling for all groups [16].

## **6. Acknowledgements**

This work was supported by the research foundation of Dicle University, grant no: DÜBAP-FEN.15.013.

## **References**

- [1] M. E. Speeter, U.S. Patent 2,721,216, (1955); Chem. Abstr. 50, 40591 (1956).
- [2] M. M. Morrissette, K. J. Stauffer, P. D. Williams, T. A. Lyle, J. P. Vacca, J. A. Krueger, D. S. Lewis, B. J. Lucas, B. K Wong, R. B. White, C. Miller-Stein, E. A. Lyle, A. A. Wallace, Y. M. Leonard, C. D. Welsh, J. J. Lynch, D. R. McMasters, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 14, 4161 (2004).
- [3] R. Chidambaram, J. Zhu, K. Penmetsa, D. Kronenthal, J. Kant, Tetrahedron Lett. 41, 6017 (2000).
- [4] S. L. Shapiro, I. M. Rose, L. J. Freedman, Am. Chem. Soc. 81, 6322 (1959).
- [5] D. Parke, Davis and Co. Brit. Patent 1,125,671, (1968) Chem. Abstr. 69, 106550 (1968).
- [6] A. K. Bose, D. P. Sahu, M. S. J. Manhas, Org. Chem. 46, 1229 (1981).
- [7] S. Masamune, W. Choy, J. S. Petersen, L. R. Sita, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 24, 1 (1985).
- [8] For reviews, see: a) Studies in Natural Products Chemistry, Vol.2 (Ed.: Atta-ur-Rahman), Elsevier, p. 680 (1988). b) a -Hydroxy Acids in Enantioselective Syntheses (Eds.: G. M. Coppola, H. F. Schuster), VCH, Weinheim, (1997). c) S. Masamune, W. Choy, J. S. Petersen, L. R. Sita, Angew. Chem. 97, 1 – 31 (1985). Angew. Chem.Int.Ed.Engl. 24 1-30 (1985).
- [9] W.R. Carper, Concepts in Magnetic Resonance, 11 (1), 51-60 ((1999).
- [10]A. Abragam, The principles of nuclear magnetism. Oxford: Oxford University Press. (1961).

## **Volume 7 Issue 10, October 2018**

**[www.ijsr.net](file:///D:\IJSR%20Website\www.ijsr.net)**

[Licensed Under Creative Commons Attribution CC BY](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

- [11]D. J. Ager, I. Prakash, D. R. Schaad, Chem. Rev,96, 835 (1996).
- [12]J. T. Arnold, S. S. Dharmatti, M. E. Packard, J. Chem Phys. 19, 507 (1951).
- [13]J. P. Behr, J. M. Lehn, P. Vierling, Helv. Chim.Acta, 1982, 65, (1853).
- [14]H. A. Benesi, J. H. Hildebrand, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 71, 2703-2707 (1949).
- [15] S. C. Bergmeier, Tetrahedron 56, 2561 (2000).
- [16]N. Bloembergen, E. M. Purcell, R. V. Pound, Phys. Rev., 73, 679 (1947).
- [17]W. R. Carper, CE. Keller, J. Phys. Chem. 101:3246- 3250 (1997).
- [18]JD. Decatur, TC. Farrar, JPhys Chem. 94: 7391-7401 (1990).
- [19]R. Freeman, HDW. Hill, BL. Tomlinson, LD. Hall, J Chem Phys 61:4466- 4473 (1974).
- [20]R. M. Izatt, K. Pawlak, J. S. Bradshaw, R. L. Bruening, Chem. Rev. 91, 1721 (1991).
- [21]TC. Stringfellow, TC. Farrar, J. Phys. Chem. 99: 3889- 3891 (1995).
- [22]P. Wallimann, T. Marti, A. Furer, F. Diederich, Chem. Rev., 97, 1567-1608 (1997).
- [23]LG. Werbelow, DM. Grant, Magn Reson. 9: 189-299 (1977).
- [24]A. Yılmaz, M. Z. Köylü, H. Budak, Chem. Phys. Let., 427: 346-349 (2006).
- [25]H. W. Zhau, W. T. Hua, J. Org. Chem. 65, 2933–2938 (2000).
- [26]A. Azizoğlu, A. Erdoğan, N. Arslan, Y. Turgut, H. Hoşgören, N. Pirinççioğlu, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry. 27, 614-622 (2016).
- [27]P. Deniz, Y. Turgut, M. Togrul, H. Hosgoren, Tetrahedron. 67 6227-6232 (2011).

#### Paper ID: ART20192166 DOI: 10.21275/ART20192166 1133